City of Chesapeake Neighborhood Quality of Life Study Update



2014



Skeo Solutions offers a multidisciplinary approach to navigating the complex issues facing local communities, state governments and federal agencies. Using tailored analysis, Skeo Solutions provides innovative and collaborative solutions to pressing issues in environmental stewardship, social equity and economic opportunity. For more information, please visit: www.skeo.com.

City of Chesapeake Neighborhood Quality of Life Study Update

Prepared for

the Citizens of Chesapeake, Virginia

in cooperation with the
Chesapeake Development and Permits Department
Chesapeake Health Department
Chesapeake Human Services Department
Chesapeake Information Technology Department
Chesapeake Planning Department
Chesapeake Police Department
Chesapeake Public Works Department
Chesapeake Redevelopment and Housing Authority
and
Chesapeake Public Schools

by Skeo Solutions

November 2014

This updated report is based on the text and analytical methodology laid out in the 2006 Chesapeake Neighborhood Quality of Life Study. The 2014 project team is deeply indebted to the 2006 project team for their foundational work.

A copy of the 2006 NQL Study can be obtained from the City of Chesapeake's Human Services

Department or downloaded from the department's website:

http://www.cityofchesapeake.net/Government/City-Departments/Departments/human-services/community-programs/community-development/qol_study.htm.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	4
Introduction	8
Defining Quality of Life: From 2006 to 2014	10
Geographic Units	16
Methods	20
Cumulative Quality of Life Results	21
Four Dimensions of Quality of Life	27
Social Dimension	28
Crime Dimension	32
Physical Dimension	36
Economic Dimension	40
Appendix A: Technical Guide	A-1
Social Dimension	A-3
Crime Dimension	A-6
Physical Dimension	A-8
Economic Dimension	A-12
Profile Variables	A-14
Variable Methodologies: Comparisons Between the 2006 and 2014 Approaches	A-17
Appendix B: Neighborhood Profiles	B-1
Appendix C: Indicator Maps	C-1
Appendix D: Neighborhood and Subdivision Name Index	D ₋ 1

Tables

Table 1. Additional Variable Considerations and Recommendations	13
Table 2. Chesapeake Neighborhood Quality of Life Variables	14
Table 3. NSAs that Meet the High Priority Criteria for One or More Dimensions	22

Figures

Figure 1. Neighborhood Statistical Area (NSA) Boundaries	17
Figure 2. NSA Identification	18-19
Figure 3. 2014 Cumulative Quality of Life Results	23
Figure 4. 2014 Cumulative Quality of Life Results and High Priority NSA Identification	24
Figure 5. 2006 and 2014 Citywide Quality of Life Results	25
Figure 6. Social Dimension Results	29
Figure 7. 2006 and 2014 Social Dimension Results	31
Figure 8. Crime Dimension Results	33
Figure 9. 2006 and 2014 Crime Dimension Results	35
Figure 10. Physical Dimension Results	37
Figure 11. 2006 and 2014 Physical Dimension Results	39
Figure 12. Economic Dimension Results	41
Figure 13. 2006 and 2014 Economic Dimension Results	43
Figures 14-39. Indicator Maps	– C-28

Executive Summary

The City of Chesapeake provides opportunities for people to live in many different environments that range from the traditional neighborhoods of South Norfolk to the open countryside of Southern Chesapeake. Neighborhoods also vary in the assets and challenges that may support or hinder community quality of life. The Chesapeake Neighborhood Quality of Life Study was first developed in 2006 as a tool to aid community residents, elected officials and local government staff in prioritizing investments to improve quality of life across four dimensions: social, crime, physical, and economic. This 2014 Neighborhood Quality of Life Study Update (2014 NQL Study) builds on the work of the 2006 NQL Study by adding refinements to the methodology and presenting the results of the most recent quality of life data analysis.

Process

The project was guided by an inter-departmental Quality of Life Cabinet.¹ The 2014 NQL Study included the following four phases:

- Refined NQL Method, Units and Indicators: Interviewed City of Chesapeake staff
 regarding their priorities for the 2014 update; facilitated a Civic League meeting to assess
 community-based priorities for the 2014 update; surveyed the national state of the
 practice in neighborhood quality of life indices; reviewed the methods of the 2006 NQL
 Study; and developed a set of methodology recommendations and options for the 2014
 NQL Study.
- 2. Revised Neighborhood Statistical Area Maps: Produced a citywide map reflecting the updated NSA boundaries and a set of locator maps for each NSA.
- 3. Analyzed and Mapped Quality of Life Data: Collected quality of life data from federal, state and local sources for 26 variables; standardized and analyzed the data by variable and by quality of life dimension; and produced a set of maps showing the results for each of the four dimensions and the cumulative quality of life ranking.
- 4. *Drafted Final Report*: Revised the 2006 NQL Study report to reflect updated methodologies and results of the 2014 analysis.

NQL Method Review Recommendations

Based on research during the Method Review, the Quality of Life Cabinet approved two primary changes to the NQL methodology established in 2006.

• Revised Geographic Units: While the 2006 NQL Study analysis was conducted using local neighborhood boundaries as the geographic units, the 2014 NQL Study analysis

¹ The Quality of Life Cabinet includes representatives from the following City departments: Development and Permits, Health, Human Services, Planning, Police, and Public Works. The Cabinet also includes representatives from the Chesapeake Redevelopment and Housing Authority and Chesapeake Public Schools.

- was conducted using U.S. Census block groups. The geographic units in both studies are referred to as Neighborhood Statistical Areas (NSAs).
- Revised Statistical Approach: While the 2006 NQL Study used a statistical factor analysis to group NSAs into three categories (developing, sustaining and revitalizing), the 2014 NQL Study used a standardized index to identify high priority NSAs for each variable and dimension.

In addition, following a public meeting that engaged Civic League leaders, City staff and elected officials, the Quality of Life Cabinet approved adding two new variables to the analysis:

- Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance: For families seeking assistance with housing, the ratio of 1/3 of an average family's income to the average rental cost by NSA.
- Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work: The percentage of daily commuters who walk, use public transit or bike to work.

Information about these recommendations is documented in the section of the report titled Defining Quality of Life: From 2006 to 2014. More detailed descriptions of the new methodology can be found in the Geographic Units and Methods sections of the report.

Dimensional Quality of Life Results

The 2014 NQL Study presents analysis of 26 quality of life variables that are grouped into four dimensions: social, crime, physical, and economic. Statistical analysis was used to identify High Priority NSAs for each dimension. NSAs that meet the high priority criteria may benefit from investments to improve quality of life as it relates to the variables in that dimension. The results of this classification are provided in Figures 6, 8, 10 and 12 with maps illustrating High Priority NSAs for each dimension. A summary of the quality of life patterns seen in 2006 and 2014 is provided in the table below.

Dimension	2006 Quality of Life Patterns	2014 Quality of Life Patterns
Social	Revitalizing neighborhoods were identified north of S. Military Highway.	High Priority NSAs are clustered in two areas, north of S. Military Highway and just east of the Dismal Swamp.
Crime	Most revitalizing neighborhoods were identified north of S. Military Highway. Two revitalizing neighborhoods existed outside of this cluster – one to the southeast of S. Military Highway and one in the very northern tip of the city.	The primary clusters of High Priority NSAs are located north of S. Military Highway and south of S. Military Highway along I-64.

Dimension	2006 Quality of Life Patterns	2014 Quality of Life Patterns
Physical	Revitalizing neighborhoods were distributed across the northern half of the city.	In 2014, the majority of High Priority NSAs are similarly distributed through the northern half of the city, with just two located in the southern half of the city. There are several clusters in the middle of the area north of S. Military Highway.
Economic	Just two NSAs were identified as revitalizing. These neighborhoods were located just north of S. Military Highway on either side of I-464.	There is a cluster of High Priority NSAs located north of S. Military Highway. Other High Priority NSAs are dispersed throughout the northern half of the city, with just three located south of S. Military Highway.

Cumulative Quality of Life Results

The dimensional priority scores (for social, crime, physical and economic) were aggregated for each NSA to calculate a cumulative quality of life ranking of each NSA relative to the rest of the city. Each NSA was assigned a cumulative quality of life score of 0 to 4, reflecting its total number of high priority dimensions.

Table 3 in the Cumulative Quality of Life Results section of the report lists all NSAs which met the High Priority criteria for one or more dimensions. Figure 3 (also shown on the following page) shows that NSAs that received three or four Dimensional High Priority scores are located in an area north of South Military Highway. NSAs which received one or two Dimensional High Priority scores tend to be dispersed across the city.


Figure 5 (also shown on the following page) in the Cumulative Quality of Life Results section of the report provides a comparison of the 2006 and 2014 citywide quality of life results. Although the NSA boundaries changed between 2006 and 2014, Figure 5 shows some consistency by identifying NSAs north of South Military Highway along I-464 as most in need of quality of life investments.

Looking Forward


Neighborhood quality of life provides an important foundation for the long-term sustainability of the City of Chesapeake. Looking ahead, the 2014 NQL Study offers a resource for residents, community leaders, city staff, and elected decision-makers to guide effective investments in Chesapeake's neighborhoods. Refinements to the 2006 NQL methodology and NSA boundaries have positioned the City of Chesapeake in the future to take advantage of the vast amount of data related to quality of life available through the American Community Survey. By regularly updating the NQL study, the City of Chesapeake can continue to track the community's progress over time and further expand the set of variables explored.

2006 and 2014 Citywide Quality of Life Results

2006 Quality of Life Groupings




2014 Cumulative Quality of Life Results



Introduction

The City of Chesapeake provides opportunities for people to live in many different environments, varying from the traditional neighborhoods of South Norfolk, to the open countryside of Southern Chesapeake. The city faces a challenging task of meeting the different needs of divergent communities with the diverse character of these wide ranging neighborhoods. The Chesapeake Neighborhood Quality of Life Study was developed as a tool to aid community residents, elected officials and local government staff. It provides the opportunity to take a closer look at the critical individual issues that impact different neighborhoods. Collaboration to develop plans and strategies that meet neighborhood needs and citywide concerns is essential. The goal of this study is to provide a tool that evaluates Chesapeake's neighborhoods in terms of long-term sustainability.

The study, 2006 original the Chesapeake Neighborhood Quality of Life Study (2006 NQL Study), was completed after a two year process that engaged stakeholders from a range of city departments and that examined over 59 quality of life variables. At the conclusion of the process, 23 variables were statistically analyzed to assess the neighborhood-scale livability by Neighborhood Statistical Areas (NSAs). These research findings represent a baseline of information and laid the groundwork for future analyses. The 2006 NQL Study was intended to serve as a benchmark. It was the first step in an ongoing effort to monitor progress toward achieving the goals of sustaining and revitalizing Chesapeake's neighborhoods. A copy of the 2006 NQL Study can be obtained from the City of Chesapeake's Human Services Department or downloaded from the department's



website (http://www.cityofchesapeake.net/Government/City-Departments/Departments/human-services/community-programs/community-development/qol_study.htm).

The 2006 NQL Study also resulted in the formation of the Chesapeake Quality of Life Cabinet (Cabinet). The Cabinet, which grew from the original project steering committee, represents a spectrum of City of Chesapeake staff who work on a broad range of quality of life issues across the city. Since 2006, the Cabinet has convened monthly to facilitate inter-departmental dialogue on quality of life issues and initiatives and to guide quality of life investments in Chesapeake's revitalizing neighborhoods.

In 2013, the Cabinet selected a consultant to conduct an update to the 2006 NQL Study. During this process, the Cabinet worked closely with Civic League leaders, City staff and elected officials, and the consultant team to facilitate and oversee an update process that included:

- Gathering quality of life priorities from Civic League leaders, City staff and elected officials,
- Conducting a survey of the national state of the practice in neighborhood quality of life indices.
- Updating the 2006 NQL Study methodology and NSA boundaries to reflect advances in the state of the practice,
- Statistical analysis of 26 variables to assess the neighborhood-scale livability by Neighborhood Statistical Areas (NSAs), and
- Identification of High Priority NSAs to help target future investments in neighborhood quality of life.

This report, the 2014 Neighborhood Quality of Life Study Update (2014 NQL Study Update), summarizes the results of this process including the research process, the findings and the larger set of information that contributed to the study conclusions.

The goal of this report is to increase public awareness and understanding of critical quality of life issues and challenges facing the City of Chesapeake and to foster collaboration and discussion around finding solutions. By working together, citizens, elected officials, businesses, community groups and staff can create stronger neighborhoods throughout Chesapeake.

Defining Quality of Life: From 2006 to 2014

Defining Quality of Life

Quality of life can mean many things to different people. One of the challenges in planning for quality of life improvements is determining how to correctly and efficiently measure the current quality of life status and changes over time. Many academics and planners maintain that in order for a community to enjoy a high level of quality of life, residents should feel safe from crime, live in affordable and safe housing, and should have access to good quality education and employment. Indeed, these are basic expectations for a livable community. They transcend

economic status, age, race, ethnicity, household composition or any other demographic marker.

Beyond these basic factors, there are additional values, often framed by local or regional context and culture, about what makes a neighborhood a good place to live. These ideas often revolve around the structure of a neighborhood or the lifestyle of the residents. Urban, suburban or rural settings may translate into different scales or different ideas about what constitutes quality of life.



2006 NQL Study Framework

The quality of life framework used in the 2006 NQL Study is multi-faceted and complex. It organizes 23 analytical variables into four quality of life dimensions: social well-being, physical community design or layout, crime and economic vitality. Quality of life variables were selected based on factors that were identified as important by Chesapeake residents.

These variables were analyzed at the neighborhood scale using geographic areas called Neighborhood Statistical Areas (NSAs). The results of the analysis were used to group neighborhoods into the following three categories:

- *Developing*: These are NSAs that have concentrations of newer housing stock and higher rates of home ownership. They are, however, less well provided with infrastructure. They have significant youthful populations, but fewer youth-oriented services. The community development challenges facing these neighborhoods are centered on infrastructure provision issues.
- Sustaining: These NSAs are mature communities, generally well served with infrastructure and community resources. Their populations are evenly balanced across the age spectrum. The potential service and infrastructure difficulties facing these

November 2014

neighborhoods are often related to the age of the community and the changes that occur in neighborhoods as they mature.

• Revitalizing: These are older residential communities. They are served by infrastructure, but the age of infrastructure leads to challenges. Demographically, these communities have significant concentrations of youth and senior populations. These NSAs are experiencing various levels of pressures associated with community change. The age of housing and the infrastructure provide opportunities to be proactive in meeting community challenges.

For additional information on the origins of the framework and extended descriptions of the 2006 NSAs and analysis methods, see the Defining Quality of Life, Geographic Units and Methods sections in the 2006 NQL Study.

State of the Practice Survey

As part of the 2014 NQL Study Update, the project team surveyed the national state of the practice in neighborhood quality of life indices to identify new methodological advancements and trends. They reviewed five major studies, including:

- Baltimore Neighborhood Indicators Alliance (BNIA Study)
- Charlotte-Mecklenburg Neighborhood Quality of Life Study (C-M Study)
- Jacksonville Integrated Planning Project (JIPP)
- Seattle Happiness Initiative (SHI)
- Sustainable Communities Index (SCI)

The purpose of reviewing these studies was to identify best practices from other quality of life indices that could be integrated into the 2014 NQL Study Update. Lessons learned from the review are summarized below.

<u>Methodology</u>: Each study used a slightly different methodology; however, the following trends were observed.

- The BNIA and C-M studies are the most similar to the 2006 NQL Study; both studies analyze existing conditions variables for set geographic units within a study area.
- The JIPP and SCI add higher-level data analyses (e.g., risk mapping, access to amenities); however, their geographic units vary depending on the variable.
- The SHI relies primarily on subjective data and uses a significantly different framework for determining quality of life; objective data analysis is minimal.

Relationship between Variables, Methodology and Geographic Units: Most of the studies reviewed used a mix of variable data from local, regional and federal government sources,

private companies and the U.S. Census. In almost all cases, data sources determined the geographic units of analysis:

- The BNIA and C-M studies had the most extensive variables lists, which were developed primarily using census-based data. Their geographic units of analysis are based on census tracks and/or block groups.
- The JIPP and SCI used varying units of geographic analysis, depending on the data source and type of data analysis being applied.

Ranking and Grouping Geographic Units: None of the studies reviewed ranked or grouped their geographic units (neighborhoods) based on the variable analyses. An interview with the C-M project team revealed that past iterations of the C-M Study had ranked neighborhoods. The project team moved away from this approach because of feedback that certain communities felt stigmatized by the rankings.

Other Forms of Variable Comparison: The BNIA and C-M studies displayed each neighborhood variable relative to the citywide or countywide average. The BNIA Study also displayed the percent change for each neighborhood variable between 2010 and 2011. These approaches allow neighborhood variables to be tracked relative to the surrounding community and over time without the need for an overall ranking.

Based on these lessons learned, the project team made two primary changes to the methodology established in 2006:

- The geographic units changed from units based on local neighborhood boundaries to units based on the block groups used for collecting data through the U.S. Census. (The geographic units will continue to be referred to as Neighborhood Statistical Areas (NSAs).)
- The statistical analysis moved away from grouping NSAs through a factor analysis to using a standardized index which identifies whether an NSA meets the criteria to be a high priority for investments in quality of life for a particular variable or dimension.

More detailed descriptions of the new methodology can be found in the Geographic Units and Methods sections of this report.

2014 Quality of Life Priorities and Variables

In addition to identifying new methodological advancements and trends in contemporary quality of life studies, the project team also sought to expand the set of variables explored in the 2014 NQL Study Update by adding up to three new variables based on additional priorities identified as important by Chesapeake stakeholders. The selection process for these new variables is described below; a complete list of 2014 analytical variables can be found in Table 2.

November 2014

Through interviews with the Quality of Life Cabinet and a public meeting that engaged Civic League leaders, City staff and elected officials, the project team identified the following set of priorities:

- Economic well-being, specifically access to affordable housing.
- Access to multi-modal forms of transportation.
- Mental health and physical health.
- Youth programming.



The project team determined that youth programming is already addressed by one variable in the 2006 NQL Study and chose to focus on the first three priorities in the list above for further exploration. After further exploration of the recommended mental health variable, the project team discovered that mental health data at the zip code scale is protected for privacy reasons under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA). The considerations and final variable recommendations of the project team for each of these priorities are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Additional Variable Considerations and Recommendations

Topics	Considerations	Final Variable Recommendation	Source
Housing	Measure the affordability average housing costs in each NSA for families currently seeking assistance with housing.	Affordability score: Ratio of 1/3 of an average family's income to the average rental cost by NSA.	Local data; ACS 2012
Transportation	Measure how often various forms of multi-modal transportation are used.	The percentage of daily commuters who walk, use public transit or bike to work.	Census 2010

Additionally, the project team decided to split the 2006 variable titled "Percent Housing Reinvestment" into two variables encompassing different aspects of housing investment. These variables include:

- New residential building permits by NSA.
- Percent residential addition investments by NSA.

Finally, the 2006 variable titled "Percent Affordable Housing" was updated to reflect census data instead of local data and was retitled "Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income."

The 26 final analytical variables are listed in Table 2 on the following page. A detailed description of the method for calculating each analytical variable is presented in Appendix A.

November 2014

Table 2. 2014 Chesapeake Neighborhood Quality of Life Variables

Social Dimension Crime Dimension Percent of Persons over Age 64 Category A Victim Crime Index Percent of Persons Ages 5-19 Category A Non-Victim Crime Index **Infant Wellness** Category B Crime Index Percent of Child Welfare Cases Percent of Households Receiving TANF (Temporary Assistance for Needy Families) Percent of Households Receiving SNAP (Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program) Youth Opportunity Score **Economic Dimension** Physical Dimension Percent Home Ownership Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance Percent Code Enforcement New Residential Building Permits Sidewalk Completeness Score Percent Residential Addition Investments Access to Public Transportation Percent Change in Income Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels Access to Basic Retail Facilities Access to Park Facilities Proximity to Noxious Facilities

Variable Recommendations for Future Study Updates

Drainage Score

Looking ahead, the project team recommends that subsequent study updates continue to build on the variable set by further exploring alternate variables to address mental health, youth programming and any additional priorities identified by Chesapeake stakeholders in the future.

This page left blank intentionally.

November 2014

Geographic Units

This study analyzed the variables for geographic units within the city called Neighborhood Statistical Areas (NSAs). The NSAs are large enough to collect and analyze community-level data without serious error margins, and small enough to help identify variations in quality of life scores across the city.

The 2014 NQL Study Update uses 122 NSAs as the geographic units of analysis; the NSAs are identical to the block groups used to gather data for the U.S. Census.


This delineation represents a significant change from the 2006 NQL Study, which organized NSAs in large part around neighborhood boundaries, as defined by City staff. After reviewing trends in quality of life studies (as described in the previous section), the project team decided that moving towards



an NSA format that was compatible with the U.S. Census would allow the future NQL study updates to easily add a wide variety of variables based on federally collected data. Moving forward, this approach should also allow the comparison of a broader range of variables over time.

Figure 1 on the next page presents the 2014 NSA boundaries. Figure 2, which uses the following two pages, presents the boundaries with their respective block group IDs. Appendix B contains an NSA profile for each of the 122 NSAs. Each profile includes maps of the NSA and its location in the city, a set of profile variables, data for each of the 26 analytical variables with values for citywide comparison, and whether the NSA ranked as a High Priority NSA (in the top 25 NSAs) for each variable and dimension.

Figure 1
Neighborhood Statistical Area (NSA) Boundaries



November 2014

Figure 2


NSA Identification

Legend


Major Roads

NSA Boundaries


Note: The labels on this map show the NSA ID numbers. NSAs are equivalent to census block groups. The first number in the label (XXX.XX) indicates the census tract. The second number in the label indicates the census block group.



Frame 1



Frame 2



November 2014

Methods

The analysis in this study used several computational and statistical methods. Geographic Information System (GIS) was used to summarize large volumes of data for each NSA. Data for each variable was gathered and geographically referenced to each Neighborhood Statistical Areas (NSA). Next, the 26 analytical variables for each NSA were standardized by expressing the data as standard deviation units above or below the mean (i.e., Z scores). Standardization makes it possible for the different variables to be directly compared.

Prioritization Process for Analytical Variables

- 1. Once the data was standardized, the project team sorted the NSAs into those with the top 25 highest standardized scores, those in the middle, and those with the lowest 25 standardized scores for each variable. A high standardized score, also called a "high priority score," indicates that the NSA may benefit from investments to improve quality of life as it relates to that variable. For example, NSAs that received a high priority score for Percent of Persons Ages 5-19 may benefit from investments in services that support children and families, whereas NSAs that received a high priority score for Percent of Persons over Age 64 may benefit from investments in services that support aging in place and other services for seniors. The results of this classification for each NSA are provided in the Neighborhood Profiles in Appendix B.
- 2. Next, NSAs were also sorted into high, middle and low scores for each dimension (social, crime, physical and economic). Dimensional scores were calculated by standardizing the sum of the standardized individual variables. The results of this classification are provided in Figures 6, 8, 10 and 12 with maps illustrating High Priority NSAs for each dimension.
- 3. Finally, NSAs were grouped and assigned a Dimensional Priority Score based on whether they met the high priority criteria for one, two, three or all four dimensions. Table 3 in the following section lists the NSAs for each score. For example, a score of 4 was given for NSAs that met the high priority criteria for all four dimensions. The NSAs were then mapped based on this cumulative Dimensional Priority Score as shown in Figures 3 and 4 in the following section. The results suggest that NSAs with a high score may be suffering across a number of quality of life variables. The following section offers further discussion of these cumulative results.

Analysis of Profile Variables

In addition to the 26 analytical variables, this report also uses 10 additional profile variables to provide a demographic and socioeconomic context for each NSA. These variables were not used in the prioritization process described above; however, data for these variables has been provided in the Neighborhood Profiles found in Appendix B.

November 2014 20

-

² In instances where NSAs had tied scores at the cutoff point (e.g., NSA 24, 25, 26, 27 had identical scores), then all of the tied NSAs were counted.

Cumulative Quality of Life Results

The quality of life experience among residents in a neighborhood can vary from person to person. This framework provides a tool to compare the relative quality of life across neighborhoods within the city based on a common set of variables. This section shares the results from aggregating the dimensional priority scores for each NSA.

Table 3 lists all NSAs which met the High Priority criteria for one or more dimensions. Figure 3 shows that NSAs that received three or four Dimensional High Priority scores are clustered in an area north of South Military Highway. NSAs which received one or two Dimensional High Priority scores are spread out across the city instead of clustering in one area or another.

Additional maps in this section include Figure 4, which provides a guide for the identification of individual NSA results for the High Priority NSAs, and Figure 5 which provides a comparison of the 2006 and 2014 citywide quality of life results. Although the NSA boundaries changed between 2006 and 2014, Figure 5 shows some consistency by identifying NSAs north of South Military Highway along I-464 as most in need of quality of life investments. For additional insight into the 2006 results, see the 2006 NQL Study.

Strong, livable residential communities are the backbone of a successful city. Acknowledging the interrelated issues of quality of life is the first step in creating a program to routinely assess progress toward healthy NSAs and focus efforts on addressing the individual needs of each neighborhood. Identifying High Priority NSAs for each of the four dimensions aligns NSAs with other communities facing similar challenges and dynamics.

In this fashion, public actions to address neighborhood issues may be targeted throughout the city, based upon common concerns rather than local geography. Additionally, NSAs facing quality of life challenges in more than one dimension may find opportunities to target investments towards initiatives that address interrelated concerns across multiple dimensions. Neighborhoods may also take the opportunity to identify variables where they are performing well and build on those strengths as an integral foundation or catalyst towards continued improvements in overall quality of life.

Table 3. NSAs that Meet the High Priority Criteria for One or More Dimensions

Note: This Dimensional Priority Score reflects the number of dimensions in which an NSA met the high priority criteria. NSAs not identified in this chart received a Dimensional Priority Score of zero.

NSAs that meet the High Priority Criteria for 4 Dimensions	NSAs that meet the High Priority Criteria for 3 Dimensions	NSAs that meet the High Priority Criteria for 2 Dimensions	NSAs that meet the High Priority Criteria for 1 Dimension
203.00 1	200.02 2	200.01 1	200.02 1
204.00 1	201.00 2	200.03 4	200.02 3
204.00 2	201.00 3	206.00 2	200.03 1
	202.00 1	206.00 3	200.03 2
	202.00 2	214.02 4	200.03 3
	202.00 3	214.03 1	200.03 5
	203.00 2	214.03 3	201.00 1
	204.00 3	214.04 1	202.00 4
	205.00 1	216.02 1	207.00 1
	206.00 1		207.00 2
	206.00 4		208.05 1
			208.06 1
			208.07 2
			208.08 2
			208.09 1
			208.09 3
			209.03 1
			209.04 1
			209.06 1
			210.04 4
			210.05 2
			210.06 3
			210.10 2
			211.02 2
			211.02 3
			212.00 1
			212.00 2
			213.01 1
			213.01 2
			213.02 4
			214.04 2
			214.04 4
			215.01 1
			215.01 4
			215.02 4
			216.01 3
			216.02 3

Figure 3

2014 Cumulative Quality of Life Results

NSAs with one or more High Priority Quality of Life Dimensions. Dimensions include Social, Physical, Crime and Economic.




Figure 4

2014 Cumulative Quality of Life Results and High Priority NSA Identification

NSAs with one or more High Priority Quality of Life Dimensions. Dimensions include Social, Physical, Crime and Economic.






Figure 5

2006 and 2014 Citywide Quality of Life Results

2006 Quality of Life Groupings



2014 Cumulative Quality of Life Results



This page left blank intentionally.

Four Dimensions of Quality of Life

Measuring the quality of life components in a neighborhood is a very complex assignment. In communities such as Chesapeake where neighborhoods are diverse and continually changing, the task is made even more difficult. Because individual Neighborhood Statistical Areas (NSAs) have such varied circumstances, it is helpful to assess their strengths and weaknesses from a variety of perspectives.

Recognizing that each community is unique, this study measures quality of life across a wide array of variables. The factors used in the analysis were derived based on quality of life priorities identified by local stakeholders. They ranged from social measures of community health to environmental quality criteria. Within this diverse set of information, the common element is how an individual variable impacts the quality of life in Chesapeake. The importance attached to a variable will vary based upon community attitudes and interests. Ultimately, one use of this study will be to allow City staff and neighborhood residents to identify the relative importance of a key variable or set of variables to improving the livability of a Chesapeake neighborhood.

The 26 variables used in the analysis were organized across four areas. These are social, crime, physical and economic dimensions. This grouping scheme puts together variables that are related and work together in affecting neighborhood-scale quality of life. Often times, these factors are interrelated. Thus, separating the effects of a particular factor from other factors may be difficult. Consequently, the combining of similar variables into dimensions helps to mitigate this measurement problem. Table 2 lists the variables and their associated dimension. The following sections provide additional detail on each dimension, including a map of the High Priority NSAs for each.

Social Dimension

Overview

The social well-being of a neighborhood is dependent upon many interconnected issues. While social well-being is only one component of neighborhood quality of life, it may exacerbate the larger set of issues that affect the quality of life in communities with the greatest needs. Individual variable scores will help to pinpoint specific problems and provide guidance to address these components. The social dimension results are presented in Figure 6.

Analytical Variables

Percent of Persons Over Age 64

Percent of Persons Ages 5-19

Infant Wellness

Percent of Child Welfare Cases

Percent of Households Receiving TANF

Percent of Households Receiving SNAP

Youth Opportunity Score






Results

Figure 6 shows that High Priority NSAs in the social dimension are clustered in two areas, north of S. Military Highway and just east of the Dismal Swamp. Low scoring and middle scoring NSAs are more evenly distributed throughout the city.

Figure 6
Social Dimension Results



Comparison of 2006 and 2014 Social Dimension Results


Figure 7 on the following page juxtaposes the 2006 Social Dimension Groupings with the 2014 Social Dimensions Results.

- In 2006, revitalizing neighborhoods were identified north of S. Military Highway.
- In 2014, the High Priority NSAs are clustered in two areas, north of S. Military Highway and just east of the Dismal Swamp.


Figure 7

2006 and 2014 Social Dimension Results

2006 Social Dimension Groupings



2014 Social Dimension Results



Crime Dimension

Overview

Crime rates can play a critical role in influencing community opinions of quality of life. High rates of crime create undesirable and unstable living environments. The Chesapeake crime dimension measures selected crime rates in each NSA and compares them to the rates for the city. By making a quantitative comparison between criminal activities in small geographic areas to the citywide rates, it is easier to gauge whether crime disproportionately affects an area. In this way, neighborhoods where criminal activity impacts quality of life can be better identified. The crime dimension results are presented in Figure 8.

Analytical Variables

Category A Victim Crime Index (serious offense against a person)

Category A Non-Victim Crime Index (serious offense against property)


Category B Crime (all other offenses not specified in Category A)

Results

Figure 8 shows that a few High Priority NSAs in the crime dimension are distributed throughout the city. The primary clusters of High Priority NSAs are located north of S. Military Highway and south of S. Military Highway along I-64.

Figure 8

Crime Dimension Results



Note: Incidents for which there is no known or accurate address default to the address of the police headquarters, located in NSA 210.05 2.

Comparison of 2006 and 2014 Crime Dimension Results


Figure 9 on the following page juxtaposes the 2006 Crime Dimension Groupings with the 2014 Crime Dimensions Results.

- In 2006, most revitalizing neighborhoods were identified north of S. Military Highway. Two revitalizing neighborhoods existed outside of this cluster one to the southeast of S. Military Highway and one in the very northern tip of the city.
- In 2014, the primary clusters of High Priority NSAs are located north of S. Military Highway and south of S. Military Highway along I-64..


Figure 9

2006 and 2014 Crime Dimension Results

2006 Crime Dimension Groupings



2014 Crime Dimension Results



default to the address of the police headquarters, located in NSA 210.05 2.

Physical Dimension

Overview

The physical assets of a community are a valuable measure of neighborhood-level social capital and community livability. Viewed broadly, neighborhoods with poor infrastructure, poorly maintained housing stock or environmental problems are more likely to be neighborhoods at risk.

In a similar fashion, neighborhood vitality can be enhanced by strong and well-serviced residential communities. The real and/or perceptual desirability of a neighborhood leads to active community involvement and private investment. The physical dimension results are presented in Figure 10.

Analytical Variables

Percent Home Ownership

Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years

Percent Code Enforcement

Sidewalk Completeness Score

Access to Public Transportation

Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work

Access to Basic Retail Facilities

Access to Park Facilities

Proximity to Noxious Facilities

Drainage Score






Results

Figure 10 shows that the majority of High Priority NSAs in the Physical Dimension are located in the northern half of the city. There are several clusters in the middle of the area north of S. Military Highway. A few High Priority NSAs are distributed throughout the city.

Figure 10

Physical Dimension Results



Comparison of 2006 and 2014 Physical Dimension Results


Figure 11 juxtaposes Physical Dimension results from 2006 and 2014.

- In 2006, revitalizing neighborhoods were distributed across the northern half of the city.
- In 2014, the majority of High Priority NSAs are similarly distributed through the northern half of the city, with just two located in the southern half of the city. There are several clusters in the middle of the area north of S. Military Highway.


Figure 11

2006 and 2014 Physical Dimension Results

2006 Physical Dimension Groupings



2014 Physical Dimension Results



Economic Dimension

Overview

The economic vitality and the economic characteristics of a neighborhood are critical quality of life components. Often, variables look at household income measures, which can bias results toward affluent neighborhoods. Instead, this analysis focused on change measures related to the level of positive economic activity. Thus, a neighborhood may have modest median incomes, but improvements in real estate, community reinvestment and income growth are signals of positive economic trends. The economic dimension results are presented in Figure 12.

Analytical Variables

Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income

Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance

New Residential Building Permits

Percent Residential Addition Investments

Percent Change in Income


Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels




Results

Figure 12 shows a cluster of High Priority NSAs in the Economic Dimension located north of S. Military Highway. Other High Priority NSAs are dispersed throughout the northern half of the city, with just three located south of S. Military Highway.







Comparison of 2006 and 2014 Economic Dimension Results


Figure 13 on the following page juxtaposes the 2006 Economic Dimension Groupings with the 2014 Economic Dimensions Results:

- In 2006, just two NSAs were identified as revitalizing. These neighborhoods were located just north of S. Military Highway on either side of I-464.
- In 2014, there is a cluster of High Priority NSAs located north of S. Military Highway. Other High Priority NSAs are dispersed throughout the northern half of the city, with just three located south of S. Military Highway.


Figure 13

2006 and 2014 Economic Dimension Results

2006 Economic Dimension Groupings



2014 Economic Dimension Results



This page left blank intentionally.

Appendix A Technical Guide

Technical Guide

Overview

The following pages offer detailed descriptions of the methodology used to identify priority NSAs for each variable:

Social Dimension	A-3
Crime Dimension	A-6
Physical Dimension	A-8
Economic Dimension	A-122
Profile Variables	A-14
Variable Methodologies: Comparisons between the 2006 and 2014 Approaches	A-14

Data Considerations

Most variables in this report were generated using locally-based data sources. Descriptions of considerations related these data sources are included for each variable. Additional general data considerations used in developing the methodology include:

- Zip code-based data: In instances where data was collected and analyzed at the zip code scale, the results for each zip code were assigned to the NSAs whose centroids fell in the zip code boundary.
 - One NSA falls inside the zip code 23702, only part of which lies inside of City of Chesapeake boundaries. The U.S. Census classifies this portion of 23702 as part of the 23323 Zip Code Tabulation Area (a level of analysis similar to census tracts and block groups). Further, most Chesapeake resources did not included data for this zip code. For all analyses requiring zip code-level analysis, the NSA falling inside 23702 was assigned to 23323.
- *Census and ACS-based data*: Where possible, the most recent Census or American Community Survey-based data was used. Data sources are noted in the descriptions.
- Margins of error: Matching address points to NSAs in GIS resulted in incomplete results, as some addresses were located outside of the city boundaries. If the address matching results produced less than a five percent margin of error, the results were included in the analysis.
- Z scores: A Z score indicates how many standard deviations above or below the mean the
 original data is. Z scores highlight in-need NSAs to prioritize investments. In some
 instances, a reverse Z score was calculated to make high Z scores reflect high priority (inneed) NSAs.

Social Dimension

Percent of Persons over Age 64 - The proportion of the population that is 65 years and older.

The percent of persons over age 64 is equal to the total number of aged persons 65 years and older divided by the total population for each NSA.

Areas with higher percentages of persons over the age of 64 are given a high priority status because these NSAs may require more services targeted to an aging population.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census.

Percent of Persons Ages 5-19 – The proportion of the population that is between the ages of 5 and 19.

The percent of persons between ages 5 and 19 is equal to the total number of juveniles between the ages of 5 and 19 divided by the total population for each NSA.

Areas with higher percentages of youth are given a high priority status because these NSAs may require more services targeted to children and families.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census.

Infant Wellness – Score based on births to adolescents, low birth weight and no prenatal care in the first trimester of pregnancy.

All 2011 birth records for the City of Chesapeake were address-matched and assigned to an NSA using GIS. The point data was then analyzed in Excel to find the total number of births, the number of children born to women under the age of 18, the number of babies that weighed 2,500 grams or less (approximately 5 ½ pounds), and the number of mothers who received no prenatal care in the first trimester.

Each NSA is indexed based on the following criteria:

- Age of Birth Mother If the age of the birth mother is less than 18 years, the birth is given a score of 0. For births by mother 18 or older, the birth is given a score of 1.
- *Birth Weight* If the birth weight equals or is less than 2,500 grams, the birth is given a score of 0. If the birth weight exceeds 2,500 grams, the birth is given a score of 1.
- *Prenatal Visits* If the mother had not prenatal visits in the first trimester, the birth is given a score of 0. If the mother had at least one prenatal visit in the first trimester, the birth is given a score of 1.

The maximum score for each birth is 3. The actual birth scores were averaged for each NSA. The resulting number is the Infant Wellness score for the NSA.

Source: Virginia Health Department, Birth Certificate Data, 2011.

Percent of Child Welfare Cases - Percentage of children who are in the following categories as of October 15, 2013:

Child Protective Services
Resident of the Juvenile Detention Center

The percentage of child welfare cases is equal to the total number of children in Child Protective Services plus the number of children who reside in the Juvenile Detention Center, divided by the total number of children per zip code The percentage of child welfare cases was calculated by zip code, and result for each zip code was assigned to the NSAs whose centroids fell in the zip code boundary.

Sources: Department of Social Services, Chesapeake, 2013. Chesapeake Juvenile Services, 2013.

U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census.

Note: Client demographic data was obtained from the Virginia Department of Social Services(VDSS) Online Automated Services Information System(OASIS) and compiled via zip code by Chesapeake Department of Social Services(CDSS).

Percent of Households Receiving TANF – The percentage of persons receiving Temporary Aid to Needy Families (TANF).

The percentage of households receiving TANF is equal to the total number of TANF cases divided by the total number of households living in the NSA.

This data is measured at the zip code-level. The percentage for each zip code was assigned to the block groups whose centroids fell in the zip code boundary.

Source: Department of Social Services, Chesapeake, 2013. U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census.

Note: Client demographic data was obtained from the Virginia Department of Social Services(VDSS) Data Warehouse Data Bank Report(on-line) and compiled via zip code by Chesapeake Department of Social Services(CDSS).

Percent of Households Receiving SNAP – The percentage of persons who are receiving food stamps.

The percentage of households receiving SNAP is equal to the total number of SNAP cases divided by the total number of households living in the NSA.

This data is measured at the zip code-level. The percentage for each zip code was assigned to the block groups whose centroids fell in the zip code boundary.

Source: Department of Social Services, Chesapeake, 2013. U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census.

Note: Client demographic data was obtained from the Virginia Department of Social Services(VDSS) Data Warehouse Data Bank Report(on-line) and compiled via zip code by Chesapeake Department of Social Services(CDSS).

Youth Opportunity Score - A measure of the potential opportunities for youth to get involved in extra-curricular activities within the NSA.

"Opportunities" were defined as locations within the community that offered programs and activities for youth up to age 18. Locations included YMCA/YWCAs, churches, schools, recreation centers, community centers and libraries. Opportunity locations were scored as follows:

Churches—Because churches provide services beyond their NSA's boundaries, each church was buffered by a ¼-mile ring that was considered to be a reasonable walking distance for youth to utilize the services offered by the church. Each church was given a score of 1, which was a minimal score that identified the church as a potential activity center. Each residential unit captured by the buffer zone was given a score of 1.

Schools—Schools were given a score of 1. Because these schools provided services beyond their NSA's boundaries, each school was buffered by a ¼-mile ring that was considered to be a reasonable walking distance for youth to utilize the services offered by the school. Each residential unit captured by the buffer zone was assigned a score of based on the programs provided by the adjacent school.

Recreation Centers, Community Centers and YMCAs—Because these centers provide services beyond their NSA's boundaries, each center was buffered by a ¼-mile ring that was considered to be a reasonable walking distance for youth to utilize the services offered by the center. Each center was given a score of 3, which was assigned to every residential unit within the buffer zone.

Libraries—Libraries were scored using the same methodology as the Centers except that a library was given a score of 2, indicating that it potentially offered greater services than a church but fewer than Recreation Centers, Community Centers or YWCA/YMCAs. Each residential unit that fell in the buffer zone was assigned a score of 2.

Each residential unit within an NSA had the potential of receiving a composite score ranging from 0 to 7. The average score for each NSA was calculated based on the youth opportunity score for each residential unit. A reverse Z score was calculated for this variable to prioritize NSAs with lower youth opportunity scores.

Sources: Google Maps, 2013.

Information Technology, 2013.

Real Estate Assessor, Chesapeake, 2012.

Crime Dimension

Category A Victim Crime Index - The rate of category A victim crime in each NSA as compared with the rate of category A victim crime in the City of Chesapeake.

The address locations of each category A victim crime offenses in 2012 were address-matched using GIS. For the purpose of this study, category A victim crimes include homicides, rapes, robberies and aggravated assaults. The point data was then assigned to NSAs to get the total number of crimes per NSA. The total number of category A victim crimes was also summed for the City of Chesapeake.

The population of each NSA and the City of Chesapeake was calculated from census block group statistics. The number of category A victim crime incidents for each NSA was divided by the population of each NSA to get the rate of category A victim crime. The total number of category A crime incidents for the City of Chesapeake was divided by the population of Chesapeake to get the citywide rate of category A victim crime. The category A victim crime rate for each NSA was then compared to the city rate.

The Location Quotient method was used for the comparison. The results indicate the share of all category A victim crime in the City of Chesapeake captured by the individual NSA. For instance, a score of 1.00 indicates that the particular NSA has a rate of category A victim crime that equals the city rate.

Sources: Chesapeake Police Department, 2012. U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census.

Category A Non-Victim Crime Index - The rate of category A non-victim crime in each NSA as compared with the rate of category A non-victim crime in the City of Chesapeake.

The street block locations of category A non-victim crime offenses in 2012 were address-matched using GIS. For the purpose of this study, category A non-victim crimes include burglaries, larcenies, vehicle thefts, arsons and vandalisms. The point data was then intersected with all NSAs to get the total number of crimes per NSA. The total number of category A non-victim crimes was also summed for the City of Chesapeake.

The population of each NSA and the City of Chesapeake was calculated from census block group statistics. The number of category A non-victim crime incidents for each NSA was divided by the population of each NSA to get the rate of category A non-victim crime. The total number of category A non-victim crime incidents for the City of Chesapeake was divided by the population of Chesapeake to get the citywide rate of category A non-victim crime. The rate of category A non-victim crime per population for each NSA was then compared to the rate of category A non-victim crime per population for the city.

The Location Quotient method was used for the comparison. The results indicates the share of all category A non-victim crimes in the City of Chesapeake captured by the individual NSA. For

instance, a score of 1.00 indicates that the particular NSA has a rate of category A non-victim crime that equals the city rate.

Sources: Chesapeake Police Department, 2012. U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census.

Category B Crime Index - The rate of category B crime in each NSA as compared with the rate of category B crime in the City of Chesapeake.

The street block locations of category B crime offenses in 2012 were address-matched using GIS. For the purposes of this study, category B crimes encompass all crimes that are not category A offenses. These crimes include but are not limited to disorderly conduct, driving under the influence and trespassing.

The population of each NSA and the City of Chesapeake was calculated from census block group statistics. The number of category B crime incidents for each NSA was divided by the population of each NSA to get the rate of category B crime. The total number of category B crime incidents for the City of Chesapeake was divided by the population of Chesapeake to get the citywide rate of category B crime. The rate of category B crime per population for each NSA was then compared to the rate of category B crime per population for the city.

The Location Quotient method was used for the comparison. The results indicate the share of all category B crime in the City of Chesapeake captured by the individual NSA. For instance, a score of 1.00 indicates that the particular NSA has a rate of category B crime that equals the city rate.

Sources: Chesapeake Police Department, 2012. U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census.

Physical Dimension

Percent Home Ownership – The percentage of all residential units that were owner-occupied in 2010.

2010 Census data on homeownership was collected for each block group (NSA). The number of owner-occupied units were divided by the total occupied-units to get the percent of home ownership for each NSA. A reverse Z score was used to prioritize NSAs with lower home ownership percentages.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census.

Percentage of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years – The percentage of single family dwellings older than 50 years.

By utilizing the tax parcel database, each tax record was assigned to an NSA using GIS. Each tax record was analyzed for residential use and age. The records were summarized for each NSA by single family units.

For each record, houses older than 50 years were identified. The total number of single family dwellings older than 50 years was then divided by the total number of single family dwellings for each NSA to get the percentage of single family dwelling older than 50 years.

Sources: Real Estate Assessor, Chesapeake, 2012.

Percent Code Enforcement – A score based on the code enforcement violations for each NSA.

The violations recorded for 2012 were geocoded and assigned spatial attributes with GIS. The data points were identified with NSA boundaries to get the total number of code enforcement violations for each NSA. Each violation was ranked in severity based on a multiplier described below:

- Properties coded as demolitions were given a score of 5. These structures are 50% damaged or dilapidated.
- Properties that are ordered to board up their windows and doors and secured were given a score of 3. These structures are vacant and are considered unsafe.
- Properties that are red tagged are given a score of 2. Virginia Natural Gas has determined an unsafe condition exists.
- Structures that have property maintenance violation are given a score of 2.
- Properties that have weeds, debris, or graffiti are given a score of 1.

The scores for each NSA were summarized and divided by the total number of parcels in the NSA. The values were classified into the following categories:

 $\begin{aligned} & High - 0.22 + \\ & Medium - 0.10 - 0.22 \\ & Low - 0.0 - 0.10 \end{aligned}$

Source: Development and Permits Department, Chesapeake 2012.

Sidewalk Completeness Score – The percentage of pedestrian accessible streets that have a sidewalk on at least one side.

In GIS, the buffer tool was used to create 35 foot buffers on either side of sidewalks. The streets shapefile was then clipped by the resulting buffer layer. The resulting clipped layer contained only streets surrounded by sidewalks on at least one side. The clipped road layer was then clipped by the NSA boundaries to prevent roads from overlapping multiple NSAs. Using the Summary Statistics tool, the distance of clipped road lengths (equal to the total length of sidewalks) was totaled by NSA.

The Summary Statistics tool was then used to calculate the total length of street miles per NSA. The number of street miles with sidewalks was divided by the total number of street miles to obtain the percentage of pedestrian accessible streets that have sidewalks on at least one side. The values were categorized using the following:

High - 50% + Medium - 25% - 50% Low - 0% - 25%

Source: Information Technology, Chesapeake, 2013.

Access to Public Transportation – The percentage of residential parcels within walking distance of public transportation, defined using the Chesapeake Area Transit System bus stops.

By utilizing the tax parcel database, each tax record was assigned to an NSA using GIS. Each tax record was analyzed for residential use and this number was used as a proxy for the total number of residential units.

The bus stops were buffered by ¼ mile using GIS. The residential tax parcels that fell within the buffer boundaries were used as a proxy for the number of residential units with access to public transportation. The number of residential parcels with access to public transportation was divided by the total number of residential parcels to get the percentage of residents accessible to public transportation for each NSA. A reverse Z score was used to prioritize NSAs with lower access to public transportation.

Source: Information Technology, Chesapeake, 2013. Real Estate Assessor, Chesapeake, 2012.

Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work – The percentage of daily commuters who walk, use public transit and bike to work.

Commuter data was collected by census tract. NSAs were assigned the values of the census tracts by which they were contained. A reverse Z score was used to prioritize NSAs with lower non-auto commuting rates.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census.

Access to Basic Retail Facilities – The percentage of NSA residents who live near a grocery store and/or a pharmacy.

By utilizing the tax parcel database, each tax record was assigned to an NSA using GIS. Each tax record was analyzed for residential use and this number was used as a proxy for the total number of residential units.

Grocery stores and pharmacy addresses were identified using Google Maps and grocery store and pharmacy websites. This data was geocoded and given spatial attributes in GIS and buffered by 1 mile. The tax parcels that fell within the buffer boundaries were as a proxy for the number of residential units with access to basic retail. The number of residential units accessible to basic retail was divided by the total number of residential units to get the percentage of residents accessible to basic retail for each NSA. A reverse Z score was used to prioritize NSAs with lower access to basic retail facilities.

Sources: Google Maps, 2013.

Real Estate Assessor, Chesapeake, 2012.

Access to Park Facilities – The percent of residential parcels within ¼ mile of a neighborhood park and/or 1 mile of a district park.

By utilizing the tax parcel database, each tax record was assigned to an NSA using GIS. Each tax record was analyzed for residential use and this number was used as a proxy for the total number of residential units.

Neighborhood parks were buffered by ¼ mile and district parks were buffered by 1 mile. The tax parcels that fell within the buffer boundaries were used to calculate the number of residential units accessible to parks. The number of residential units accessible to parks and recreation was divided by the total number of residential units to get the percentage of residents accessible to parks for each NSA. A reverse Z score was used to prioritize NSAs with lower access to park facilities.

Sources: Information Technology, Chesapeake, 2013. Real Estate Assessor, Chesapeake, 2012.

Proximity to Noxious Facilities – The percentage of NSA residents living within ¼ mile of a noxious facility.

Noxious facilities include all facilities contained in the Air Facility System (AFS), a computer based repository for information about air pollution maintained by the Environmental Protection Agency. This information comes from source reports by various stationary sources of air pollution, such as electric power plants, steel mills, factories. The geographic coordinates provided by this database were used to create a point system representing their locations.

By utilizing the tax parcel database, each tax record was assigned to an NSA using GIS. Each tax record was analyzed for residential use and this number was used as a proxy for the total number of residential units.

Each noxious facility was buffered by ¼ mile. The residential parcels that fell within the buffer boundaries were used to calculate the number of residential units near noxious facilities. The number of residential units near noxious facilities was divided by the total number of residential units to get the percentage of residents near noxious facilities for each NSA.

Sources: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Air Facility System, 2013. (http://www.epa.gov/compliance/data/systems/air/afssystem.html) Information Technology, Chesapeake, 2013. Real Estate Assessor, Chesapeake, 2012.

Drainage Score – A score that represents the how well an NSA can handle storm water runoff based on existing infrastructure and drainage conditions.

The percentage of each NSA with poor drainage was calculated using a digitized soil database. Any soil ranked poorly or very poorly drained was considered an area with poor drainage. The area of an NSA with poorly drained soiled was divided by the entire area of the NSA to obtain the percentage of an NSA with poorly drained soil.

The percentage of each NSA reporting storm water problems was calculated. The number of reported storm water problems in 2012 was summarized for each NSA. This summarized value was divided by the number of single family residential parcels.

The percentage of each NSA with infrastructure greater than 50 years old was calculated. The residential area older than 50 years old was divided by the total area residential area to obtain the percentage of residential areas in the NSA older than 50 years.

The calculated values were multiplied to obtain the drainage score and were categorized below:

Average -0.0 - 0.15Low -0.15 - 0.93

Sources: Public Works, Chesapeake, 2012. NRCS, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 2013.

Economic Dimension

Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income – The median percentage of homeowner housing costs as a percentage of household income.

2012 American Community Survey data on median homeowner housing costs as a percentage of income was collected for census block groups in Chesapeake.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 2012 5-Year Estimates.

Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance – The affordability of the median gross rent for families seeking housing assistance.

American Community Survey data for the median gross rent per NSA was collected. These values were then compared to the median monthly income of people seeking housing assistance in Chesapeake (\$808/month in September 2013).

Please note that for 15 NSAs, American Community Survey data was unavailable at the block group level due to a sample size that was insufficient for estimation. In these instances, a null value was used for analysis. On the relevant NSA profiles in Appendix B, null values are indicated for the NSA variable result and no priority is assigned to the variable.

If the median gross rent value exceeded 30% of the median monthly income value, the NSA was classified as unaffordable. If the median gross rent value was less than 30% of the median monthly income value, the NSA was classified as affordable.

Source: Homes For Kids, 2013.

U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 2012 5-Year Estimates.

New Residential Building Permits- The total number of new residential building permits (condos, duplexes and single family homes) approved in 2012.

Residential building permits approved in 2012 were geocoded and given spatial attributes with GIS. The number of new residential building permits was then summed by NSA. A reverse Z score was used to prioritize NSAs with lower rates of new building permits.

Source: Development and Permits Department, Chesapeake, 2012.

Percent Residential Addition Investments- The percentage of additions made to residential units in 2012.

By utilizing the tax parcel database, each tax record was assigned to an NSA using GIS. Each tax record was analyzed for residential use and this number was used as a proxy for the total number

of residential units. A reverse Z score was used to prioritize NSAs with lower percentages of residential addition investments.

Using the certificate of occupancy database, the total number of additions permits approved in 2012 was divided by the total number of residential parcels to obtain the percentage of single family units that were added on to.

Sources: Development and Permits Department, Chesapeake, 2012. Real Estate and Assessment, Chesapeake, 2012

Percent Change in Income – Percent change in median household income value was calculated using the following equation:

$$\frac{2012 \text{ income} - 2000 \text{ income}}{2000 \text{ income}} * 100 = \% \text{ Change in MHI}$$

Some block group geographic boundaries shifted between 2000 and 2010. In these instances, comparisons were made between the block groups whose geographic boundaries or areas most closely aligned.

A reverse Z score was used to prioritize NSAs with higher negative changes in income.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2000 Census, American Community Survey 2012 5-Year Estimates-.

Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels - The percentage of residential property owners who have failed to pay their property taxes.

This data is collected at the zip code-level. The percentage for each zip code was assigned to the block groups whose centroids fell in the zip code boundary.

By utilizing the tax parcel database, each tax record was assigned to an NSA using GIS. Each tax record was analyzed for residential use and this number was used as a proxy for the total number of residential units.

The number of single family properties that have not paid their property taxes for 2012 as of June 2013 was divided by the total number of single family properties to obtain the percentage of single family properties that are tax delinquent.

Sources: Information Technology, Chesapeake, 2013. Real Estate Assessor, Chesapeake, 2012. Treasurer's Office, Chesapeake, 2013.

Profile Variables

Population – The total population of each NSA.

2012 population data was collected for each block group (NSA).

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 2012 5-Year Estimates.

Population Growth – Percent change in population from 2010 to 2012.

2010 and 2012 American Community Survey data on total population was collected for census block groups in Chesapeake. The following equation was used:

$$\frac{2012 \text{ population} - 2010 \text{ population}}{2010 \text{ population}} * 100 = \% \text{ Change in MHI per census tract}$$

Please note, because some block group geographic boundaries shifted between 2000 and 2010, data prior to 2010 could not be used for these calculations. Ideally, during the next study update, a longer timeframe could be used for this variable.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 2012 5-Year Estimates, 2010 5-Year Estimates.

Youth Population – The number of youth under age 18.

2010 youth population data was collected for each block group (NSA).

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census.

Median Household Income – The median income of households in each NSA.

2012 median household income data was collected for each census block group.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 2012 5-Year Estimates

Number of Housing Units – The total number of housing units per NSA.

2010 census data on housing units was collected for each block group (NSA).

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census.

Median House Value – The median value of housing units in each NSA.

2012 median assessed value data was collected for census block groups in Chesapeake.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 2012 5-Year Estimates.

Change in Housing Values– Percent change in residential house value was calculated using the following equation:

2012 assessed value for NSA – 2000 assessed value for NSA 2000 assessed value for NSA * 100 = % Change in House Value

Some block group geographic boundaries shifted between 2000 and 2010. In these instances, comparisons were made between the block groups whose geographic boundaries or areas most closely aligned.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2000 Census, American Community Survey 2012 5-Year Estimates.

Percentage of Neighborhood that is Residential – The proportion of the NSA area that is residential, whether developed or not.

The percentage is equal to the total area of residential tax parcels in each NSA that is divided by the total area of each NSA.

Source: Real Estate Assessor, Chesapeake, 2012.

Percentage of Residential Apartment Units – The percentage of apartment units per NSA.

The number of mobile homes, apartments, condos and residential parcels per NSA was summed to find the total number of residential units. (A one structure to one parcel ratio was assumed for non-apartment and non-mobile home housing). The total number of apartments per neighborhood was divided by the total number of residential units to find the percentage of residential apartment units.

Sources: Information Technology, Chesapeake, 2012. Real Estate Assessor, Chesapeake, 2012.

Area (Acres) – The number of acres comprising each NSA.

The number of acres per NSA was calculated using the Area tool in GIS.

Source: Information Technology, 2013.

Overlays – Urban, Suburban and Rural Overlays were adopted by City Council in May 2005.

<u>Urban</u>: Up to 7 single-family detached dwelling per acre; up to 10 townhouse (single-family attached) units per acre; up to 30 multifamily units in certain zoning districts (City Council may approve up to 45 multifamily units per acre via a conditional use permit involving property zoned PUD-U and located within the Poindexter Street strategic development plan).

<u>Suburban</u>: Up to 4 single-family detached units per acre; up to 10 townhouse units per acre; up to 16 multifamily units per acre in the RMF-1 zoning district or 24 multifamily units per acre in the RMF-2 zoning district.

Rural: No more than 1 dwelling unit per 3 acres.

Source: Information Technology, Chesapeake, 2006.

Variable Methodologies: Comparisons between the 2006 and 2014 Approaches

Direct Comparison is Possible

	Variable	Considerations
Social	Percent of persons over age 64	
Dimension	Percent of persons ages 5-19	
	Infant wellness	
	Youth Opportunity Index	
Crime	Category A Victim Crime Index	
Dimension	Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	
	Category B Crime Index	
Physical	Percentage of Single Family Dwellings	The 2014 study used 1963 as the cutoff year.
Dimension	Older than 50 Years	The 2006 study used 1956 as the cutoff year.
	Percent code enforcement	
	Drainage score	
Economic	Percent residential addition	The 2006 study referred to this variable as
Dimension	investments	"Percent Housing Reinvestment."
	Percent change in income	
Non-Analytical	Population	
Variables	Population growth	
	Median household income	
	Change in housing values	
	Percentage of residential apartment	
	units	
	Area (Acres)	
	Overlays	

Comparisons are Possible with Caveats (Scale Considerations)

	Variable	Considerations
Social	Percent of households receiving	2014 study analysis was conducted at the zip code
Dimension	TANF	scale due to privacy considerations. 2006 study
		analysis was conducted at the NSA scale.
	Percent of households receiving	2014 study analysis was conducted at the zip code
	SNAP	scale due to privacy considerations. 2006 study
		analysis was conducted at the NSA scale.
	Percent of child welfare cases	2014 study analysis was conducted at the zip code
		scale due to data availability. The 2006 study does
		not record at what scale the analysis was
		conducted.
Economic	Percent of tax delinquent	2014 study analysis was conducted at the zip code
Dimension	residential parcels	scale due to data availability. 2006 study analysis
		was conducted at the NSA scale.

Comparisons are Possible with Caveats (Residential Denominator Considerations)

	Variable	Considerations
Physical	Access to public transportation	The 2014 methodology used a selection of
Dimension	Access to basic retail facilities	residential address points to reflect the number of
	Access to park facilities	residential units with access. The 2006
	Proximity to noxious facilities	methodology used a selection based on residential
	-	parcels to identify parcels with access.

Comparisons are Possible with Caveats (Miscellaneous Considerations)

	Variable	Considerations
Physical	Sidewalk completeness score	2006 methodology used aerial imagery to
Dimension		calculate length of roads surrounded by sidewalks
		on at least one side and divided that number by
		total number of street miles. 2014 methodology
		calculated roads surrounded by sidewalks using
		city-provided GIS data divided by number of
		street miles.
Economic	Percent Home Ownership	2006 methodology assumed that all residential
Dimension		units were owner-occupied. 2014 methodology
		used US Census data on homeownership rates at
		the block group level.
Non-Analytical	Median house value	2006 data reflected average home value. The 2014
Variables		study used median home value data from the US
		Census.
	Percent of neighborhood that is	2006 methodology divided residentially
	residential	developed or zoned properties in NSA by total
		area of NSA. 2014 divided total area of residential
		tax parcels in NSA by total area of NSA.
	Youth population	2014 study analysis categorized children under
		age 18 as "youth." The 2006 study does not record
		assumptions about the age of children categorized
		as "youth."

New Variables

	Variable	Considerations
Physical Dimension	Percent of persons using a means other than an automobile to get to work	No variables to compare to in 2006 study.
Economic Dimension	Affordable housing access for families seeking housing assistance	
	Homeowner housing costs as a percentage of income	The 2006 study included a variable called "Percent Affordable Housing," which examined affordability for those seeking to purchase a home. The methodology for this variable was not well documented enough to replicate. In lieu of this variable, the 2014 study includes a new variable that examines affordability of ongoing housing costs for homeowners.
	New residential building permits	No variables to compare to in 2006 study.
Non-Analytical Variables	Number of housing units	

This page left blank intentionally.

Appendix B Neighborhood Profiles

Neighborhood Profiles

Overview

The following pages offer a one page profile for each of Chesapeake's 122 Neighborhood Statistical Areas (NSAs). As described in the Geographic Units section of the report, the 2014 NQL Study Update uses 122 NSAs as the geographic units of analysis; the NSAs are identical to the block groups used to gather data for the U.S. Census.

The NSA profiles in Appendix B are in ascending order by ID number, starting with NSA 200.01 1 and ending with NSA 216.02 4. To identify an NSA of interest, the reader may use any of the following three methods:

- *NSA Identification Map* (found on pages B-4 and B-5): This map shows the location of each NSA and its corresponding ID number.
- Spatial Table of Contents Map (found on pages B-6 and B-7): This map shows the location of each NSA and the corresponding page number for each NSA profile.
- *Appendix D*: This appendix provides a subdivision and neighborhood name index for the NSAs.

*Information Contained in the Neighborhood Profiles*Each neighborhood profile contains the following information:

- Census Tract and Block Group Number: This information provides a unique identification number for each NSA. These numbers may be found at the top right of each profile.
- *Dimensional Priority Score*: This information, located just below the census tract and block group number, indicates how many times the NSA met the High Priority NSA criteria for the 2014 NQL dimensions (social, crime, physical and economic). NSAs may receive a score between 0-4. The table located at the top left indicates which dimensions meet the High Priority criteria for this NSA.
- *Profile Variables*: The second table on the left hand side of the profile provides data for each of the 10 profile variables. These variables were not used in the prioritization process. Instead, they provide a demographic and socioeconomic context for each NSA. Data for the city is provided for each profile variable so that the NSA can easily be compared to the city as a whole.³
- *NSA Maps:* Both a map of the NSA and a map of the NSA's location in the City of Chesapeake are provided at the bottom left of each profile.
- Analytical Variables: The tables on the right hand side of the profile provide data for each of the 26 analytical variables. These variables are grouped by dimension. Data for the city is provided for each analytical variable so that the NSA can easily be compared to the city as a whole.²

November 2014 B-2

_

³ Details regarding the methods of analysis for the Profile Variables and the Analytical Variables can be found in the Methods section of the report and in Appendix A.

In addition, the tables indicate which analytical variables are considered a priority for that NSA due to scoring within the top 25 standardized scores for that variable. A high standardized score, also called a "high priority score," indicates that the NSA may benefit from investments to improve quality of life as it relates to that variable. For additional information, see the Methods section of the report.


• *Comments*: The comments provided at the bottom right of each profile indicate whether the NSA falls with the Urban, Suburban or Rural overlay zone. An NSA may fall into more than one zone. For detailed descriptions of these zones, see the Profile Variables section of Appendix A.

⁴ In instances where NSAs had tied scores at the cutoff point (e.g., NSA 24, 25, 26, 27 had identical scores), then all of the tied NSAs were counted.


NSA Identification

The NSA profiles in Appendix B are in ascending order by ID number, starting with NSA 200.01 1 and ending with NSA 216.02 4. The maps below show the location of each NSA and its corresponding ID number.


For additional help locating an NSA, the reader may use the Spatial Table of Contents Map (found on pages B-6 and B-6), which shows the corresponding page number for each NSA profile. Additionally, Appendix D provides a subdivision and neighborhood name index for the NSAs.



Frame 1



Frame 2




Appendix B Spatial Table of Contents


The maps below provide a spatial table of contents that can be used to find the page numbers for each NSA profile.

Legend Major Roads NSA Boundaries


Note: The labels on this map show the corresponding page number for each NSA profile.



Frame 1





Frame 2



Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	✓
Physical	√
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,356	223,233
Population Growth	-7.8%	1.8%
Youth Population	299	57,767
Median Household Income	\$47,148	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	648	82,763
Median House Value	\$234,200	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	135.4%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	88.7%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	480	224,901
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>





Tract: 200.01
Block Group: 1

Dimensional Priority Score: 2

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64	✓	20.0%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		14.5%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.3%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		1.8%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		17.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.6	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	✓	4.3	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	✓	6.0	1.0
Category B Crime Index		3.8	1.0

Physical

1 Hysical			
Percent Home Ownership		85.3%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years	✓	82.1%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		22.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work	✓	0.0%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		84.6%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	✓	0.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		16.6%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.0	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		23.3%	24.6%
Percentage of Income			
Affordable Housing Access for Families	√	✓ No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	,	140	1
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.8%	0.7%
Investments		0.6%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income	✓	0.0%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.4%	5.8%
Parcels		5.4%	5.8%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	✓
Economic	

Tract: 200.02
Block Group: 1
Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Profile	NSA Cit		
Population	1,609	223,233	
Population Growth	39.3%	1.8%	
Youth Population	318	57,767	
Median Household Income	\$63,750	\$70,244	
Number of Housing Units	513	82,763	
Median House Value	\$209,500	\$267,700	
Change in Housing Values	150.6%	118.9%	
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	72.1%	18.7%	
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%	
Area (Acres)	347	224,901	

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		11.7%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		19.6%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.3%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		1.8%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		17.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.8	0.6



Cillic		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.8	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.8	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.9	1.0

Solmon Common Co
SPENDERN SPECONE
HICKORNER TO MOIGO OF THE MENANDS

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		60.3%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years	✓	84.2%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Medium	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		76.3%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		1.6%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		32.6%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	✓	0.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		11.5%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.0	0.3

363

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		23.0%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	√	No	No
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments		0.9%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		21.0%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		5.4%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	✓
Physical	✓
Economic	✓

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,822	223,233
Population Growth	-14.5%	1.8%
Youth Population	594	57,767
Median Household Income	\$29,367	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	749	82,763
Median House Value	\$146,800	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	120.1%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	73.8%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	63.9%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	133	224,901





Tract: 200.02 Block Group: 2

Dimensional Priority Score: 3

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		5.4%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		23.4%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.8	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.3%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		1.8%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		17.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.9	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index		1.1	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index		1.2	1.0
Category B Crime Index	✓	1.5	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		60.3%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years	✓	69.4%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	✓	High	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		84.6%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		1.6%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	✓	0.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		5.5%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	✓	38.8%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.1	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a	√	33.9%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		33.370	24.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families	./	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	NO	NO
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition		1.1%	0.7%
Investments		1.170	0.7%
Percent Change in Income	✓	-8.4%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.4%	5.8%
Parcels		3.4%	3.0%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	✓
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,189	223,233
Population Growth	22.7%	1.8%
Youth Population	318	57,767
Median Household Income	\$44,194	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	526	82,763
Median House Value	\$141,700	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	108.1%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	100.0%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	151	224,901

Priority	NSA	City
	12.6%	10.0%
	19.4%	22.8%
✓	2.8	2.9
	0.3%	0.2%
	1.8%	1.2%
	17.1%	14.0%
√	1.7	0.6
	Priority ✓	12.6% 19.4% ✓ 2.8 0.3% 1.8%



Crime		
Category A Victim Crime Index	1.6	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.8	1.0
Category B Crime Index	1.0	1.0

HORNSEN TO NOGO O	NACE STATE
MAYON	FROSTY OF STANDER
SCOTIA ELBYRNE SERNE ELVA BORDER	CORNIGK
NO TO	PHILMONT WA CHESTNUT

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		60.3%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years	✓	97.0%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	✓	Medium	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		71.5%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		1.6%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	✓	4.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		60.9%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.2	0.3

233

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		25.2%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families	✓	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	NO	110
New Residential Building Permits		1	792
Percent Residential Addition		4.20/	0.70/
Investments		1.3%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		36.9%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		F 40/	F 00/
Parcels		5.4%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	✓
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,580	223,233
Population Growth	-4.8%	1.8%
Youth Population	567	57,767
Median Household Income	\$50,513	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	616	82,763
Median House Value	\$173,100	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	120.8%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	66.4%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	51.8%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	137	224,901





Tract: 200.03
Block Group: 1

Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		7.5%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19	✓	26.7%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.3%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		1.8%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		17.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		1.0	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	✓	1.9	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	✓	1.4	1.0
Category B Crime Index		1.3	1.0

Physical

Filysical			
Percent Home Ownership		63.8%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years	✓	97.4%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	✓	High	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	✓	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		39.4%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		1.9%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		100.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	✓	38.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.2	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		27.4%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		27.470	24.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families	1	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	INO	INO
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.7%	0.7%
Investments		0.7%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		78.8%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.4%	5.8%
Parcels		3.4%	3.6%

Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	✓


Area (Acres)

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,230	223,233
Population Growth	-7.4%	1.8%
Youth Population	325	57,767
Median Household Income	\$51,429	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	508	82,763
Median House Value	\$209,900	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	127.4%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	89.0%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%

153

224,901

	NANDA ONANA FONTANA
BE COLINOADE ROLLING	PAGINATION OF THE PAGE OF THE



Tract: 200.03 Block Group: 2

Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		12.1%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		18.9%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.3%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		1.8%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		17.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score	√	1.2	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.6	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.9	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.8	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		63.8%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		27.9%	18.9%
Older than 50 years			
Percent Code Enforcement	✓	Medium	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Medium	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		1.9%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		97.4%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		100.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.2%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.3	0.3

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income	✓	29.4%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	√	No	No
New Residential Building Permits		5	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments	✓	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income	✓	11.9%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		5.4%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	✓
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	786	223,233
Population Growth	-15.4%	1.8%
Youth Population	243	57,767
Median Household Income	\$61,429	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	412	82,763
Median House Value	\$217,000	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	138.5%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	90.2%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	13.8%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	113	224,901





Tract: 200.03
Block Group: 3

Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		9.9%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		18.4%	22.8%
Infant Wellness	✓	2.8	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.3%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		1.8%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		17.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score	√	1.2	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	1.6	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	1.2	1.0
Category B Crime Index	1.2	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		63.8%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		49.3%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		39.9%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		1.9%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		93.8%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	✓	36.9%	10.3%
Drainage Score	✓	0.6	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		23.4%	24.6%
Percentage of Income			
Affordable Housing Access for Families	√	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	140	140
New Residential Building Permits		2	792
Percent Residential Addition		1.0%	0.7%
Investments		1.0%	0.77
Percent Change in Income		46.0%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.4%	5.8%
Parcels		5.4%	5.6%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	✓
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	✓

Tract: 200.03
Block Group: 4
Dimensional Priority Score: 2

Profile	NSA	City
Population	633	223,233
Population Growth	-7.0	1.8%
Youth Population	154	57,767
Median Household Income	\$55,906	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	279	82,763
Median House Value	\$216,300	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	108.2%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	91.7%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	129	224,901

Priority	NSA	City
✓	18.2%	10.0%
	17.8%	22.8%
	2.9	2.9
	0.3%	0.2%
	1.8%	1.2%
	17.1%	14.0%
	0.6	0.6
	Priority ✓	✓ 18.2% 17.8% 2.9 0.3% 1.8% 17.1%



Crime			
Category A Victim Crime Index	✓	4.4	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	✓	1.8	1.0
Category B Crime Index		1.2	1.0

Physical

63.8% 59.9%	74.9% 18.9%
59.9%	18.9%
33.376	
	2.07.
Low	Low
Low	Low
21.6%	21.0%
1.9%	2.1%
100.0%	62.0%
72.6%	44.8%
0.0%	10.3%
0.3	0.3
	Low 21.6% 1.9% 100.0% 72.6% 0.0%

300

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a	✓	32.5%	24.6%
Percentage of Income			
Affordable Housing Access for Families		_	No
Seeking Housing Assistance			110
New Residential Building Permits		1	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.4%	0.7%
Investments		0.4%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income	✓	4.3%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.4%	5.8%
Parcels		5.4%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	✓
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	954	223,233
Population Growth	2.0%	1.8%
Youth Population	240	57,767
Median Household Income	\$65,208	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	387	82,763
Median House Value	\$215,000	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	100.9%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	97.2%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	145	224,901





Tract: 200.03
Block Group: 5

Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		12.2%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		18.2%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.3%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		1.8%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		17.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.0	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.7	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.4	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		63.8%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		41.7%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		1.9%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		69.6%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		100.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.0	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		12.3%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		12.57	24.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families	1	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	INO	NO
New Residential Building Permits		3	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.6%	0.7%
Investments		0.0%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		102.2%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.4%	5.8%
Parcels		3.4%	3.6%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	✓
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Tract: 201.00
Block Group: 1
Dimensional Priority Score: 1
Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,819	223,233
Population Growth	33.5%	1.8%
Youth Population	301	57,767
Median Household Income	\$49,181	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	687	82,763
Median House Value	\$159,500	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	139.8%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	82.5%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	68.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	101	224,901

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		12.3%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		17.2%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	✓	0.6%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF	✓	4.0%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP	✓	40.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.6	0.6
. cam. opportamely doore	[0.0	0.0



·······		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.5	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.7	1.0
Category B Crime Index	1.0	1.0

GIDEON	STALHAN SHELTER WELCOME	
CAMPOSTELL		
MUNDEN	RBOR GLEN CANOLERY CONTROL OF THE CO	
	BETUNIA BET	
NARROW	ROCK POINT	
ESTOL	POINT THE ROCK CHEEK	
<u>~</u>	REDBUD UP POST	

Physical

Percent Home Ownership	✓	31.5%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		0.0%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	✓	High	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		87.4%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		14.0%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		98.5%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.0	0.3

17)

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a		24.1%	24.6%
Percentage of Income			
Affordable Housing Access for Families	√	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	·	110	110
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition	./	0.0%	0.7%
Investments	•	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		117.3%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential	./	11.0%	5.8%
Parcels	•	11.0%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	✓
Crime	✓
Physical	
Economic	✓

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,851	223,233
Population Growth	-12.3%	1.8%
Youth Population	869	57,767
Median Household Income	\$19,167	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	733	82,763
Median House Value	\$170,700	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	136.1%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	51.4%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	85.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	190	224,901





Tract: 201.00 Block Group: 2

Dimensional Priority Score: 3

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		4.8%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19	✓	31.5%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	✓	0.6%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF	✓	4.0%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP	✓	40.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score	√	2.5	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	✓	2.8	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	✓	1.6	1.0
Category B Crime Index	✓	2.3	1.0

Physical

· ilysicai			
Percent Home Ownership	✓	31.5%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years	✓	55.4%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	✓	Medium	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Medium	Low
Access to Public Transportation		100.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		14.0%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		45.9%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		98.3%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		1.2%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.1	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income	✓	34.0%	24.6%
Percentage of income			
Affordable Housing Access for Families	√	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	,	140	110
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition		1.0%	0.7%
Investments		1.0%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income	✓	-15.3%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential	./	11 00/	5.8%
Parcels	•	11.0%	5.8%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	✓
Crime	✓
Physical	
Economic	✓

Tract: 201.00
Block Group: 3
Dimensional Priority Score: 3

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,064	223,233
Population Growth	-16.7%	1.8%
Youth Population	313	57,767
Median Household Income	\$35,609	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	410	82,763
Median House Value	\$160,500	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	122.0%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	69.3%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	122	224,901

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		11.2%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		23.7%	22.8%
Infant Wellness	✓	2.8	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	✓	0.6%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF	✓	4.0%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP	✓	40.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score	✓	3.5	0.6
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP	√ ✓	40.1%	14.09



Cilile			
Category A Victim Crime Index		1.0	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index		1.2	1.0
Category B Crime Index	✓	2.5	1.0

SACOLOGO SAC	MATTOS SCOTA P SCOTA P SCOTA RNIE SELVA KEITH
The training to the training t	GNO _d FARMER

Physical

Percent Home Ownership	✓	31.5%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years	✓	76.8%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	✓	High	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		100.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		14.0%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	✓	0.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		79.7%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	✓	29.7%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.1	0.3

66 66 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70
--

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a	✓	28.5%	24.6%
Percentage of Income			
Affordable Housing Access for Families	1	✓ No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	110	110
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.3%	0.7%
Investments		0.5%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income	✓	-1.3%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential	./	11.0%	5.8%
Parcels	•	11.0%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	✓
Crime	✓
Physical	
Economic	✓

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,034	223,233
Population Growth	-3.0%	1.8%
Youth Population	370	57,767
Median Household Income	\$21,553	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	356	82,763
Median House Value	\$164,600	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	118.6%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	53.9%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	49.3%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	233	224,901





Tract: 202.00 Block Group: 1

Dimensional Priority Score: 3

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		10.1%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19	✓	29.2%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	✓	0.6%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF	✓	4.0%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP	✓	40.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.9	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index		1.2	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index		0.8	1.0
Category B Crime Index	✓	2.0	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership	✓	58.6%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		21.5%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Medium	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		High	Low
Access to Public Transportation		100.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		9.3%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	✓	0.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		13.9%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.0	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		13.9%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		10.070	,
Affordable Housing Access for Families	\	✓ No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	140	110
New Residential Building Permits		3	792
Percent Residential Addition	./	0.0%	0.7%
Investments	•	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income	✓	-3.0%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential	./	11.0%	5.8%
Parcels	•	11.0%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	✓
Crime	✓
Physical	✓
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,353	223,233
Population Growth	-3.6%	1.8%
Youth Population	288	57,767
Median Household Income	\$36,111	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	340	82,763
Median House Value	\$232,400	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	248.4%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	64.1%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	11.1%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	118	224,901

CHES PENAND COMMERCE COMMERCE PRINT	
BYRD RIGHMOND BYRD WILBUR	ERS



Tract: 202.00 Block Group: 2

Dimensional Priority Score: 3

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		8.4%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19	✓	29.2%	22.8%
Infant Wellness	✓	2.8	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	✓	0.6%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF	✓	4.0%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP	✓	40.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		1.0	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	✓	4.1	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	✓	1.5	1.0
Category B Crime Index	✓	1.6	1.0

Physical

i ilysicai			
Percent Home Ownership	✓	58.6%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years	√	50.0%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	✓	Medium	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Medium	Low
Access to Public Transportation		100.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		9.3%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		26.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		82.4%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	✓	54.8%	10.3%
Drainage Score	✓	0.3	0.3

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		20.4%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families	✓	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	NO	110
New Residential Building Permits		7	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.00/	0.70/
Investments	•	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		91.0%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential	./	11 00/	5.8%
Parcels	•	11.0%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	✓
Crime	✓
Physical	
Economic	✓

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,232	223,233
Population Growth	16.1%	1.8%
Youth Population	352	57,767
Median Household Income	\$52,563	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	505	82,763
Median House Value	\$127,700	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	62.3%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	53.1%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	8.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	202	224,901
_		<u> </u>





Tract: 202.00 Block Group: 3

Dimensional Priority Score: 3

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		13.0%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		22.6%	22.8%
Infant Wellness	✓	2.8	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	✓	0.6%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF	✓	4.0%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP	✓	40.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.9	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	✓	2.9	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	✓	1.8	1.0
Category B Crime Index	✓	1.9	1.0

Physical

Parcent Home Ownership	./	58.6%	74.9%
Percent Home Ownership	•	36.0%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings	√	EO 20/	10.00/
Older than 50 years	V	50.3%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Medium	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	✓	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		96.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means			
Other than an Automobile to Get to		9.3%	2.1%
Work			
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	✓	0.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		75.9%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		11.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score	✓	0.3	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		26.0%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		20.070	24.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families	./	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	110	NO
New Residential Building Permits		1	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.7%	0.7%
Investments		0.7%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		66.9%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential	./	11.0%	5.8%
Parcels	•	11.0%	5.6%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	✓
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Tract: 202.00
Block Group: 4
Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Profile	NSA	City
Population	758	223,233
Population Growth	29.1%	1.8%
Youth Population	285	57,767
Median Household Income	\$45,078	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	318	82,763
Median House Value	\$178,000	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	190.8%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	32.6%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	169	224,901

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		10.6%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19	✓	25.8%	22.8%
Infant Wellness	✓	2.8	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	✓	0.6%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF	✓	4.0%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP	✓	40.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		1.0	0.6



Cilile		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.7	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	1.1	1.0
Category B Crime Index	1.2	1.0

BOOHID ON AND SHAPE OF THE PARK		BORDER DRATTON ALGONA
CULEN	OLIVER	PARKS IDE COMINY
POGAHONTAS	M RHODEB	VIÈKER ATTALSOGNWO

Physical

Percent Home Ownership	✓	58.6%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		26.1%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	✓	Medium	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		100.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		9.3%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		48.9%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		74.2%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	✓	32.8%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.1	0.3

788 788 788 788 788

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		26.8%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	√	No	No
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments		2.0%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		20.2%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels	✓	11.0%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	✓
Crime	✓
Physical	✓
Economic	✓

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,041	223,233
Population Growth	26.6%	1.8%
Youth Population	300	57,767
Median Household Income	\$28,942	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	350	82,763
Median House Value	\$185,100	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	129.9%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	30.4%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	17.2%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	165	224,901





Tract: 203.00 Block Group: 1

Dimensional Priority Score: 4

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		5.1%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19	✓	26.0%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	✓	0.6%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF	✓	4.0%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP	✓	40.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score	√	2.7	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	✓	4.9	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	✓	3.0	1.0
Category B Crime Index		3.9	1.0

Physical

Filysical			
Percent Home Ownership	✓	41.5%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years	✓	86.3%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	✓	High	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		97.9%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		2.5%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	✓	0.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		83.3%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	✓	45.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.2	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a	✓	33.3%	24.6%
Percentage of Income			
Affordable Housing Access for Families	√	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	,	140	140
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition	./	0.0%	0.7%
Investments	•	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		26.9%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential	./	11 00/	Γ 00/
Parcels	•	11.0%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	✓
Physical	✓
Economic	✓

Profile	NSA	City
Population	752	223,233
Population Growth	-20.2%	1.8%
Youth Population	288	57,767
Median Household Income	\$22,440	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	299	82,763
Median House Value	\$170,000	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	156.8%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	65.3%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	44.8%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	136	224,901

PARK Z



Tract: 203.00 Block Group: 2

Dimensional Priority Score: 3

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		6.4%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19	✓	26.1%	22.8%
Infant Wellness	✓	2.8	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	✓	0.6%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF	✓	4.0%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP	✓	40.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score	√	4.9	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index		1.2	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	✓	1.5	1.0
Category B Crime Index	✓	1.6	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership	✓	41.5%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years	✓	79.8%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	✓	Medium	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Medium	Low
Access to Public Transportation		79.4%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		2.5%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	✓	0.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		96.2%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	✓	50.2%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.2	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income	✓	31.3%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	√	No	No
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments	√	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income	✓	-21.5%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels	✓	11.0%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	✓
Crime	✓
Physical	✓
Economic	✓

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,746	223,233
Population Growth	-6.2%	1.8%
Youth Population	333	57,767
Median Household Income	\$55,598	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	479	82,763
Median House Value	\$167,500	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	146.3%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	95.6%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	9.5%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	81	224,901
, ,	I	





Tract: 204.00 Block Group: 1

Dimensional Priority Score: 4

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		4.9%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		21.0%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	✓	0.6%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF	✓	4.0%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP	✓	40.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score	√	1.9	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	✓	2.1	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index		1.2	1.0
Category B Crime Index	✓	1.8	1.0

Physical

1 Hysical			
Percent Home Ownership	✓	58.9%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years	√	88.0%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	✓	High	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		50.9%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		1.1%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		56.5%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		90.2%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	✓	42.7%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.0	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		26.3%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		20.570	24.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families	√	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	110	NO
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.6%	0.7%
Investments		0.0%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		80.0%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential	1	11.0%	5.8%
Parcels	•	11.0%	3.0%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	✓
Crime	✓
Physical	✓
Economic	✓

Profile	NSA	City
Population	778	223,233
Population Growth	-6.2%	1.8%
Youth Population	230	57,767
Median Household Income	\$30,574	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	446	82,763
Median House Value	\$154,000	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	109.2%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	74.1%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	22.2%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	103	224,901

PERRY HULL STEWART	HOLLY Sorichmond So
LESTER	RVILLE GO CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF
POST M POST M BEECH IZ WILTON IZ	CHESAPEAKE



Tract: 204.00 Block Group: 2

Dimensional Priority Score: 4

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		9.9%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		17.9%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	✓	0.6%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF	✓	4.0%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP	✓	40.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score	✓	1.4	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	✓	3.2	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	✓	1.6	1.0
Category B Crime Index	✓	2.0	1.0

Physical

1 Hysical			
Percent Home Ownership	✓	58.9%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years	✓	86.3%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	✓	High	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Medium	Low
Access to Public Transportation		65.3%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		1.1%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		99.5%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	✓	81.2%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.1	0.3

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		27.4%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	√	No	No
New Residential Building Permits		1	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments		0.6%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income	✓	-16.1%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels	✓	11.0%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	✓
Crime	✓
Physical	✓
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	709	223,233
Population Growth	-13.7%	1.8%
Youth Population	209	57,767
Median Household Income	\$59,219	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	297	82,763
Median House Value	\$183,300	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	170.8%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	26.5%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	18.5%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	239	224,901





Tract: 204.00 Block Group: 3

Dimensional Priority Score: 3

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		6.6%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		21.4%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	✓	0.6%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF	✓	4.0%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP	✓	40.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score	√	2.1	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	✓	5.0	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	✓	3.0	1.0
Category B Crime Index	✓	3.4	1.0

Physical

1 Hysical			
Percent Home Ownership	✓	58.9%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years	✓	80.7%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	✓	High	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		100.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		1.1%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		84.7%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		97.2%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	✓	74.2%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.2	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		18.9%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		10.576	24.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families	1	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	INO	NO
New Residential Building Permits		4	792
Percent Residential Addition	./	0.0%	0.7%
Investments	•	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		64.3%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential	./	11.0%	5.8%
Parcels	•	11.0%	5.6%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	✓
Crime	✓
Physical	
Economic	✓

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,532	223,233
Population Growth	19.7%	1.8%
Youth Population	328	57,767
Median Household Income	\$42,585	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	557	82,763
Median House Value	\$174,000	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	288.4%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	6.3%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	36.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	1,575	224,901

ELM BARKS BANKS
PRATIFICATION OF THE PROPERTY



Tract: 205.00 Block Group: 1

Dimensional Priority Score: 3

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		5.1%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		15.5%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		3.0	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	✓	0.6%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF	✓	4.0%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP	✓	40.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score	✓	1.7	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index		1.6	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	✓	1.7	1.0
Category B Crime Index	✓	1.5	1.0

Physical

1 Hysical			
Percent Home Ownership	✓	41.9%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years	✓	54.9%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Medium	Low
Access to Public Transportation		85.1%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		3.4%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		90.9%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		72.8%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	✓	42.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.0	0.3

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		26.1%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	✓	No	No
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments		0.6%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		43.1%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels	√	11.0%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	✓
Crime	
Physical	✓
Economic	✓

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,019	223,233
Population Growth	-18.2%	1.8%
Youth Population	273	57,767
Median Household Income	\$53,182	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	496	82,763
Median House Value	\$185,200	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	127.0%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	18.7%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	216	224,901





Tract: 206.00 Block Group: 1

Dimensional Priority Score: 3

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64	✓	15.4%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		18.9%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	✓	0.6%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF	✓	4.0%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP	✓	40.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		1.1	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index		1.7	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	✓	1.3	1.0
Category B Crime Index		1.1	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		82.2%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years	✓	91.0%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	✓	Medium	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		36.9%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		2.3%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	✓	0.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	✓	37.9%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.3	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		23.0%	24.6%
Percentage of income			
Affordable Housing Access for Families	√	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	,	110	110
New Residential Building Permits		2	792
Percent Residential Addition		1.0%	0.7%
Investments		1.0%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		24.9%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential	./	11 00/	F 00/
Parcels	v	11.0%	5.8%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority	
Social	✓	
Crime		
Physical		
Economic	✓	

Tract: 206.00
Block Group: 2
Dimensional Priority Score: 2

Profile	NSA	City
Population	933	223,233
Population Growth	-16.5%	1.8%
Youth Population	216	57,767
Median Household Income	\$40,750	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	394	82,763
Median House Value	\$179,500	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	133.4%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	31.0%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	168	224,901

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64	✓	16.5%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		17.6%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	✓	0.6%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF	✓	4.0%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP	✓	40.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.7	0.6



C C		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category B Crime Index	1.0	1.0

VIRGINIA & LACKIE &
ROWLAND ROWLAND FEREBEE
z FEREBEE
MORRISON FEREBEE ANNE BOSTON FOR MAN FEREBEE ANNE FOR MAN FOR MAN
m KAY D
HILL ELCO JOSEPH OF A SO
FLYNN BELL TOWER COUNTRY
XTU-1
RUTGERS
RUTGERS VILLANOVA 55 GODWIN STORY
CLEWISCH
FREEMAN WARFIELD WARFIELD
FREEMAN 6 1 WARFIELD WARFIELD BOOK BOOK BOOK BOOK BOOK BOOK BOOK BOO

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		82.2%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years	√	77.9%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Medium	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Medium	Low
Access to Public Transportation		39.2%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		2.3%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		26.1%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		4.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score	√	0.3	0.3

(ES) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (
--

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		25.8%	24.6%
Percentage of Income			
Affordable Housing Access for Families	✓	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	,	110	140
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.5%	0.7%
Investments		0.5%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income	✓	-3.4%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential	./	11 00/	5.8%
Parcels	•	11.0%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	✓
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	✓

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,335	223,233
Population Growth	9.0%	1.8%
Youth Population	285	57,767
Median Household Income	\$74,289	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	427	82,763
Median House Value	\$240,600	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	173.7%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	78.5%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	171	224,901
_	•	•

MAYFIELD A ANNE DA KAY	
MIDDLE HILL US ELCO FLYNN BELL TOWER COUNTRY COUNTRY	1
HOSEMONT &	7
MCKINLEY VILLANOVA W	
GODWIN GODWIN CLEMSON	
REEMAN	
HAMILE 3RD STH 4TH STH	REID



Tract: 206.00 Block Group: 3

Dimensional Priority Score: 2

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		14.1%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		19.2%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	✓	0.6%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF	✓	4.0%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP	✓	40.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score	√	2.5	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	1.0	1.0
Category B Crime Index	1.1	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		82.2%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		47.1%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	✓	Medium	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Medium	Low
Access to Public Transportation		40.5%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		2.3%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		96.9%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		80.2%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score	✓	0.3	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		23.1%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		23.1/0	24.0%
Affordable Housing Access for Families			No
Seeking Housing Assistance		_	NO
New Residential Building Permits		2	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.5%	0.7%
Investments		0.5%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		33.1%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential	./	11.0%	5.8%
Parcels	•	11.0%	5.8%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	✓
Crime	
Physical	✓
Economic	✓

Tract: 206.00
Block Group: 4
Dimensional Priority Score: 3

Profile	NSA	City
Population	706	223,233
Population Growth	-10.2%	1.8%
Youth Population	182	57,767
Median Household Income	\$44,948	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	307	82,763
Median House Value	\$148,300	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	96.7%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	47.2%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	1.5%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	149	224,901

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		10.1%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		18.7%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		3.0	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	✓	0.6%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF	✓	4.0%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP	✓	40.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score	√	1.3	0.6



Cilile			
Category A Victim Crime Index		1.1	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index		0.9	1.0
Category B Crime Index		1.1	1.0

2 EVERBIT	
X	

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		82.2%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years	✓	67.5%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		24.6%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		2.3%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		31.9%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		10.2%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.2	0.3

(68) (68)

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a		27.2%	24.6%
Percentage of Income			
Affordable Housing Access for Families	√	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	,	110	140
New Residential Building Permits		3	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.8%	0.7%
Investments		0.6%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		48.3%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential	./	11.0%	5.8%
Parcels	•	11.0%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	✓
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,896	223,233
Population Growth	8.7%	1.8%
Youth Population	298	57,767
Median Household Income	\$26,296	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	1129	82,763
Median House Value	\$134,700	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	79.8%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	60.2%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	54.4%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	262	224,901





Tract: 207.00 Block Group: 1

Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64	✓	33.7%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		11.6%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	✓	0.6%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF	✓	4.0%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP	✓	40.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.8	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	✓	3.7	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index		1.1	1.0
Category B Crime Index	✓	1.5	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership	✓	49.7%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		40.5%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Medium	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		High	Low
Access to Public Transportation		72.4%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		4.2%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	✓	0.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		19.8%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.2	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		24.2%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		24.270	24.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families	1	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	NO	NO
New Residential Building Permits		4	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.5%	0.7%
Investments		0.5%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		63.1%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential	1	11.0%	5.8%
Parcels	•	11.0%	5.6%

Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	✓
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile

Population

Population Growth

Median Household Income

Number of Housing Units

Change in Housing Values

Percent of Neighborhood

Median House Value

that is Residential

Percent Residential

Apartment Units
Area (Acres)

Youth Population

City	Social
223,233	Percer
1.8%	Percer
57,767	Infant
\$70,244	Percer
82,763	Percer
\$267,700	Percer
118.9%	Youth
18.7%	

15.9%

224,901

NSA

3,518

9.0%

1,021

1299

\$42,061

\$38,600


-47.1%

21.0%

33.8%

551

REUSTAR VIRGINIA BASSETI EDGEWOOD ANNE KAY D FILE FENN COUNTRY PLAN THE PLAN
FRIGURAN EARLE FORTLOOK SHIT IN THE STATE OF
CANADIAN PATTON



Tract: 207.00 Block Group: 2

Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		10.4%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		22.1%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	✓	0.6%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF	✓	4.0%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP	✓	40.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.9	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index		1.5	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	✓	1.6	1.0
Category B Crime Index	✓	1.3	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership	✓	49.7%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		20.6%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Medium	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		82.3%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		4.2%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		86.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		58.1%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.2	0.3

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		22.2%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families	1	✓ No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•		
New Residential Building Permits		9	792
Percent Residential Addition		4.40/	0.70/
Investments		1.1%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		34.4%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential	./	11.00/	F 00/
Parcels	V	11.0%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	3,500	223,233
Population Growth	17.9%	1.8%
Youth Population	712	57,767
Median Household Income	\$90,554	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	1,271	82,763
Median House Value	\$292,400	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	126.3%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	56.9%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	832	224,901
Area (Acres)	832	224,901





Tract: 208.04
Block Group: 1

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Priority	NSA	City
	11.8%	10.0%
	18.7%	22.8%
	3.0	2.9
	0.2%	0.2%
	0.8%	1.2%
	12.0%	14.0%
	0.2	0.6
	Priority	11.8% 18.7% 3.0 0.2% 0.8% 12.0%

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	1.5	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.4	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		92.6%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		0.5%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		0.7%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		97.3%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	✓	3.3%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		11.3%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.1	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		25.4%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		23.470	24.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families	1	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	110	NO
New Residential Building Permits		13	792
Percent Residential Addition		1.3%	0.7%
Investments		1.5%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		44.3%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		4.8%	5.8%
Parcels		4.0%	5.6%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Tract: 208.04
Block Group: 2
Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,363	223,233
Population Growth	-3.2%	1.8%
Youth Population	628	57,767
Median Household Income	\$82,083	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	876	82,763
Median House Value	\$318,200	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	202.5%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	38.1%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	1,243	224,901

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		9.0%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		21.7%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		3.0	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.8%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		12.0%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.4	0.6



Crime		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.1	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.5	1.0

The state of the s	VIA P
OLIVO AFI	
THE ATMARSH	П
CHEARFIELD PHIMMIS BUTTS TAT	ELBOW NON (S)
Elite Torost The H	CENTERVILLE
	HOLLAND

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		92.6%	74.9%
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		32.070	74.570
Percent of Single Family Dwellings		5.3%	18.9%
Older than 50 years		3.570	10.570
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means			
Other than an Automobile to Get to		0.7%	2.1%
Work			
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	✓	6.9%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		73.5%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.0	0.3

166 166 166 166

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		26.5%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	✓	No	No
New Residential Building Permits		61	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments		0.7%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		30.8%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		4.8%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,038	223,233
Population Growth	-24.5%	1.8%
Youth Population	311	57,767
Median Household Income	\$121,833	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	412	82,763
Median House Value	\$418,500	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	297.8%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	5.4%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	7,310	224,901





Tract: 208.04 Block Group: 3

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		9.9%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		22.9%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		3.0	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.8%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		12.0%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.5	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index		0.9	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index		0.7	1.0
Category B Crime Index	✓	1.3	1.0

Physical

- i iiysicai		
Percent Home Ownership	92.6%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings	23.2%	18.9%
Older than 50 years	23.270	10.576
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	Medium	Low
Access to Public Transportation	15.9%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means		
Other than an Automobile to Get to	0.7%	2.1%
Work		
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	25.7%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	22.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score	0.3	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		21.2%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		21.2/0	24.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families	√	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	NO	140
New Residential Building Permits		18	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.5%	0.7%
Investments		0.5%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		163.8%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		4.8%	5.8%
Parcels		4.8%	5.8%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Urban and Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	✓
Physical	
Economic	

Tract: 208.05
Block Group: 1
Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Profile	NSA	City
Population	3,028	223,233
Population Growth	28.4%	1.8%
Youth Population	574	57,767
Median Household Income	\$58,644	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	1057	82,763
Median House Value	\$277,000	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	163.3%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	8.9%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	46.8%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	2,229	224,901

Priority	NSA	City
	5.2%	10.0%
	18.0%	22.8%
	2.9	2.9
	0.2%	0.2%
	0.8%	1.2%
	12.0%	14.0%
	0.1	0.6
	Priority	5.2% 18.0% 2.9 0.2% 0.8% 12.0%



·······			
Category A Victim Crime Index	✓	6.7	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	✓	5.5	1.0
Category B Crime Index	✓	2.7	1.0

京。		TO THE	HE"
	WOODLANE THE	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	NI NI
I WADE NA	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	EDEN	
	100	ALL S	
FINGIK PRO		VUP CONT	
3 2			

Physical

Percent Home Ownership 59.7% 74.9% Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years Percent Code Enforcement Low Low Sidewalk Completeness Score Low Low Access to Public Transportation 0.6% 21.0% Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work Access to Basic Retail Facilities 100.0% 62.0% Access to Park Facilities 100.0% 44.8% Proximity to Noxious Facilities 4.6% 10.3% Drainage Score 0.3 0.3			
Older than 50 years Percent Code Enforcement Sidewalk Completeness Score Access to Public Transportation Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work Access to Basic Retail Facilities Access to Park Facilities Proximity to Noxious Facilities 10.0% 18.9% Low Low Low Low 21.0% 21.0% 21.0% 2.1% 100.0% 42.0% 44.8% Proximity to Noxious Facilities 4.6% 10.3%	Percent Home Ownership	59.7%	74.9%
Older than 50 yearsLowLowPercent Code EnforcementLowLowSidewalk Completeness ScoreLowLowAccess to Public Transportation0.6%21.0%Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work1.5%2.1%Access to Basic Retail Facilities100.0%62.0%Access to Park Facilities100.0%44.8%Proximity to Noxious Facilities4.6%10.3%	Percent of Single Family Dwellings	0.7%	19.0%
Sidewalk Completeness Score Access to Public Transportation Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work Access to Basic Retail Facilities Access to Park Facilities Proximity to Noxious Facilities Low Low Low Low Low Actes to Public Transportation 1.5% 2.1% 1.5% 2.1% 1.5% 2.1% 4.6% 1.5% 2.1% 1.5% 2.1% 2.1% 4.6% 1.5% 2.1% 4.6% 1.5% 2.1% 4.6% 1.5% 2.1% 4.6% 1.5% 2.1% 4.6% 1.5% 2.1% 4.6% 1.5% 2.1% 4.6% 1.5% 2.1% 4.6% 1.5% 2.1%	Older than 50 years	0.776	10.5/0
Access to Public Transportation 0.6% 21.0% Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work Access to Basic Retail Facilities 100.0% 62.0% Access to Park Facilities 100.0% 44.8% Proximity to Noxious Facilities 4.6% 10.3%	Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work Access to Basic Retail Facilities 100.0% 62.0% Access to Park Facilities 100.0% 44.8% Proximity to Noxious Facilities 4.6% 10.3%	Sidewalk Completeness Score	Low	Low
Other than an Automobile to Get to Work1.5% 2.1%Access to Basic Retail Facilities100.0% 62.0%Access to Park Facilities100.0% 44.8%Proximity to Noxious Facilities4.6%	Access to Public Transportation	0.6%	21.0%
Work100.0%62.0%Access to Basic Retail Facilities100.0%62.0%Access to Park Facilities100.0%44.8%Proximity to Noxious Facilities4.6%10.3%	Percent of Persons Using a Means		
Access to Basic Retail Facilities 100.0% 62.0% Access to Park Facilities 100.0% 44.8% Proximity to Noxious Facilities 4.6% 10.3%	Other than an Automobile to Get to	1.5%	2.1%
Access to Park Facilities 100.0% 44.8% Proximity to Noxious Facilities 4.6% 10.3%	Work		
Proximity to Noxious Facilities 4.6% 10.3%	Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	62.0%
	Access to Park Facilities	100.0%	44.8%
Drainage Score 0.3 0.3	Proximity to Noxious Facilities	4.6%	10.3%
	Drainage Score	0.3	0.3

363

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income	✓	29.9%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	✓	No	No
New Residential Building Permits		55	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments	✓	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income	✓	5.3%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		4.8%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	984	223,233
Population Growth	-9.5%	1.8%
Youth Population	203	57,767
Median Household Income	\$64,875	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	369	82,763
Median House Value	\$290,600	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	181.6%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	39.4%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	19.8%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	161	224,901





Tract: 208.05 Block Group: 2

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64	✓	16.6%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		16.7%	22.8%
Infant Wellness	✓	2.8	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.8%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		12.0%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.4	0.6

Crime

·······		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.5	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.6	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.5	1.0

Physical

- inysicai			
Percent Home Ownership		59.7%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		28.8%	18.9%
,			
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		High	Low
Access to Public Transportation		55.9%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		1.5%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		100.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		12.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score	✓	0.3	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		23.3%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		23.370	24.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families	✓	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance			
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition	./	0.0%	0.7%
Investments	•	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		23.5%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		4.8%	5.8%
Parcels		4.0%	3.0%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Tract: 208.05
Block Group: 3
Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,838	223,233
Population Growth	11.9%	1.8%
Youth Population	537	57,767
Median Household Income	\$71,413	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	691	82,763
Median House Value	\$351,500	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	136.4%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	62.0%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	30.1%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	315	224,901

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		5.5%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		23.2%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		3.0	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.8%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		12.0%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.3	0.6



Category A Victim Crime Index	0.1	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.4	1.0

RIGHT NO.		
MEDICA		KHA
ELD MINGS OROUGH	1	LUB HAGIEY BROOK
THE THE BHILD GE	ANCE AND ANC	-

Physical

Percent Home Ownership	59.7%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings	1.0%	18.9%
Older than 50 years	1.070	10.570
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	5.2%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means		
Other than an Automobile to Get to	1.5%	2.1%
Work		
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	100.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score	0.1	0.3

(ES)

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income	✓	29.4%	24.6%
Percentage of income			
Affordable Housing Access for Families	✓	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	Ť	140	140
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.4%	0.7%
Investments		0.4%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		28.2%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		4.8%	5.8%
Parcels		4.8%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	✓
Economic	

223,233
% 1.8%
76 57,767
\$70,244
82,763
00 \$267,700
% 118.9%
% 18.7%
% 15.9%
224,901





Tract: 208.06
Block Group: 1

Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Priority	NSA	City
	13.6%	10.0%
	14.2%	22.8%
	2.9	2.9
	0.2%	0.2%
	0.8%	1.2%
	12.0%	14.0%
	0.3	0.6
	Priority	13.6% 14.2% 2.9 0.2% 0.8% 12.0%

Crime

·······		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.5	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.6	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership	✓	56.9%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		0.0%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		1.8%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		64.5%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		35.5%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		19.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score	✓	0.3	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		23.1%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		1	
Affordable Housing Access for Families	√	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance		140	140
New Residential Building Permits		29	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.6%	0.7%
Investments		0.0%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		24.7%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		4.8%	5.8%
Parcels		4.8%	5.8%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

1ract: 208.06
Block Group: 2
Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,542	223,233
Population Growth	-0.1%	1.8%
Youth Population	375	57,767
Median Household Income	\$80,958	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	831	82,763
Median House Value	\$232,600	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	110.7%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	82.2%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	39.9%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	220	224,901

	City
10.0%	10.0%
17.0%	22.8%
2.9	2.9
0.2%	0.2%
0.8%	1.2%
12.0%	14.0%
0.4	0.6
	17.0% 2.9 0.2% 0.8% 12.0%



ee		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.6	1.0

The state of the s
CAYMUS COLGIN
CHANTS PARTY OCCUPANTS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE
CHANTS OF THE LAKE

Physical

Percent Home Ownership	✓	56.9%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings		0.0%	18.9%
Older than 50 years		0.070	10.570
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		17.5%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means			
Other than an Automobile to Get to		1.8%	2.1%
Work			
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		98.4%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		32.1%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	✓	39.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.1	0.3
·			

183 283 (163 (163

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a		21.7%	24.6%
Percentage of Income			
Affordable Housing Access for Families	✓	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	,	110	140
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition	./	0.2%	0.7%
Investments	•	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		53.2%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		4.8%	5.8%
Parcels		4.8%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,540	223,233
Population Growth	24.6%	1.8%
Youth Population	367	57,767
Median Household Income	\$65,142	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	534	82,763
Median House Value	\$233,600	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	78.6%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	40.8%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	199	224,901





Tract: 208.06
Block Group: 3

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		7.1%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		20.7%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		3.0	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.8%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		12.0%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		1.0	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.3	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership	✓	56.9%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		0.0%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		6.6%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		1.8%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	✓	1.3%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.2	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income	✓	30.3%	24.6%
<u> </u>			
Affordable Housing Access for Families	✓	✓ No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance		110	1
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.60/	0.70/
Investments		0.6%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		23.2%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		4.8%	5.8%
Parcels		4.8%	5.8%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Tract: 208.07
Block Group: 1
Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Profile	NSA Cit		
Population	1,724	223,233	
Population Growth	34.9%	1.8%	
Youth Population	290	57,767	
Median Household Income	\$67,763	\$70,244	
Number of Housing Units	631	82,763	
Median House Value	\$167,800	\$267,700	
Change in Housing Values	2.1%	118.9%	
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	70.1%	18.7%	
Percent Residential Apartment Units	97.4%	15.9%	
Area (Acres)	129	224,901	

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		4.0%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		17.9%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		3.0	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.8%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		12.0%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score	✓	2.6	0.6



Crime		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.6	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.8	1.0

TURTLE ROCK MILL LAKE BULGER WASTERS
SEABREEZE NYSTONE NOUVO WANTED TO THE STONE SPINNAKER SPINNAKER STONE SPINNAKER
SIN

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		73.8%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		0.0%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Medium	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		0.7%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		100.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.1	0.3

Tract: 208.07 Block Group: 1

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a		23.7%	24.6%
Percentage of Income			
Affordable Housing Access for Families	✓	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	·	NO	140
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition	./	0.0%	0.7%
Investments	•	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		89.3%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		4.8%	5.8%
Parcels		4.8%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	✓
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,262	223,233
Population Growth	1.8%	1.8%
Youth Population	551	57,767
Median Household Income	\$87,000	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	836	82,763
Median House Value	\$327,600	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	99.3%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	81.2%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	310	224,901





Tract: 208.07 Block Group: 2

Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		10.1%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		20.5%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		3.0	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.8%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		12.0%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.3	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index		0.5	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	✓	7.8	1.0
Category B Crime Index		4.6	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		73.8%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		0.8%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Medium	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		0.7%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		97.3%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		77.5%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.2	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		23.0%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		23.070	24.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families	√	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	110	NO
New Residential Building Permits		22	792
Percent Residential Addition		1.0%	0.7%
Investments		1.0%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		40.1%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		4.8%	5.8%
Parcels		4.0%	5.6%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Tract: 208.07
Block Group: 3
Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,105	223,233
Population Growth	-12.2%	1.8%
Youth Population	615	57,767
Median Household Income	\$85,426	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	864	82,763
Median House Value	\$287,800	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	159.3%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	81.2%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	17.3%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	332	224,901

Priority	NSA	City
	8.3%	10.0%
	22.7%	22.8%
	2.9	2.9
	0.2%	0.2%
	0.8%	1.2%
	12.0%	14.0%
	0.5	0.6
	Priority	8.3% 22.7% 2.9 0.2% 0.8% 12.0%



Cilile		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.6	1.0

THATCHER NORCOVA
PANSON WANDERPLOEG SHARON WANDER
HERRON POQUOSON WEMPSVILLE REDULEHURST HER OLD FIE BY W
AGNA AGNA AGNA AGNA AGNA AGNA AGNA AGNA

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		73.8%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		4.1%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		0.7%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		41.9%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		100.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.3	0.3

(68) (68)

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a		24.6%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		24.070	24.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families	1	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	INO	INO
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition		1.0%	0.7%
Investments		1.0%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		37.6%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		4.8%	5.8%
Parcels		4.8%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,326	223,233
Population Growth	-13.1%	1.8%
Youth Population	313	57,767
Median Household Income	\$73,629	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	759	82,763
Median House Value	\$243,700	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	119.5%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	38.0%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	220	224,901





Tract: 208.07 Block Group: 4

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		13.3%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		14.5%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		3.0	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.8%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		12.0%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score	✓	1.3	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.0	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.3	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		73.8%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings		0.0%	18.9%
Older than 50 years		0.070	10.570
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	✓	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means			
Other than an Automobile to Get to		0.7%	2.1%
Work			
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		98.3%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		100.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		6.5%	10.3%
Drainage Score	✓	0.3	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		24.1%	24.6%
Percentage of Income			
Affordable Housing Access for Families	√	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance		110	1
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.3%	0.7%
Investments		0.5%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		18.6%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		4.8%	5.8%
Parcels		4.0%	3.6%

Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	0


Area (Acres)

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,450	223,233
Population Growth	9.4%	1.8%
Youth Population	266	57,767
Median Household Income	\$76,667	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	645	82,763
Median House Value	\$304,200	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	174.1%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	94.8%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	68.2%	15.9%

CAMPOSTEE SILVER LEAF ARBOR GLEN GOLDEN LEAF DETUNIA	SUNRISE HILTON ORIS CHIDLENOOD CHIDLENOOD CHIDLENOOD CHIDLENOOD CORDWN CROWN
Weken S HDLLY POWT	ROCK CREEK
C. Agrandical	PROVIDENCE PROVIDENCE REDBRICK RE

185

224,901



Tract: 208.08 Block Group: 1

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64	✓	17.8%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		13.6%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.3%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		1.8%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		17.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.5	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.3	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership	70.5%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings	0.3%	18.9%
Older than 50 years	0.576	10.570
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	2.4%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means		
Other than an Automobile to Get to	2.5%	2.1%
Work		
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	53.6%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score	0.0	0.3

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		20.6%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	✓	No	No
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments		0.7%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		45.8%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		5.4%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	✓
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,124	223,233
Population Growth	-11.5%	1.8%
Youth Population	521	57,767
Median Household Income	\$60,391	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	910	82,763
Median House Value	\$235,800	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	244.2%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	79.7%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	282	224,901





Tract: 208.08 Block Group: 2

Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64	✓	15.3%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		21.0%	22.8%
Infant Wellness	✓	2.8	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.3%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		1.8%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		17.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.2	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	1.5	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.9	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.9	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		70.5%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		0.8%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		2.2%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		2.5%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		7.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	✓	37.8%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.3	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a	√	30.5%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		30.370	24.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families	√	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	NO	NO
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.8%	0.7%
Investments		0.6%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		14.9%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.4%	5.8%
Parcels		3.4%	3.0%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	✓
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,310	223,233
Population Growth	-18.1%	1.8%
Youth Population	659	57,767
Median Household Income	\$58,827	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	917	82,763
Median House Value	\$194,600	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	37.4%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	19.5%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	812	224,901

Priority	NSA	City
	6.9%	10.0%
	19.3%	22.8%
✓	2.8	2.9
	0.2%	0.2%
	0.8%	1.2%
	12.0%	14.0%
	0.0	0.6
	Priority √	6.9% 19.3% ✓ 2.8 0.2% 0.8% 12.0%



Cilile			
Category A Victim Crime Index		1.2	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	✓	1.5	1.0
Category B Crime Index	✓	2.2	1.0

Life Ser Ser	
A State of the sta	2
To Contact	

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		70.3%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		14.6%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		23.9%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		0.8%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	✓	0.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		100.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		10.5%	10.3%
Drainage Score	√	0.3	0.3

363

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		26.1%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	√	No	No
New Residential Building Permits		11	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments	√	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		27.2%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		4.8%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,569	223,233
Population Growth	12.7%	1.8%
Youth Population	315	57,767
Median Household Income	\$71,818	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	570	82,763
Median House Value	\$208,500	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	47.2%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	28.0%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	4.4%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	347	224,901
	•	•





Tract: 208.09

Block Group: 2

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		6.6%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		17.1%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.8	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.3%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		1.8%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		17.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score	✓	2.5	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	✓	3.2	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	✓	1.5	1.0
Category B Crime Index		1.1	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		70.3%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		19.8%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		78.2%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		0.8%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		31.1%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		100.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	✓	30.2%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.2	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a	√	28.2%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		20.270	211070
Affordable Housing Access for Families	✓	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance			
New Residential Building Permits		2	792
Percent Residential Addition	./	0.2%	0.7%
Investments	•	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		36.6%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.4%	5.8%
Parcels		3.4%	3.6%

Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.


Dimension	Priority
Social	✓
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

11act. 200.03
Block Group: 3
Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Tract: 208 09

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,100	223,233
Population Growth	9.8%	1.8%
Youth Population	166	57,767
Median Household Income	\$62,639	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	358	82,763
Median House Value	\$275,000	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	94.2%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	74.5%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	182	224,901

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64	✓	25.5%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		17.3%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.3%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		1.8%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		17.1%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score	✓	1.4	0.6



Crime

Crime		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.5	1.0

WALMUT EVEN ON CHEST NUT THE ACKNOWN CHEST NUT MYRTH DRIVE	MCNEAL
CROWN CROWN	
S PROVIDE	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		70.3%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		22.5%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Medium	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	✓	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		0.8%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		56.7%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		100.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.1	0.3

168 168

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income	✓	28.9%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	✓	No	No
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments		1.1%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		19.1%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		5.4%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	✓
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,858	223,233
Population Growth	6.9%	1.8%
Youth Population	769	57,767
Median Household Income	\$65,000	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	955	82,763
Median House Value	\$220,500	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	126.4%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	25.1%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	1,112	224,901





Tract: 209.03
Block Group: 1

Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		9.4%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		24.7%	22.8%
Infant Wellness	✓	2.8	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.8%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		12.0%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score	√	1.9	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index		0.3	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index		0.8	1.0
Category B Crime Index	✓	1.7	1.0

Physical

Filysical			
Percent Home Ownership		93.1%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings		29.6%	18.9%
Older than 50 years		29.070	10.5/0
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		69.5%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means			
Other than an Automobile to Get to	✓	0.0%	2.1%
Work			
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		48.5%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		81.6%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		19.9%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.2	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		24.3%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		,	,
Affordable Housing Access for Families	√	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance		110	110
New Residential Building Permits		43	792
Percent Residential Addition		1.5%	0.7%
Investments		1.5%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		112.7%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		4.8%	5.8%
Parcels		4.8%	5.8%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	✓

Tract: 209.04
Block Group: 1
Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,026	223,233
Population Growth	-34.9%	1.8%
Youth Population	442	57,767
Median Household Income	\$42,396	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	560	82,763
Median House Value	\$336,800	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	243.0%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	16.7%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	49.3%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	773	224,901

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		9.1%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		23.4%	22.8%
Infant Wellness	✓	2.8	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.8%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		12.0%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.9	0.6



Crime			
Category A Victim Crime Index		1.5	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index		1.1	1.0
Category B Crime Index	✓	1.4	1.0

Physical	
Danasat	

Percent Home Ownership65.7%74.9Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years13.8%18.9Percent Code EnforcementMediumLoSidewalk Completeness ScoreLowLoAccess to Public Transportation79.3%21.0Percent of Persons Using a Means
Older than 50 years Percent Code Enforcement Sidewalk Completeness Score Access to Public Transportation 13.8% Medium Lo Low Low 21.0
Older than 50 yearsMediumLoPercent Code EnforcementMediumLoSidewalk Completeness ScoreLowLoAccess to Public Transportation79.3%21.0
Sidewalk Completeness Score Low Lo Access to Public Transportation 79.3% 21.0
Access to Public Transportation 79.3% 21.0
Percent of Persons Using a Means
Other than an Automobile to Get to 2.3% 2.1
Work
Access to Basic Retail Facilities 100.0% 62.0
Access to Park Facilities 100.0% 44.8
Proximity to Noxious Facilities 9.2% 10.3
Drainage Score 0.1 0

|--|

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income	✓	45.5%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	✓	No	No
New Residential Building Permits		4	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments		0.4%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		114.1%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		4.8%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,824	223,233
Population Growth	-1.6%	1.8%
Youth Population	843	57,767
Median Household Income	\$85,281	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	1093	82,763
Median House Value	\$331,300	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	237.4%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	58.2%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	11.2%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	718	224,901





Tract: 209.04
Block Group: 2

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		7.2%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		22.8%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.8%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		12.0%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.6	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.5	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.5	1.0

Physical

1 Hysical			
Percent Home Ownership		65.7%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		3.4%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	✓	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		2.3%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		74.4%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		47.8%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		15.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.1	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a	√	31.2%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		01.17	1 ::0,0
Affordable Housing Access for Families	✓	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	NO	140
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition		1.1%	0.7%
Investments		1.170	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		70.0%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		4.8%	5.8%
Parcels		4.8%	5.8%

Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Tract: 209.04
Block Group: 3
Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,664	223,233
Population Growth	15.7%	1.8%
Youth Population	464	57,767
Median Household Income	\$75,329	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	1141	82,763
Median House Value	\$269,800	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	174.7%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	77.0%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	458	224,901

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		13.1%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		14.6%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.8%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		12.0%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.8	0.6



Category A Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.5	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.3	1.0

Secretary S	
/ Sen Alle	
STAGRASS STAGRASS	
LOCH ISLAND	
ANVER PORT OF THE PROPERTY OF	
INLAND	

Physical

Percent Home Ownership	65.7%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years	1.6%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	30.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work	2.3%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	72.4%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	83.6%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score	0.2	0.3

	10)
	And I
1	

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		27.3%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	√	No	No
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments		0.4%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		50.2%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		4.8%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,688	223,233
Population Growth	0.8%	1.8%
Youth Population	424	57,767
Median Household Income	\$57,981	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	1035	82,763
Median House Value	\$246,000	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	202.2%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	19.2%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	41.2%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	883	224,901





Tract: 209.04 Block Group: 4

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Priority	NSA	City
	12.2%	10.0%
	13.7%	22.8%
	2.9	2.9
	0.2%	0.2%
	0.8%	1.2%
	12.0%	14.0%
	0.4	0.6
	Priority	12.2% 13.7% 2.9 0.2% 0.8% 12.0%

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.9	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	1.0	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.8	1.0

Physical

Filysical		
Percent Home Ownership	65.7%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings	6.0%	18.9%
Older than 50 years	0.076	10.5/6
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	59.2%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means		
Other than an Automobile to Get to	2.3%	2.1%
Work		
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	76.6%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	100.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score	0.1	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		25.3%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		23.370	24.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families	√	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	NO	NO
New Residential Building Permits		2	792
Percent Residential Addition	./	0.2%	0.7%
Investments	•	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		15.6%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		4.8%	5.8%
Parcels		4.8%	5.8%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Tract: 209.05
Block Group: 1
Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,517	223,233
Population Growth	4.7%	1.8%
Youth Population	504	57,767
Median Household Income	\$56,471	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	1227	82,763
Median House Value	\$176,200	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	110.0%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	64.2%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	31.2%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	534	224,901

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		13.3%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		15.9%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.8%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		12.0%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.9	0.6
	1		



· · · · · ·		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.5	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.7	1.0

SHO SHOWN	
CANADIAN CANADIAN	SOULD SOUNDAIR HEAD THE SOUNDA
TALE	DENA COLLUMN CHENRY
RNER C	INDEPENDENCE 122
MARCH STEEL	Ta ga

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		68.4%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		48.2%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	✓	Medium	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Medium	Low
Access to Public Transportation		44.2%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		5.1%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		73.8%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		45.6%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.2	0.3

283

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		21.3%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	√	No	No
New Residential Building Permits		2	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments		1.7%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		24.3%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		4.8%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	✓
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,222	223,233
Population Growth	-11.7%	1.8%
Youth Population	519	57,767
Median Household Income	\$59,732	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	1084	82,763
Median House Value	\$222,000	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	68.2%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	26.2%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	48.2%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	956	224,901
<u> </u>		





Tract: 209.06
Block Group: 1

Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		9.4%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		14.9%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.8%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		12.0%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.4	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	✓	3.7	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	✓	1.4	1.0
Category B Crime Index	✓	1.4	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership	✓	50.4%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		10.3%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		46.6%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		3.7%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		100.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.3	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a	√	29.4%	24.6%
Percentage of Income	,	23.470	24.0
Affordable Housing Access for Families	1	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	NO	NO
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.3%	0.7%
Investments		0.5%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		43.7%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		4.8%	5.8%
Parcels		4.0%	3.0%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Tract: 209.06
Block Group: 2
Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,377	223,233
Population Growth	-13.3%	1.8%
Youth Population	426	57,767
Median Household Income	\$62,708	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	1175	82,763
Median House Value	\$170,500	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	29.2%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	33.4%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	41.5%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	360	224,901

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64	✓	23.6%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		13.1%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.8	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.8%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		12.0%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.1	0.6



Category A Victim Crime Index	0.0	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	1.0	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.8	1.0

Physical			
Percent Home Ownership	✓	50.4%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings		0.0%	18.9%
Older than 50 years		0.07	10.5/0
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Medium	Low
Access to Public Transportation		60.2%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means			
Other than an Automobile to Get to		3.7%	2.1%
Work			
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		100.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	✓	39.2%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.2	0.3

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		22.1%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	✓	No	No
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments	✓	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		50.8%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		4.8%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,299	223,233
Population Growth	24.7%	1.8%
Youth Population	511	57,767
Median Household Income	\$47,417	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	975	82,763
Median House Value	\$205,400	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	55.6%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	78.7%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	49.9%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	267	224,901





Tract: 209.06 Block Group: 3

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		9.6%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		18.1%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.8%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		12.0%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		1.1	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.7	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.8	1.0

Physical

1 Hysical			
Percent Home Ownership	✓	50.4%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		7.3%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		23.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		3.7%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		100.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	✓	38.2%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.2	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		22.4%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		22.4/0	24.0/0
Affordable Housing Access for Families	1	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	INO	NO
New Residential Building Permits		8	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.7%	0.7%
Investments		0.7%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income	✓	14.0%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		4.8%	5.8%
Parcels		4.0%	5.6%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Tract: 210.04
Block Group: 1
Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,524	223,233
Population Growth	3.1%	1.8%
Youth Population	623	57,767
Median Household Income	\$114,306	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	792	82,763
Median House Value	\$418,700	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	104.7%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	39.1%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	4,549	224,901

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		8.2%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19	✓	25.9%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		3.0	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		4.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.1	0.6



Category A Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.6	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.6	1.0

Physica	ıl
Percent	t I
D	

Percent Home Ownership		67.0%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings		12.7%	18.9%
Older than 50 years		1	
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Medium	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means			
Other than an Automobile to Get to		3.3%	2.1%
Work			
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		34.2%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	✓	0.6%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.1%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.3	0.3

|--|

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		21.9%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	✓	No	No
New Residential Building Permits		16	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments		0.9%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		50.0%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		5.6%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban and Rural overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,142	223,233
Population Growth	36.4%	1.8%
Youth Population	359	57,767
Median Household Income	\$93,942	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	431	82,763
Median House Value	\$306,800	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	136.2%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	92.9%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	214	224,901





Tract: 210.04
Block Group: 2

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		8.9%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19	✓	25.4%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		3.0	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		4.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.4	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.4	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		67.0%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		8.1%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Medium	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		3.3%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	✓	0.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.1	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a	18.9%	24.6%
Percentage of Income	10.570	24.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families		No
Seeking Housing Assistance	_	NO
New Residential Building Permits	5	792
Percent Residential Addition	0.7%	0.7%
Investments	0.7%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income	59.4%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential	5.6%	5.8%
Parcels	3.0%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,364	223,233
Population Growth	22.6%	1.8%
Youth Population	270	57,767
Median Household Income	\$63,929	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	382	82,763
Median House Value	\$268,400	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	98.8%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	92.1%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	174	224,901

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		10.7%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		24.0%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		4.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		1.1	0.6



Category A Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.5	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.7	1.0

PARKER TE	MARION	New Parket
DUSTY AMERICAN STREET,	HURDL	BATTLEFFELURAY
No. of the state o		SEI E
SANON FORGE	HASSELL AND	obsolu (

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		67.0%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		34.0%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Medium	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		3.3%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	✓	0.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score	√	0.3	0.3

A	61

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		24.8%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families	✓	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	110	110
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition		1 40/	0.70/
Investments		1.4%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		41.3%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		F C0/	F 00/
Parcels		5.6%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	✓
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	945	223,233
Population Growth	-0.6%	1.8%
Youth Population	242	57,767
Median Household Income	\$42,727	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	405	82,763
Median House Value	\$158,500	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	59.5%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	80.5%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	91.2%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	71	224,901
Area (Acres)	71	224,901





Tract: 210.04 Block Group: 4

Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		4.9%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		22.6%	22.8%
Infant Wellness	✓	2.8	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		4.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score	✓	1.4	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.6	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.6	1.0
Category B Crime Index	1.1	1.0

Physical

i iiysicai			
Percent Home Ownership		67.0%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years	✓	76.2%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		3.3%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		21.2%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score	✓	0.3	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		18.9%	24.6%
Percentage of Income			
Affordable Housing Access for Families	√	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	,	140	110
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition		2.4%	0.7%
Investments		2.4%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		20.3%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		F C0/	Γ 00/
Parcels		5.6%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	3,604	223,233
Population Growth	13.3%	1.8%
Youth Population	1,096	57,767
Median Household Income	\$114,766	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	1212	82,763
Median House Value	\$321,300	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	120.2%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	46.0%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	1,434	224,901

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		8.4%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19	✓	28.5%	22.8%
Infant Wellness	✓	2.8	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		4.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.8	0.6



Cilile		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.6	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.5	1.0

Physical	
Dorsont	

	l	
Percent Home Ownership	88.5%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings	0.60/	10.00/
Older than 50 years	8.6%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	Medium	Low
Access to Public Transportation	24.5%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means		
Other than an Automobile to Get to	1.2%	2.1%
Work		
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	90.6%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	67.7%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	19.3%	10.3%
Drainage Score	0.2	0.3

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		25.3%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	✓	No	No
New Residential Building Permits		4	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments		0.6%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		74.1%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		5.6%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	✓
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,977	223,233
Population Growth	-9.1%	1.8%
Youth Population	288	57,767
Median Household Income	\$50,893	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	362	82,763
Median House Value	\$255,400	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	204.0%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	13.2%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	7.4%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	613	224,901





Tract: 210.05
Block Group: 2

Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		4.8%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		15.5%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		4.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.6	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.9	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.7	1.0
Category B Crime Index	5.7	1.0

Physical

Pilysical			
Percent Home Ownership		88.5%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings	√	63.6%	18.9%
Older than 50 years			
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		86.9%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means			
Other than an Automobile to Get to		1.2%	2.1%
Work			
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		34.7%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		100.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	✓	32.2%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.1	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a	√	32.0%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		32.070	1
Affordable Housing Access for Families	√	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	NO	NO
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition		2.0%	0.7%
Investments		2.0%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income	✓	9.3%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.6%	5.8%
Parcels		5.0%	5.6%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Urban and Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Tract: 210.06
Block Group: 1
Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Profile	NSA	City
Population	4,117	223,233
Population Growth	11.1%	1.8%
Youth Population	1,030	57,767
Median Household Income	\$98,205	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	1505	82,763
Median House Value	\$329,100	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	99.3%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	69.5%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	8.9%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	1,029	224,901

Priority	NSA	City
	12.9%	10.0%
	24.2%	22.8%
	2.9	2.9
	0.0%	0.2%
	0.3%	1.2%
	4.5%	14.0%
	0.1	0.6
	Priority	12.9% 24.2% 2.9 0.0% 0.3% 4.5%



Cillic		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.8	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.7	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.5	1.0

BUS	PRELLS MILLI
1	
SUN OU	
SUMMERALL BURKS MILL	H HILL WILL BELLS WILL
	TANK TO SEE THE PROPERTY OF TH
\	
PONTETTING HOP	0 8 13 2
LAGH.	
	SCENIC

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		86.8%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		1.4%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		38.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		0.9%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		99.4%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		19.2%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		13.6%	10.3%
Drainage Score	✓	0.3	0.3

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		21.8%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	✓	No	No
New Residential Building Permits		31	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments		0.4%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		43.3%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		5.6%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,936	223,233
Population Growth	-10.9%	1.8%
Youth Population	539	57,767
Median Household Income	\$114,732	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	695	82,763
Median House Value	\$331,800	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	101.0%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	91.0%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	493	224,901





Tract: 210.06
Block Group: 2

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		6.5%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		24.5%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		4.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.1	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.0	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.5	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.6	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		86.8%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		10.8%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		0.9%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	✓	17.7%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	✓	0.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.1	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		22.4%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		22.470	24.0%
Affordable Housing Access for Families	1	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	INO	NO
New Residential Building Permits		4	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.9%	0.7%
Investments		0.9%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		70.7%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.6%	5.8%
Parcels		3.0%	3.0%

Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	✓
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Apartment Units Area (Acres)

Number of Housing Units Median House Value Change in Housing Values Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential Percent Residential

Economic			
Profile	NSA	City	Sc
Population	1,555	223,233	Pe
Population Growth	-13.1%	1.8%	Pe
Youth Population	634	57,767	In
Median Household Income	\$121,143	\$70,244	Pe
Number of Housing Units	606	82,763	Pe
Median House Value	\$357,100	\$267,700	Pe
Change in Housing Values	93.4%	118.9%	Yo
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	20.3%	18.7%	


15.9%

224,901

0.0%

5,506

DRUMCAST	100	S MILL	
SENTRY WEST	HALLMARK	THE STATE OF THE S	AND ESSPERATE THE PARTY OF THE
X		TIVEY 1	AMIE STEP



Tract: 210.06 Block Group: 3

Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		7.8%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19	✓	29.7%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		3.0	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.1%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		1.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		15.6%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.0	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.7	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.5	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.6	1.0

Physical

	i ilysicai			
	Percent Home Ownership		86.8%	74.9%
	Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		10.7%	18.9%
	Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
	Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
	Access to Public Transportation		13.9%	21.0%
	Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		0.9%	2.1%
-	Access to Basic Retail Facilities		40.8%	62.0%
	Access to Park Facilities		11.7%	44.8%
	Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.1%	10.3%
	Drainage Score	✓	0.3	0.3

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		23.3%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	✓	No	No
New Residential Building Permits		2	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments		0.5%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		76.7%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		5.4%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban and Rural overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,425	223,233
Population Growth	2.5%	1.8%
Youth Population	304	57,767
Median Household Income	\$99,461	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	538	82,763
Median House Value	\$392,000	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	149.8%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	35.8%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	3,508	224,901





Tract: 210.09
Block Group: 1

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64	✓	16.7%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		19.9%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		3.0	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		4.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.4	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.1	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.7	1.0
Category B Crime Index	1.0	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		96.1%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		19.6%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	✓	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		1.0%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		44.3%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		15.4%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		8.7%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.3	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		23.5%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		25.570	27.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families			No
Seeking Housing Assistance		1	NO
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.9%	0.7%
Investments		0.9%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		55.7%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.6%	5.8%
Parcels		3.0%	3.6%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Suburban and Rural overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Tract: 210.09
Block Group: 2
Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,563	223,233
Population Growth	-5.6%	1.8%
Youth Population	877	57,767
Median Household Income	\$106,061	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	863	82,763
Median House Value	\$376,900	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	174.1%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	78.8%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	1,005	224,901

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		6.6%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19	✓	30.0%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		3.0	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		4.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.0	0.6



Category A Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.3	1.0

RAVENSTONE GLORIA RAVENSTONE MARSTON	
TROTTERS CYE WILLA	远院
NAME AND DARRY RIN	HARWICH
FORDSMERE W	-L Forestwice
- 4	

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		96.1%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		1.7%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		1.0%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		41.3%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		4.7%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.2	0.3

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		25.5%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	✓	No	No
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments		0.9%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		31.9%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		5.6%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban and Rural overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,405	223,233
Population Growth	4.5%	1.8%
Youth Population	568	57,767
Median Household Income	\$92,750	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	791	82,763
Median House Value	\$334,100	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	143.5%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	80.6%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	408	224,901
		,552





Tract: 210.10 Block Group: 1

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		10.1%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		23.5%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		3.0	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		4.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.1	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.3	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		88.4%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings		4.8%	18.9%
Older than 50 years		4.0%	10.5%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		15.2%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means			
Other than an Automobile to Get to	✓	0.0%	2.1%
Work			
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		27.6%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		62.2%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	✓	32.9%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.3	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		24.7%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		24.770	24.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families	√	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	NO	140
New Residential Building Permits		3	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.8%	0.7%
Investments		0.6%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		41.0%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.6%	5.8%
Parcels		5.0%	5.8%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	✓

Tract: 210.10
Block Group: 2
Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Profile	NSA	City
Population	772	223,233
Population Growth	12.7%	1.8%
Youth Population	210	57,767
Median Household Income	\$61,294	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	351	82,763
Median House Value	\$271,200	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	43.1%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	83.1%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	1.5%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	177	224,901

riority	NSA	City
✓	20.3%	10.0%
	21.1%	22.8%
	2.9	2.9
	0.0%	0.2%
	0.3%	1.2%
	4.5%	14.0%
✓	1.4	0.6
	✓	✓ 20.3% 21.1% 2.9 0.0% 0.3% 4.5%



Cillic		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.6	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.7	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.7	1.0

SHEA	CONO DEST		ESTATE BATTLEFEE
	BARTLETT	/	
SAME P. SOUTHFIELD SOUTHFIELD SOUTHFIELD) Incus	HILBRIDGE BRITWELL	
GLENWOOD	OAKKWELL OAKKWELL	PARKER	FRESNO

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		88.4%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		35.0%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		19.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work	✓	0.0%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		100.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	✓	38.1%	10.3%
Drainage Score	√	0.3	0.3

7

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		27.9%	24.6%
<u> </u>			
Affordable Housing Access for Families	./	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	INO	NO
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.4%	0.7%
Investments		0.4%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income	✓	-10.0%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.6%	5.8%
Parcels		5.0%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,593	223,233
Population Growth	2.6%	1.8%
Youth Population	646	57,767
Median Household Income	\$83,561	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	1021	82,763
Median House Value	\$278,800	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	51.1%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	86.5%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	8.1%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	338	224,901





Tract: 210.10 Block Group: 3

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		12.3%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		22.1%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		3.0	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		4.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.5	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.4	1.0

Physical

i ilysicai			
Percent Home Ownership		88.4%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings		2.2%	18.9%
Older than 50 years		2.270	16.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Medium	Low
Access to Public Transportation		59.2%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means			
Other than an Automobile to Get to	✓	0.0%	2.1%
Work			
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		61.8%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		98.4%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		15.7%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.3	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		22.6%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		22.076	24.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families	1	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	INO	NO
New Residential Building Permits		1	792
Percent Residential Addition	./	0.0%	0.7%
Investments	•	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		45.8%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.6%	5.8%
Parcels		3.0%	3.6%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Tract: 210.11
Block Group: 1
Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,290	223,233
Population Growth	-12.4%	1.8%
Youth Population	739	57,767
Median Household Income	\$143,500	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	731	82,763
Median House Value	\$360,900	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	175.1%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	91.4%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	382	224,901

Priority	NSA	City
	5.7%	10.0%
✓	30.7%	22.8%
✓	2.8	2.9
	0.0%	0.2%
	0.3%	1.2%
	4.5%	14.0%
	0.2	0.6
	Priority ✓ ✓	5.7% ✓ 30.7% ✓ 2.8 0.0% 0.3% 4.5%



Cilile		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.6	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.5	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.4	1.0

Ρl	hysical	

Pilysical			
Percent Home Ownership		93.8%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		2.0%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work	✓	0.0%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	✓	5.5%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		38.5%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score	✓	0.4	0.3

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		18.7%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	✓	No	No
New Residential Building Permits		11	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments		1.1%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		85.7%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		5.6%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	883	223,233
Population Growth	-6.3%	1.8%
Youth Population	277	57,767
Median Household Income	\$125,956	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	381	82,763
Median House Value	\$359,200	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	154.0%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	58.2%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	223	224,901





Tract: 210.11 Block Group: 2

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		9.5%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		24.4%	22.8%
Infant Wellness	✓	2.8	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		4.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.1	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.1	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.4	1.0

Physical

1 Hysical			
Percent Home Ownership		93.8%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		3.7%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work	✓	0.0%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		76.6%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	✓	0.6%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.2	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		19.7%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		15.7/0	24.0%
Affordable Housing Access for Families			No
Seeking Housing Assistance		-	No
New Residential Building Permits		2	792
Percent Residential Addition	./	0.3%	0.7%
Investments	•	0.3%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		54.4%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.6%	F 90/
Parcels		5.0%	5.8%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Tract: 210.12
Block Group: 1
Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,073	223,233
Population Growth	24.3%	1.8%
Youth Population	240	57,767
Median Household Income	\$54,038	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	439	82,763
Median House Value	\$248,000	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	72.7%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	25.0%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	10.9%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	357	224,901

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64	✓	15.8%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		19.2%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		3.0	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		4.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score	✓	1.3	0.6



Category A V	ictim Crime Index	0.7	1.0
Category A N	on-Victim Crime Index	0.9	1.0
Category B C	rime Index	0.9	1.0

OLD SIERRA RHEUS RHULLARD RHULLARD	NO NO.	CARANNAN	BEHLINE	a ARD
	RESALT CON		WARH	12
PARAL S MA	RION ANK HURDLE A	TARO BINO BURRY	記念[数]之[EBEL
	1/20	EDING S	25	Lings.
7		ewon o	HERIDGE	HAWKHURST SUS
GAMMON	47/1	SORY	STAR BENSON	WYWERS

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		90.2%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		11.2%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Medium	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		2.8%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	✓	0.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.1	0.3

|--|--|--|

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a		27.0%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		27.070	211070
Affordable Housing Access for Families	1	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	,	NO	INO
New Residential Building Permits		10	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.5%	0.7%
Investments		0.5%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income	✓	-11.9%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.6%	5.8%
Parcels		5.0%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,050	223,233
Population Growth	0.5%	1.8%
Youth Population	512	57,767
Median Household Income	\$108,306	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	667	82,763
Median House Value	\$323,500	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	107.8%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	90.1%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	516	224,901





Tract: 210.12 Block Group: 2

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Priority	NSA	City
	10.1%	10.0%
	24.6%	22.8%
	2.9	2.9
	0.0%	0.2%
	0.3%	1.2%
	4.5%	14.0%
	0.1	0.6
	Priority	10.1% 24.6% 2.9 0.0% 0.3% 4.5%

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.4	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		90.2%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		11.2%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		2.8%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		96.6%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		26.2%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.1	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		22.1%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		22.170	_ 1.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families	√	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	NO	140
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.8%	0.7%
Investments		0.6%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		71.2%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.6%	5.8%
Parcels		3.0%	5.8%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Tract: 210.12
Block Group: 3
Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,265	223,233
Population Growth	-3.0%	1.8%
Youth Population	618	57,767
Median Household Income	\$101,528	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	797	82,763
Median House Value	\$315,300	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	85.6%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	rhood 97.2%	
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	375	224,901

Priority	NSA	City
	6.6%	10.0%
	24.0%	22.8%
	3.0	2.9
	0.0%	0.2%
	0.3%	1.2%
	4.5%	14.0%
	0.0	0.6
	Priority	6.6% 24.0% 3.0 0.0% 0.3% 4.5%



Cilile			
Category A Victim Crime Index		0.3	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index		0.3	1.0
Category B Crime Index		0.3	1.0

REBEL	-
HIGHPOINT	
CORON SEDENBRIDGE STRED BA	
12040 PM) 3 5/3/2 PM	
	1
7 7 7 0 2	
	BAY
RAVENSTONE SO LINKENBOROLIGH S	BAYDON
MATERSTOCK MARSTON	
JULE "	X

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		90.2%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		1.2%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		2.8%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		58.8%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	✓	0.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.1	0.3

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income	24.0%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	-	No
New Residential Building Permits	1	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments	0.9%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income	53.8%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels	5.6%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	756	223,233
Population Growth	-28.2%	1.8%
Youth Population	186	57,767
Median Household Income	\$93,661	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	252	82,763
Median House Value	\$338,100	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	99.0%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	100.0%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	99	224,901





Tract: 210.12 Block Group: 4

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		6.9%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		24.2%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		3.0	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		4.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.0	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.7	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		90.2%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		0.0%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		2.8%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		71.4%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	✓	0.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.3	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		20.7%	24.6%
-			
Affordable Housing Access for Families	√	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	,	110	NO
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition		1 20/	0.70/
Investments		1.2%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income	✓	10.2%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		F C0/	F 00/
Parcels		5.6%	5.8%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Tract: 210.13
Block Group: 1
Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,128	223,233
Population Growth	13.3%	1.8%
Youth Population	770	57,767
Median Household Income	\$111,250	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	631	82,763
Median House Value	\$451,700	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	217.7%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	64.7%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	520	224,901

Priority	NSA	City
	3.3%	10.0%
✓	34.4%	22.8%
	3.0	2.9
	0.0%	0.2%
	0.3%	1.2%
	4.5%	14.0%
	0.1	0.6
		3.3% ✓ 34.4% 3.0 0.0% 0.3% 4.5%



er iiiie		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.1	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.1	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.2	1.0

Physical

Physical			
Percent Home Ownership		91.5%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings		0.8%	18.9%
Older than 50 years		0.070	10.570
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Medium	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means			
Other than an Automobile to Get to	✓	0.5%	2.1%
Work			
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	✓	3.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		58.7%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.3	0.3

A	

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		22.6%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	√	No	No
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments		0.8%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		30.9%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		5.6%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,815	223,233
Population Growth	-6.7%	1.8%
Youth Population	769	57,767
Median Household Income	\$107,500	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	763	82,763
Median House Value	\$333,900	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	101.5%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	97.3%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	332	224,901





Tract: 210.13 Block Group: 2

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		4.8%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19	✓	29.4%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		3.0	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		4.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.1	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.1	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.3	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		91.5%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		3.7%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	✓	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work	✓	0.5%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	✓	2.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		29.9%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.2	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		23.3%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		23.370	24.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families	./	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	110	NO
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.4%	0.7%
Investments		0.4%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		47.9%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.6%	5.8%
Parcels		3.0%	3.0%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Tract: 211.01
Block Group: 1
Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,073	223,233
Population Growth	-6.8%	1.8%
Youth Population	470	57,767
Median Household Income	\$108,750	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	725	82,763
Median House Value	\$343,500	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	175.5%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	47.7%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	1,063	224,901

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64	✓	16.2%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		22.4%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		3.0	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		4.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.3	0.6



• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.0	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.5	1.0

Child and the second	
THE TOP OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	
FRANCE HELD TURN TO	BREEF COLUMN ROUGE
21/X(X &- 1	文学

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		93.7%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		10.4%	18.9%
Older than 50 years			
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		5.6%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work	✓	0.0%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		51.8%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		16.7%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		8.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.2	0.3

68	
1 The house	1

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		24.0%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	√	No	No
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments		1.8%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		40.8%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		5.6%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,372	223,233
Population Growth	20.8%	1.8%
Youth Population	292	57,767
Median Household Income	\$91,596	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	480	82,763
Median House Value	\$317,500	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	146.7%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	25.6%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	871	224,901





Tract: 211.01
Block Group: 2

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		9.6%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		19.8%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		3.0	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		4.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.1	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.1	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.5	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		93.7%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		2.7%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work	√	0.0%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	✓	0.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		5.6%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.2	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a	22.3%	24.6%
Percentage of Income	22.570	24.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families		No
Seeking Housing Assistance	1	NO
New Residential Building Permits	16	792
Percent Residential Addition	1.3%	0.7%
Investments	1.5%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income	35.1%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential	5.6%	5.8%
Parcels	5.0%	5.6%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,806	223,233
Population Growth	2.9%	1.8%
Youth Population	457	57,767
Median Household Income	\$86,157	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	568	82,763
Median House Value	\$289,200	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	82.7%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	94.9%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	247	224,901

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		8.4%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		24.1%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		3.0	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		4.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.8	0.6
Touth Opportunity Score		0.8	0.0



·······		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.3	1.0

	NORLINA WINDSOR WINFALL NON
MOUNT PLEASANT PROCESS PRISONER PROCESS PRISONER PROCESS PRISONER	BUXTON
TO BE RED BAY	

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		93.7%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings		4.6%	18.9%
Older than 50 years			
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Medium	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means			
Other than an Automobile to Get to	✓	0.0%	2.1%
Work			
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		55.3%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		26.2%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.2	0.3

(68)

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		24.1%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	✓	No	No
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments		0.5%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		28.3%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		5.6%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	3,323	223,233
Population Growth	-2.3%	1.8%
Youth Population	1,065	57,767
Median Household Income	\$107,917	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	1146	82,763
Median House Value	\$381,400	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	141.4%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	17.4%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.5%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	11,814	224,901
Area (Acres)	11,814	224,901





Tract: 211.02 Block Group: 1

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		9.3%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19	✓	27.7%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		4.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.2	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.4	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		90.0%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		11.6%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		0.9%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		60.1%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	✓	0.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		7.9%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.2	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		21.0%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		21.070	24.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families	√	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	NO	140
New Residential Building Permits		3	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.9%	0.7%
Investments		0.9%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		70.7%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.6%	5.8%
Parcels		3.0%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban and Rural overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	✓
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,806	223,233
Population Growth	-16.9%	1.8%
Youth Population	446	57,767
Median Household Income	\$74,205	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	689	82,763
Median House Value	\$428,600	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	171.3%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	13.7%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	1.2%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	14,426	224,901

MURRAY TO SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SE	MARGARET
BEAVER DAY E CAROLINA HEAD OF RIVER CAROLINA BALLENTINE	BLACKWATER
SANDERSON BENEFICIO	HUNGARIAN



Tract: 211.02 Block Group: 2

Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		11.6%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		21.9%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		3.0	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		4.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.1	0.6

Crime

	=		
C	ategory A Victim Crime Index	1.2	1.0
C	ategory A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.8	1.0
C	ategory B Crime Index	0.6	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		90.0%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings		20.9%	18.9%
Older than 50 years		20.570	10.570
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	✓	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means			
Other than an Automobile to Get to		0.9%	2.1%
Work			
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	✓	0.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		18.4%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		6.1%	10.3%
Drainage Score	✓	0.3	0.3
Work Access to Basic Retail Facilities Access to Park Facilities Proximity to Noxious Facilities	✓ ✓	0.0% 18.4% 6.1%	62.0 44.8 10.3

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		26.7%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	✓	No	No
New Residential Building Permits		23	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments		0.6%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		17.4%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		5.6%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Rural overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	✓

Profile	NSA	City
Population	3,283	223,233
Population Growth	53.3%	1.8%
Youth Population	196	57,767
Median Household Income	\$64,538	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	313	82,763
Median House Value	\$314,500	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	99.1%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	16.5%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	11,593	224,901





Tract: 211.02 Block Group: 3

Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		3.4%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		9.1%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		4.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.1	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.3	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		90.0%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		22.2%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	✓	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		0.9%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	✓	0.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		68.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		5.7%	10.3%
Drainage Score	✓	0.3	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		23.4%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		23.4/0	24.0%
Affordable Housing Access for Families			No
Seeking Housing Assistance		1	NO
New Residential Building Permits		3	792
Percent Residential Addition	./	0.0%	0.7%
Investments	•	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income	✓	2.1%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.6%	5.8%
Parcels		5.0%	5.8%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Rural overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	✓
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Tract: 212.00
Block Group: 1
Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,519	223,233
Population Growth	2.2%	1.8%
Youth Population	339	57,767
Median Household Income	\$106,797	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	563	82,763
Median House Value	\$401,200	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	113.5%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	17.6%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	13,028	224,901

Priority	NSA	City
✓	14.7%	10.0%
	21.0%	22.8%
	3.0	2.9
	0.1%	0.2%
	1.3%	1.2%
	15.6%	14.0%
	0.2	0.6
	Priority ✓	✓ 14.7% 21.0% 3.0 0.1% 1.3% 15.6%



Cimic		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.8	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.9	1.0

Category A Victim Crime Index		0.4	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index		0.8	1.0
Category B Crime Index		0.9	1.0

HANGTON	OF LUNC STHE
Ö 🚈	HERTEN SCHOLL SC
CANAL	HALLMARK
Service Supplies Annual Control	
DOWNA	BENEFIT

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		85.9%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		21.0%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	✓	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		8.4%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	✓	5.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		18.6%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score	√	0.3	0.3

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		18.9%	24.6%
<u> </u>			
Affordable Housing Access for Families	./	NI.	NIa
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	No	No
New Residential Building Permits		3	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.4%	0.7%
Investments		0.4%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		61.6%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.4%	5.8%
Parcels		5.4%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban and Rural overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	✓
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,221	223,233
Population Growth	-6.0%	1.8%
Youth Population	603	57,767
Median Household Income	\$91,875	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	888	82,763
Median House Value	\$383,200	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	128.4%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	19.5%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	15,195	224,901





Tract: 212.00 Block Group: 2

Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		11.0%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		21.3%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		4.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.1	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	✓	3.5	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	✓	2.6	1.0
Category B Crime Index	✓	1.4	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		85.9%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		16.8%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		8.4%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	✓	0.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		15.2%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.8%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.3	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		24.7%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		24.770	24.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families	1	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	NO	NO
New Residential Building Permits		14	792
Percent Residential Addition		1.1%	0.7%
Investments		1.170	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		58.6%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.6%	5.8%
Parcels		5.0%	5.6%


Comments:


The NSA falls within the Rural overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Area (Acres)

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,096	223,233
Population Growth	-1.0%	1.8%
Youth Population	467	57,767
Median Household Income	\$60,179	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	411	82,763
Median House Value	\$332,300	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	170.2%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	4.6%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%





Tract: 212.00 Block Group: 3

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		5.8%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19	✓	35.7%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		3.0	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		4.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.0	0.6

Crime

224,901

19,680

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.9	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.4	1.0

Physical

1 1193	icai			
Perce	ent Home Ownership		85.9%	74.9%
	ent of Single Family Dwellings r than 50 years		18.1%	18.9%
Perce	ent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidev	walk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Acce	ss to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
	ent of Persons Using a Means r than an Automobile to Get to		8.4%	2.1%
Acce	ss to Basic Retail Facilities	✓	0.0%	62.0%
Acce	ss to Park Facilities		20.7%	44.8%
Proxi	mity to Noxious Facilities		10.6%	10.3%
Drair	nage Score	✓	0.3	0.3

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		22.6%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	✓	No	No
New Residential Building Permits		8	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments		0.5%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		36.2%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		5.6%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Rural overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	✓
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	667	223,233
Population Growth	-12.1%	1.8%
Youth Population	193	57,767
Median Household Income	\$34,167	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	398	82,763
Median House Value	\$168,800	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	74.2%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	16.5%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	5,554	224,901





Tract: 213.01
Block Group: 1

Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Priority	NSA	City
✓	15.6%	10.0%
	16.6%	22.8%
✓	2.8	2.9
	0.1%	0.2%
	0.9%	1.2%
	10.5%	14.0%
	0.6	0.6
	√	✓ 15.6% 16.6% ✓ 2.8 0.1% 0.9% 10.5%

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.5	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.7	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.9	1.0

Physical

1 Hysical			
Percent Home Ownership		90.3%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		45.5%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		1.5%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	✓	1.7%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	✓	0.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.2	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		20.3%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		20.570	24.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families	1	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	INO	NO
New Residential Building Permits		1	792
Percent Residential Addition		1.5%	0.7%
Investments		1.5%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income	✓	-9.2%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.0%	5.8%
Parcels		3.0%	3.6%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban and Rural overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	✓
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,245	223,233
Population Growth	37.1%	1.8%
Youth Population	387	57,767
Median Household Income	\$93,750	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	512	82,763
Median House Value	\$292,500	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	143.8%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	1.2%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	54,504	224,901





Tract: 213.01 Block Group: 2

Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		11.8%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		23.3%	22.8%
Infant Wellness	✓	2.8	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		4.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.2	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	✓	4.5	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	✓	2.2	1.0
Category B Crime Index		3.9	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		90.3%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		14.3%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Medium	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		1.5%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		55.6%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		27.2%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		7.8%	10.3%
Drainage Score	✓	0.3	0.3

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		22.6%	24.6%
Percentage of income			
Affordable Housing Access for Families	√	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	,	110	140
New Residential Building Permits		1	792
Percent Residential Addition		1 00/	0.7%
Investments		1.0%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		74.1%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.6%	5.8%
Parcels		5.0%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban and Rural overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	3,014	223,233
Population Growth	-5.6%	1.8%
Youth Population	869	57,767
Median Household Income	\$90,039	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	1000	82,763
Median House Value	\$269,200	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	124.3%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	15.3%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	26.6%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	992	224,901





Tract: 213.01 Block Group: 3

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		5.9%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19	✓	26.1%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.1%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		1.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		15.6%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.2	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.0	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.1	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.4	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		90.3%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		3.4%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		1.5%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		83.2%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		88.6%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		5.6%	10.3%
Drainage Score	✓	0.3	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		24.8%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		1,	
Affordable Housing Access for Families	√	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	140	110
New Residential Building Permits		48	792
Percent Residential Addition	√	0.2%	0.7%
Investments	•	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		67.2%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.4%	5.8%
Parcels		5.4%	5.8%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Tract: 213.02
Block Group: 1
Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,063	223,233
Population Growth	-14.7%	1.8%
Youth Population	643	57,767
Median Household Income	\$90,721	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	725	82,763
Median House Value	\$261,800	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	152.7%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	26.9%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	1,626	224,901

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		5.8%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		24.6%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.1%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		1.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		15.6%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.7	0.6



er iiiie		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.6	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.8	1.0

Physical

Filysical			
Percent Home Ownership		89.7%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		12.9%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		1.9%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		49.3%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		45.1%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	✓	36.8%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.1	0.3

1	161	A.		
			7	
				3
	TH		~	
		7		

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a		27.8%	24.6%
Percentage of Income			
Affordable Housing Access for Families	√	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	,	110	140
New Residential Building Permits		26	792
Percent Residential Addition		1.2%	0.7%
Investments		1.270	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		80.9%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.4%	5.8%
Parcels		5.4%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,735	223,233
Population Growth	-1.6%	1.8%
Youth Population	1,054	57,767
Median Household Income	\$88,947	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	950	82,763
Median House Value	\$308,700	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	117.7%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	49.0%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	1,423	224,901





Tract: 213.02 Block Group: 2

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		5.2%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19	✓	29.7%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.1%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		1.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		15.6%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.5	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.6	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership	89.7%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years	8.2%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	5.2%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work	1.9%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	83.7%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	46.6%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	0.8%	10.3%
Drainage Score	0.2	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income	✓	34.1%	24.6%
<u> </u>			
Affordable Housing Access for Families	./	N.a	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	No	NO
New Residential Building Permits		14	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.00/	0.70/
Investments		0.8%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		47.1%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.4%	5.8%
Parcels		5.4%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,040	223,233
Population Growth	12.0%	1.8%
Youth Population	776	57,767
Median Household Income	\$92,292	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	737	82,763
Median House Value	\$284,100	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	100.4%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	61.7%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	597	224,901

Priority	NSA	City
	5.3%	10.0%
✓	27.6%	22.8%
	2.9	2.9
	0.1%	0.2%
	1.3%	1.2%
	15.6%	14.0%
	0.4	0.6
		5.3% ✓ 27.6% 2.9 0.1% 1.3% 15.6%



Cilile		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.0	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.5	1.0

The contract of the contract o	MOSES GRANDY
S VILLAGE E	PROPERTY OF ANNIE
NASS PRESCOTI	CREEKWOOD HIME
EUT SAW	WY FEET
4550	Constitution of the second

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		89.7%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings		8.4%	18.9%
Older than 50 years			
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Medium	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means			
Other than an Automobile to Get to		1.9%	2.1%
Work			
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		69.6%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		98.9%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		3.4%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.2	0.3
·			

(a) (a) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c
168

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		25.3%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	√	No	No
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments		0.9%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		52.7%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		5.4%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	✓
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,821	223,233
Population Growth	-4.4%	1.8%
Youth Population	753	57,767
Median Household Income	\$96,087	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	647	82,763
Median House Value	\$346,700	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	144.5%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	32.1%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	1,509	224,901





Tract: 213.02 Block Group: 4

Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		5.3%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19	✓	31.0%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.1%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		1.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		15.6%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.2	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.1	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.4	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		89.7%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		7.1%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	✓	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		0.8%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		1.9%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		41.1%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		95.7%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score	✓	0.3	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a	√	28.7%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		20.770	24.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families	1	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	NO	NO
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.5%	0.7%
Investments		0.5%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		59.0%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.4%	5.8%
Parcels		3.4%	3.0%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Tract: 214.01
Block Group: 1
Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,874	223,233
Population Growth	-1.5%	1.8%
Youth Population	473	57,767
Median Household Income	\$73,210	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	696	82,763
Median House Value	\$240,800	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	147.5%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	41.3%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	1,021	224,901

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		8.3%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		19.2%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		3.0	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.1%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		1.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		15.6%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score	√	1.4	0.6



• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.8	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.7	1.0
Category B Crime Index	1.0	1.0

Physical	
Dorcont	1

riiysicai			
Percent Home Ownership		78.0%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings		17.2%	18.9%
Older than 50 years			
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Medium	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means			
Other than an Automobile to Get to	✓	0.0%	2.1%
Work			
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		66.8%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	✓	3.2%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		5.6%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.1	0.3

761	161	₹ √ 6	
			1
	(6		
	The state of the s		

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a		23.4%	24.6%
Percentage of Income			
Affordable Housing Access for Families	✓	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	·	NO	110
New Residential Building Permits		2	792
Percent Residential Addition		1.1%	0.7%
Investments		1.170	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		48.2%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.4%	5.8%
Parcels		5.4%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban and Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,746	223,233
Population Growth	-1.0%	1.8%
Youth Population	535	57,767
Median Household Income	\$95,491	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	626	82,763
Median House Value	\$215,800	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	143.6%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	26.8%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.7%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	943	224,901





Tract: 214.02 Block Group: 1

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		6.1%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		24.1%	22.8%
Infant Wellness	✓	2.8	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.1%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		1.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		15.6%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.6	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.9	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.8	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		87.0%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		10.9%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	✓	Medium	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		12.7%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work	✓	0.3%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		99.8%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		22.1%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		11.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.2	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		27.6%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		27.070	24.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families	1	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	NO	NO
New Residential Building Permits		3	792
Percent Residential Addition		1.2%	0.7%
Investments		1.270	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		100.6%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.4%	5.8%
Parcels		5.4%	5.6%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Tract: 214.02
Block Group: 2
Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,380	223,233
Population Growth	6.4%	1.8%
Youth Population	267	57,767
Median Household Income	\$67,273	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	540	82,763
Median House Value	\$270,600	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	alues 130.1%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	61.0%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	521	224,901

Priority	NSA	City
✓	17.7%	10.0%
	16.6%	22.8%
	2.9	2.9
	0.1%	0.2%
	1.3%	1.2%
	15.6%	14.0%
	0.7	0.6
	Priority ✓	✓ 17.7% 16.6% 2.9 0.1% 1.3% 15.6%



·······		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.4	1.0

TRADE MEISGS COOK	
MOSES THE DEANCING	

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		87.0%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		39.5%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work	✓	0.3%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		44.5%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		34.1%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.1	0.3

I frila

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		22.6%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families			No
Seeking Housing Assistance		ļ	NO
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.60/	0.70/
Investments		0.6%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income	✓	-8.4%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.4%	5.8%
Parcels			0.0,1


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,265	223,233
Population Growth	-5.0%	1.8%
Youth Population	400	57,767
Median Household Income	\$81,298	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	668	82,763
Median House Value	\$250,900	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	113.9%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	68.5%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	441	224,901

		MILITARY
CONRAD	E S TENNE	IOWA SSSEE
GEORGE C	NOODSHIRE SOUTHER	0
AUGO AHTRAN	DTREE	LAKE
M -	MEIGGS	BAYWOOD
LAKE RIDGE	SHE SHE	
FENWAY O GARNES 3 184	1-64	FIRMAN
DEAL DEAL TRENT WINVOOD		
DEAL DEAL	1" 7 %	*(



Tract: 214.02 Block Group: 3

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		11.7%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		19.6%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.8	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.1%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		1.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		15.6%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.2	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.6	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.6	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		87.0%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		14.0%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Medium	Low
Access to Public Transportation		0.4%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work	✓	0.3%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		99.1%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		3.6%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		12.5%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.1	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		21.6%	24.6%
Percentage of Income			
Affordable Housing Access for Families	√	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance		110	140
New Residential Building Permits		1	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.6%	0.7%
Investments		0.0%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		24.3%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.4%	5.8%
Parcels		5.4%	5.8%

Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	✓
Physical	✓
Economic	

Social	Priority
Percent of Persons over Age 64	
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19	
Infant Wellness	
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	
Percent of Households Receiving TANF	
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP	
Youth Opportunity Score	✓

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,220	223,233
Population Growth	24.1%	1.8%
Youth Population	320	57,767
Median Household Income	\$39,394	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	503	82,763
Median House Value	\$131,800	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	56.0%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	52.2%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	3.8%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	204	224,901

C	ri	m	e

Crime			
Category A Victim Crime Index		1.2	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index		1.2	1.0
Category B Crime Index	✓	1.7	1.0

Tract: 214.02

Block Group: 4

Dimensional Priority Score: 2

NSA

11.8%

19.5%

2.9

0.1%

1.3%

15.6%

1.2

City

10.0%

22.8%


2.9

0.2%

1.2%


14.0%

0.6



Physical

Percent Home Ownership		87.0%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years	✓	64.1%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Medium	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		14.4%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work	✓	0.3%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	✓	0.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		26.9%	10.3%
Drainage Score	✓	0.6	0.3



Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		20.0%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	√	No	No
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments		1.1%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		33.2%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		5.4%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	✓
Crime	
Physical	√
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	904	223,233
Population Growth	2.5%	1.8%
Youth Population	195	57,767
Median Household Income	\$43,906	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	408	82,763
Median House Value	\$197,300	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	112.4%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	18.6%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	956	224,901





Tract: 214.03
Block Group: 1

Dimensional Priority Score: 2

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64	✓	23.3%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		15.9%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		3.0	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.1%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		1.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		15.6%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.3	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	✓	2.5	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index		1.2	1.0
Category B Crime Index		1.2	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership	✓	59.6%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years	✓	90.7%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	✓	Medium	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	✓	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		48.3%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work	√	0.0%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		43.3%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	✓	30.1%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.1	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		21.1%	24.6%
Percentage of Income			
Affordable Housing Access for Families	1	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance		140	140
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition		1.0%	0.7%
Investments		1.0%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income	✓	-9.0%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.4%	5.8%
Parcels		5.4%	5.8%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Tract: 214.03
Block Group: 2
Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,252	223,233
Population Growth	-4.1%	1.8%
Youth Population	257	57,767
Median Household Income	\$37,679	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	453	82,763
Median House Value	\$202,300	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	129.1%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	27.0%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	26.7%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	566	224,901

iority	NSA	City
	10.5%	10.0%
	18.3%	22.8%
	2.9	2.9
	0.1%	0.2%
	1.3%	1.2%
	15.6%	14.0%
	0.5	0.6
		18.3% 2.9 0.1% 1.3% 15.6%



Crime			
Category A Victim Crime Index	✓	2.4	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index		1.1	1.0
Category B Crime Index		1.2	1.0

FREENMA
OETMODO IS
PRATT
TANAMA OF THE PROPERTY OF THE
IOWA YEACO
MEIGGS LAKE TOOLE

Physical

Percent Home Ownership	✓	59.6%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings		40.1%	18.9%
Older than 50 years		40.170	10.576
Percent Code Enforcement		Medium	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		44.5%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means			
Other than an Automobile to Get to	✓	0.0%	2.1%
Work			
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		46.2%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		5.1%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.2	0.3
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a		20.9%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		20.570	24.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families	./	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	INO	140
New Residential Building Permits		2	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.4%	0.7%
Investments		0.470	0.776
Percent Change in Income	✓	3.1%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.4%	5.8%
Parcels		5.4%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	✓
Economic	✓

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,471	223,233
Population Growth	4.8%	1.8%
Youth Population	813	57,767
Median Household Income	\$29,953	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	985	82,763
Median House Value	\$165,200	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	139.8%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	71.0%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	48.4%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	301	224,901
	•	•





Tract: 214.03 Block Group: 3

Dimensional Priority Score: 2

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		10.1%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		23.7%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.1%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		1.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		15.6%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score	√	1.3	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	✓	2.0	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index		1.1	1.0
Category B Crime Index	✓	1.3	1.0

Physical

- inysicai			
Percent Home Ownership	✓	59.6%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years	✓	83.1%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Medium	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	✓	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		56.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work	✓	0.0%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		10.9%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		11.6%	10.3%
Drainage Score	✓	0.4	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		25.8%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		20.070	211070
Affordable Housing Access for Families	√	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	140	110
New Residential Building Permits		2	792
Percent Residential Addition	./	0.0%	0.7%
Investments	•	0.07	0.776
Percent Change in Income		15.9%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.4%	5.8%
Parcels		5.4%	5.8%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	✓
Physical	✓
Economic	

Area (Acres)

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,846	223,233
Population Growth	21.6%	1.8%
Youth Population	567	57,767
Median Household Income	\$48,156	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	836	82,763
Median House Value	\$175,500	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	89.9%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	67.2%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	24.9%	15.9%

200	18
21.	S A THIN CODE
wnovs	PETRO OF PARTY PAR
BREEZE	BANGOO BA
WIGHT WE	O PARK ARBUTUS
CAME S GI	SAMUT JALLAN
ERIC O	A STATE OF THE STA
H Land Call	PAYNE
I AMBERT SI LIVE	OK LAHOMA VIEW AND CONSTRUCTION OF CAMERINA AND CONSTRUCTION OF CAMERINA CONSTRUCTION OF CAMERIN
TO UF	NO MILITARY
	IOWA



Tract: 214.04 Block Group: 1

Dimensional Priority Score: 2

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		8.4%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		21.1%	22.8%
Infant Wellness	✓	2.8	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.1%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		1.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		15.6%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		1.1	0.6

Crime

224,901

296

Category A Victim Crime Index	✓	1.9	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	✓	1.3	1.0
Category B Crime Index	✓	1.9	1.0

Physical

	81.9%	74.9%
./	E2 20/	18.9%
•	33.2%	10.5%
✓	Medium	Low
	Low	Low
	60.9%	21.0%
	1.0%	2.1%
	100.0%	62.0%
	28.1%	44.8%
✓	43.7%	10.3%
✓	0.4	0.3
		Low 60.9% 1.0% 100.0% 28.1% ✓ 43.7%

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		14.1%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	✓	No	No
New Residential Building Permits		18	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments		0.3%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		50.6%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		5.4%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	✓

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,471	223,233
Population Growth	-11.3%	1.8%
Youth Population	741	57,767
Median Household Income	\$47,857	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	927	82,763
Median House Value	\$188,600	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	124.5%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	94.5%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	250	224,901





Tract: 214.04
Block Group: 2

Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		12.3%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		23.1%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.1%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		1.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		15.6%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score	✓	1.7	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.6	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.7	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.9	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		81.9%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		0.0%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	✓	High	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	✓	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		98.6%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		1.0%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		89.4%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		36.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		6.6%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.0	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income	✓	31.1%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	√	No	No
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments	✓	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income	✓	-0.6%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		5.4%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,376	223,233
Population Growth	-6.0%	1.8%
Youth Population	469	57,767
Median Household Income	\$78,117	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	639	82,763
Median House Value	\$218,100	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	159.6%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	30.9%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	657	224,901

Priority	NSA	City
	13.1%	10.0%
	23.0%	22.8%
✓	2.8	2.9
	0.1%	0.2%
	1.3%	1.2%
	15.6%	14.0%
✓	1.3	0.6
	Priority ✓	13.1% 23.0% ✓ 2.8 0.1% 1.3% 15.6%



Crime		
Category A Victim Crime Index	1.3	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	1.0	1.0
Category B Crime Index	1.2	1.0

Ρl	hysical	

Physical			
Percent Home Ownership		81.9%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings		11.0%	18.9%
Older than 50 years			
Percent Code Enforcement	✓	Medium	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	✓	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		66.8%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		1.0%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		89.5%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		27.1%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		13.6%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.2	0.3

664	264		61	
	A A			1
		68		
		1		

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income	24.1%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	-	No
New Residential Building Permits	1	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments	0.6%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income	62.2%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels	5.4%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Urban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	✓
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	834	223,233
Population Growth	-2.2%	1.8%
Youth Population	170	57,767
Median Household Income	\$85,817	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	395	82,763
Median House Value	\$279,300	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	149.4%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	30.6%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	1,648	224,901
· '	, - , -	, , , ,





Tract: 214.04 Block Group: 4

Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64	✓	17.0%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		15.9%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.1%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		1.3%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		15.6%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.2	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	✓	2.4	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	✓	1.8	1.0
Category B Crime Index		1.1	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		81.9%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		5.2%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	✓	Medium	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	✓	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		18.9%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		1.0%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	✓	0.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	✓	0.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		1.6%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.3	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a	√	35.6%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		33.070	24.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families			No
Seeking Housing Assistance		1	INO
New Residential Building Permits		10	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.8%	0.7%
Investments		0.6%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		27.7%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.4%	5.8%
Parcels		5.4%	5.8%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Urban and Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	✓

Tract: 215.01
Block Group: 1
Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,914	223,233
Population Growth	25.8%	1.8%
Youth Population	672	57,767
Median Household Income	\$37,798	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	758	82,763
Median House Value	\$198,300	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	141.2%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	88.4%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	43.2%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	163	224,901

Priority	NSA	City
	5.5%	10.0%
✓	27.9%	22.8%
✓	2.8	2.9
	0.1%	0.2%
	0.9%	1.2%
	10.5%	14.0%
	0.8	0.6
	Priority ✓ ✓	5.5% ✓ 27.9% ✓ 2.8 0.1% 0.9% 10.5%



Crime		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.1	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.6	1.0

CORY O MAPLE			A AMELINE
DEVO!	ALL STATES	OVE BY	(Se)
[W]			
BINE GROVE			.39
2	Second Second		
L664 RIDG	EWAY 1.004	Me	RAND ISLE
7	NDIANA	Way E	is.

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		81.9%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		2.2%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	✓	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		90.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		2.6%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	✓	0.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		65.7%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.2	0.3

6	
	(168)

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income	✓	31.7%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	✓	No	No
New Residential Building Permits		3	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments	✓	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		19.8%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		5.0%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	3,149	223,233
Population Growth	-9.0%	1.8%
Youth Population	793	57,767
Median Household Income	\$113,824	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	945	82,763
Median House Value	\$357,400	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	334.8%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	20.8%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	3,212	224,901





Tract: 215.01 Block Group: 2

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Priority	NSA	City
	10.2%	10.0%
✓	25.9%	22.8%
✓	2.8	2.9
	0.1%	0.2%
	0.9%	1.2%
	10.5%	14.0%
	0.3	0.6
	√	10.2% ✓ 25.9% ✓ 2.8 0.1% 0.9% 10.5%

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.0	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.4	1.0

Physical

i ilysicai			
Percent Home Ownership		81.9%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings		7.6%	18.9%
Older than 50 years		7.0%	10.5%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	✓	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means			
Other than an Automobile to Get to		2.6%	2.1%
Work			
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	✓	0.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		20.7%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.3	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a	24.4%	24.6%
Percentage of Income	24.4/0	24.0%
Affordable Housing Access for Families		No
Seeking Housing Assistance	-	NO
New Residential Building Permits	44	792
Percent Residential Addition	0.4%	0.7%
Investments	0.4%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income	46.0%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential	5.0%	5.8%
Parcels	5.0%	5.8%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Tract: 215.01
Block Group: 3
Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Profile	NSA	City
Population	3,481	223,233
Population Growth	19.4%	1.8%
Youth Population	824	57,767
Median Household Income	\$92,717	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	997	82,763
Median House Value	\$409,000	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	153.6%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	82.1%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	882	224,901

Priority	NSA	City
	8.9%	10.0%
	25.4%	22.8%
	2.9	2.9
	0.1%	0.2%
	0.9%	1.2%
	10.5%	14.0%
	0.3	0.6
	Priority	8.9% 25.4% 2.9 0.1% 0.9% 10.5%



Cilile			
Category A Victim Crime Index	✓	2.1	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	✓	1.4	1.0
Category B Crime Index	✓	1.4	1.0

A THE STATE OF THE	BANBOA REAL PRODUCTION REAL PRODUCTION	A RESERVED
and on the	HAPLE 1500 MASON 1500	-7
DULTE		ON AUSTURE SUNIOS

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		81.9%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		8.5%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Medium	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		2.6%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	✓	0.8%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		10.8%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.1	0.3

|--|

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		26.5%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	✓	No	No
New Residential Building Permits		1	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments		0.7%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		19.0%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		5.0%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	✓

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,148	223,233
Population Growth	6.5%	1.8%
Youth Population	796	57,767
Median Household Income	\$41,789	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	820	82,763
Median House Value	\$223,800	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	38.7%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	43.1%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	4.4%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	687	224,901





Tract: 215.01 Block Group: 4

Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		9.2%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19	✓	27.7%	22.8%
Infant Wellness	✓	2.8	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.1%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.9%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		10.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.3	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	1.3	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.9	1.0
Category B Crime Index	1.3	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		81.9%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		8.6%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	✓	Medium	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		49.3%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		2.6%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	✓	0.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		72.3%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.1	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a	✓	35.3%	24.6%
Percentage of Income			
Affordable Housing Access for Families	√	✓ No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	,	140	140
New Residential Building Permits		18	792
Percent Residential Addition	./	0.2%	0.7%
Investments	•	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		32.4%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.0%	5.8%
Parcels		5.0%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,774	223,233
Population Growth	-5.9%	1.8%
Youth Population	391	57,767
Median Household Income	\$65,000	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	791	82,763
Median House Value	\$256,300	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	119.2%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	77.3%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	26.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	435	224,901

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		13.7%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		18.5%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.1%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.9%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		10.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.6	0.6



CC		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.8	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.6	1.0

SENCE AND
NORRIS SE F SE PARTIE SE
CAPR CAPR ANGTIC OF ANGTOR OF ANGTIC OF ANGTIC OF ANGTIC OF ANGTOR OF ANGTIC OF ANGTOR

Physical

Percent Home Ownership	80.2%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years	23.0%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	16.4%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work	1.6%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	63.3%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score	0.0	0.3

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		18.6%	24.6%
j			
Affordable Housing Access for Families	✓	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	110	110
New Residential Building Permits		1	792
Percent Residential Addition	./	0.00/	0.70/
Investments	•	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		26.7%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		F 00/	Γ 00/
Parcels		5.0%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	793	223,233
Population Growth	5.0%	1.8%
Youth Population	152	57,767
Median Household Income	\$87,955	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	302	82,763
Median House Value	\$289,200	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	101.0%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	87.1%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	295	224,901





Tract: 215.02 Block Group: 2

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64	✓	21.4%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		18.4%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		3.0	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.1%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.9%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		10.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.5	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.5	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.4	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		80.2%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		38.0%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	✓	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation		10.2%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		1.6%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		64.5%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		4.6%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.0	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		22.2%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		22.2/0	24.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families			No
Seeking Housing Assistance		1	NO
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition		1.0%	0.7%
Investments		1.0%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		45.5%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.0%	5.8%
Parcels		5.0%	5.8%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

1ract: 215.02
Block Group: 3
Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,118	223,233
Population Growth	-0.6%	1.8%
Youth Population	634	57,767
Median Household Income	\$90,333	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	866	82,763
Median House Value	\$297,200	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	138.0%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	52.2%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	7.4%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	824	224,901

Priority	NSA	City
	14.4%	10.0%
	23.5%	22.8%
	2.9	2.9
	0.1%	0.2%
	0.9%	1.2%
	10.5%	14.0%
	0.9	0.6
	Priority	14.4% 23.5% 2.9 0.1% 0.9% 10.5%



Crime			
Category A Victim Crime Index		1.7	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index		1.0	1.0
Category B Crime Index		0.5	1.0

WINNE BERNELLE STATE OF THE STA
AMERICA OF SEER LEGISLATED TO SEE THE
AWOODLAND AWOODLAND
PAMELA MAPLE SCOTE IBLE REGAL TOPPANE DINDENWOOD DEVON

Physical

Percent Home Ownership	80.2%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years	24.0%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	14.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work	1.6%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	99.5%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	57.6%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	1.2%	10.3%
Drainage Score	0.0	0.3

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		24.1%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	√	No	No
New Residential Building Permits		1	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments		1.2%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		38.2%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		5.0%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	✓
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,684	223,233
Population Growth	29.4%	1.8%
Youth Population	590	57,767
Median Household Income	\$66,031	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	854	82,763
Median House Value	\$253,700	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	103.8%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	26.0%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	1,851	224,901





Tract: 215.02 Block Group: 4

Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		12.3%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		23.3%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		3.0	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.1%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.9%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		10.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.6	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	✓	5.5	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	✓	7.7	1.0
Category B Crime Index	✓	2.0	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership	80.2%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years	9.2%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	2.2%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work	1.6%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	97.4%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	35.4%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	2.5%	10.3%
Drainage Score	0.2	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		27.0%	24.6%
Percentage of Income			
Affordable Housing Access for Families	√	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance		110	1
New Residential Building Permits		99	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.5%	0.7%
Investments		0.5%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		19.3%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.0%	5.8%
Parcels		5.0%	5.8%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Tract: 216.01
Block Group: 1
Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,420	223,233
Population Growth	4.0%	1.8%
Youth Population	625	57,767
Median Household Income	\$83,350	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	900	82,763
Median House Value	\$302,100	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	125.8%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	64.2%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	18.5%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	313	224,901

Priority	NSA	City
	8.7%	10.0%
	24.3%	22.8%
	2.9	2.9
	0.1%	0.2%
	0.9%	1.2%
	10.5%	14.0%
	0.9	0.6
	Priority	8.7% 24.3% 2.9 0.1% 0.9% 10.5%



ee		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.9	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.6	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.4	1.0

SE BUNDAN DO STATE OF ORD AND STATE OF O	
POCHSVILLE OF VALEEA OF THE VA	RRY
DRUM POINT TAKENANDOD ON THE ENGLISH OF THE POINT OF THE	* 7

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		87.8%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings		0.2%	18.9%
Older than 50 years		0.276	10.570
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	✓	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means			
Other than an Automobile to Get to		0.7%	2.1%
Work			
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		98.4%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	✓	27.1%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		4.7%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.0	0.3
	L		

6

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		23.5%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	✓	No	No
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments		0.4%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		38.2%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		5.0%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,230	223,233
Population Growth	12.4%	1.8%
Youth Population	462	57,767
Median Household Income	\$102,120	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	642	82,763
Median House Value	\$375,200	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	165.5%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	77.1%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	575	224,901





Tract: 216.01 Block Group: 2

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64	✓	15.9%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		23.7%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.1%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.9%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		10.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.2	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	✓	2.4	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index		0.7	1.0
Category B Crime Index		0.7	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		87.8%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		8.4%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		0.7%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		85.1%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	✓	28.5%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.0	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		21.5%	24.6%
Percentage of Income			
Affordable Housing Access for Families	√	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance		140	140
New Residential Building Permits		1	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.3%	0.7%
Investments		0.5%	0.77
Percent Change in Income		56.7%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.0%	5.8%
Parcels		5.0%	5.8%

Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.


Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	✓
Economic	


Area (Acres)

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,050	223,233
Population Growth	-18.1%	1.8%
Youth Population	287	57,767
Median Household Income	\$93,750	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	461	82,763
Median House Value	\$313,600	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	112.0%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	59.2%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%

313

224,901





Tract: 216.01 Block Group: 3

Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64	✓	17.9%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		21.3%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.1%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.9%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		10.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.7	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.0	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.5	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.5	1.0

Physical

Tilysical			
Percent Home Ownership		87.8%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		15.4%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		0.7%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	✓	9.2%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	✓	0.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities	√	31.8%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.1	0.3

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		21.9%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance		-	No
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments		0.4%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		42.4%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		5.0%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Profile	NSA	City
Population	992	223,233
Population Growth	0.3%	1.8%
Youth Population	156	57,767
Median Household Income	\$81,607	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	316	82,763
Median House Value	\$315,500	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	114.2%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	90.0%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	161	224,901





Tract: 216.01 Block Group: 4

Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64	✓	21.6%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		18.5%	22.8%
Infant Wellness	✓	2.7	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.1%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.9%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		10.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.2	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.4	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		87.8%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		5.1%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		0.7%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		63.3%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	✓	0.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.0	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a	20.4%	24.6%
Percentage of Income	20.470	24.070
Affordable Housing Access for Families		No
Seeking Housing Assistance	1	NO
New Residential Building Permits	2	792
Percent Residential Addition	0.6%	0.7%
Investments	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income	21.3%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential	5.0%	5.8%
Parcels	5.0%	5.8%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Tract: 216.01
Block Group: 5
Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,853	223,233
Population Growth	-4.6%	1.8%
Youth Population	487	57,767
Median Household Income	\$93,458	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	768	82,763
Median House Value	\$289,900	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	93.5%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	94.9%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	537	224,901

Priority	NSA	City
✓	14.7%	10.0%
	22.4%	22.8%
✓	2.8	2.9
	0.1%	0.2%
	0.9%	1.2%
	10.5%	14.0%
	0.0	0.6
	Priority ✓	✓ 14.7% 22.4% ✓ 2.8 0.1% 0.9% 10.5%



Crime		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.3	1.0

Ph	ysical
	,

Physical			
Percent Home Ownership		87.8%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings		4.1%	18.9%
Older than 50 years		4.170	10.576
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	✓	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to		0.7%	2.1%
Work		0.776	2.1/0
Access to Basic Retail Facilities	✓	0.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	✓	0.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		8.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.0	0.3

68	100	

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		18.7%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance	√	No	No
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition Investments		1.2%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		19.4%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels		5.0%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	✓
Physical	
Economic	✓

Profile	NSA	City
Population	682	223,233
Population Growth	-2.7%	1.8%
Youth Population	124	57,767
Median Household Income	\$32,269	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	377	82,763
Median House Value	\$224,600	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	107.6%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	35.6%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	73.6%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	206	224,901





Tract: 216.02 Block Group: 1

Dimensional Priority Score: 2

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64	✓	24.2%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		17.4%	22.8%
Infant Wellness	✓	2.8	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.1%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.9%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		10.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.6	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	✓	2.7	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	✓	5.1	1.0
Category B Crime Index		3.6	1.0

Physical

1 Hysical			
Percent Home Ownership		65.8%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		3.9%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score		Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		3.8%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	✓	0.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.1	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income	✓	37.7%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families	✓	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	140	110
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition	√	0.00/	0.70/
Investments	•	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income	✓	3.5%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.0%	5.8%
Parcels		5.0%	5.6%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Tract: 216.02
Block Group: 2
Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,250	223,233
Population Growth	-10.7%	1.8%
Youth Population	253	57,767
Median Household Income	\$41,287	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	381	82,763
Median House Value	\$205,700	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	138.4%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	66.8%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	67.0%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	76	224,901

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		7.4%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19		20.9%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.1%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.9%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		10.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.0	0.6



Cillic		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.7	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.7	1.0

	WESTER	RN BRANCH	
SANT CLAIR	ANONDALE PINE HILL DUNEDIN MAORI	DALMORE DALMORE	ISBURGH UBERTON ISBURGH ISBU

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		65.8%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		0.8%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	✓	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		3.8%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		90.6%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.0	0.3

Tract: 216.02 Block Group: 2
(68)

Economic


Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income		19.9%	24.6%
Affordable Housing Access for Families	./	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	No	No
New Residential Building Permits	✓	0	792
Percent Residential Addition			
Investments		0.8%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income	✓	4.1%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		F 00/	F 90/
Parcels		5.0%	5.8%


Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	✓

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,514	223,233
Population Growth	-4.2%	1.8%
Youth Population	843	57,767
Median Household Income	\$57,463	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	967	82,763
Median House Value	\$235,800	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	148.5%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	41.2%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	13.6%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	562	224,901





Tract: 216.02 Block Group: 3

Dimensional Priority Score: 1

Social	Priority	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age 64		5.4%	10.0%
Percentage of Persons Ages 5-19	✓	26.7%	22.8%
Infant Wellness		2.9	2.9
Percent of Child Welfare Cases		0.1%	0.2%
Percent of Households Receiving TANF		0.9%	1.2%
Percent of Households Receiving SNAP		10.5%	14.0%
Youth Opportunity Score		0.4	0.6

Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.8	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.8	1.0

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		65.8%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		1.4%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement		Low	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	✓	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		3.8%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities	✓	15.0%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		9.7%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.2	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a	√	31.3%	24.6%
Percentage of Income		01.07	1
Affordable Housing Access for Families	rdable Housing Access for Families		No
Seeking Housing Assistance	•	No	110
New Residential Building Permits		1	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.5%	0.7%
Investments		0.5%	0.7%
Percent Change in Income		15.6%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.0%	5.8%
Parcels		5.0%	5.8%

Comments:


The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Dimension	Priority
Social	
Crime	
Physical	
Economic	

Tract: 216.02
Block Group: 4
Dimensional Priority Score: 0

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,632	223,233
Population Growth	21.1%	1.8%
Youth Population	638	57,767
Median Household Income	\$48,333	\$70,244
Number of Housing Units	838	82,763
Median House Value	\$177,800	\$267,700
Change in Housing Values	147.3%	118.9%
Percent of Neighborhood that is Residential	74.0%	18.7%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	12.8%	15.9%
Area (Acres)	225	224,901

Priority	NSA	City
	7.3%	10.0%
	23.9%	22.8%
	3.0	2.9
	0.1%	0.2%
	0.9%	1.2%
	10.5%	14.0%
	0.0	0.6
	Priority	7.3% 23.9% 3.0 0.1% 0.9% 10.5%



Cillic		
Category A Victim Crime Index	0.8	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.6	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.8	1.0

WESTERN BRANCH	
HELENSBURGH PINE TILL PORT OF THE PROPERTY O	RADFORD STREET

Physical

Percent Home Ownership		65.8%	74.9%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 years		2.5%	18.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	✓	Medium	Low
Sidewalk Completeness Score	✓	Low	Low
Access to Public Transportation	✓	0.0%	21.0%
Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work		3.8%	2.1%
Access to Basic Retail Facilities		100.0%	62.0%
Access to Park Facilities		69.5%	44.8%
Proximity to Noxious Facilities		0.0%	10.3%
Drainage Score		0.1	0.3

Economic

Homeowner Housing Costs as a		23.9%	24.6%
Percentage of Income			,
Affordable Housing Access for Families	✓	No	No
Seeking Housing Assistance			
New Residential Building Permits		14	792
Percent Residential Addition		0.5%	0.7%
Investments		0.576	0.77
Percent Change in Income	✓	10.8%	38.4%
Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential		5.0%	5.8%
Parcels		5.0%	5.8%

Comments:

The NSA falls within the Suburban overlay.

Appendix C Variable Maps

Variable Maps

variables	lowing pages provide maps showing the analysis results for each of the analytical s. Details regarding the methods of analysis for analytical variables can be found in the section of the report and in Appendix A.
Social D	rimension
FItFFF	Percent of Persons over Age 64 Percent of Persons Ages 5-19 Infant Wellness Percent of Child Welfare Cases Percent of Households Receiving TANF (Temporary Assistance for Needy Families) Percent of Households Receiving SNAP (Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program) Youth Opportunity Score
Crime D	Pimension
• (Category A Victim Crime Index Category A Non-Victim Crime Index Category B Crime Index
Physical	Dimension C-14
 F S A F A A F B C C C C C C C C C C<td>Percent Home Ownership Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years Percent Code Enforcement Sidewalk Completeness Score Access to Public Transportation Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work Access to Basic Retail Facilities Access to Park Facilities Proximity to Noxious Facilities Orainage Score</td>	Percent Home Ownership Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years Percent Code Enforcement Sidewalk Completeness Score Access to Public Transportation Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work Access to Basic Retail Facilities Access to Park Facilities Proximity to Noxious Facilities Orainage Score
Econom	ic Dimension
 A N F	Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance New Residential Building Permits Percent Residential Addition Investments Percent Change in Income


November 2014 C-2

• Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels

Figure 14


Percent of Persons over Age 64

The proportion of the population that is 65 years and older. A high priority score indicates more persons over age 64 relative to other NSAs.




Percent of Persons Ages 5-19

The proportion of the population that is between the ages of 5 and 19. A high priority score indicates more persons between ages 5 and 19 relative to other NSAs.



Infant Wellness

Score based on births to adolescents, low birth weight and no prenatal care in the first trimester of pregnancy. A high priority score indicates a low infant wellness score relative to other NSAs.



Percent of Child Welfare Cases

Percentage of children who are in the following categories as of October 15, 2013: Child Protective Services and Resident of the Juvenile Detention Center. A high priority score indicates a higher number of children in these categories relative to other NSAs.




Figure 18

Percent of Households Receiving TANF (Temporary Assistance for Needy Families)

The percentage of persons receiving Temporary Aid to Needy Families (TANF). A high priority score indicates a higher percentage of persons receiving TANF relative to other NSAs.





Figure 19


Percent of Households Receiving SNAP (Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program)

The percentage of persons who are receiving SNAP benefits (food stamps). A high priority score indicates a higher percentage of persons receiving SNAP benefits relative to other NSAs.




Youth Opportunity Score

A measure of the potential opportunities for youth to get involved in extra-curricular activities within the NSA. A high priority score indicates a lower youth opportunity score relative to other NSAs.



Category A Victim Crime Index


The rate of category A victim crime in each NSA as compared with the rate of category A victim crime in the City of Chesapeake. A high priority score indicates a higher category A victim crime index relative to other NSAs.



Note: Incidents for which there is no known or accurate address default to the address of the police headquarters, located in NSA 210.05 2.

Category A Non-Victim Crime Index


The rate of category A non-victim crime in each NSA as compared with the rate of category A non-victim crime in the City of Chesapeake. A high priority score indicates a higher category A non-victim crime index relative to other NSAs.



Note: Incidents for which there is no known or accurate address default to the address of the police headquarters, located in NSA 210.05 2.

Category B Crime Index

The rate of category B victim crime in each NSA as compared with the rate of category B victim crime in the City of Chesapeake. A high priority score indicates a higher category B victim crime index relative to other NSAs.




Note: Incidents for which there is no known or accurate address default to the address of the police headquarters, located in NSA 210.05 2.

Figure 24

Percent Home Ownership

The percentage of all residential units that were owner-occupied in 2010. A high priority score indicates a lower percentage of homeowners relative to other NSAs.



Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years

The percentage of single family dwellings older than 50 years. A high priority score indicates a higher percentage of single family dwellings older than 50 years relative to other NSAs.




Figure 26

Percent Code Enforcement

A score based on the code enforcement violations for each NSA. A high priority score indicates a higher percent of code enforcement violations relative to other NSAs.





Figure 27


Sidewalk Completeness Score

The percentage of pedestrian accessible streets that have a sidewalk on at least one side. A high priority score indicates less sidewalks relative to other NSAs.



Access to Public Transportation

The percentage of residential parcels within walking distance of public transportation, defined using the Chesapeake Area Transit System bus stops. A high priority score indicates less access to public transportation relative to other NSAs.



Percent of Persons Using a Means Other than an Automobile to Get to Work

The percentage of daily commuters who walk, use public transit and bike to work. A high priority score indicates a lower percentage of daily commuters who walk, use public transit and bike to work relative to other NSAs.




Figure 30

Access to Basic Retail Facilities

The percentage of NSA residents who live near a grocery store and/or a pharmacy. A high priority score indicates less access to basic retail facilities relative to other NSAs.




Figure 31

Access to Park Facilities

The percent of residential parcels within ¼ mile of a neighborhood park and/or 1 mile of a district park. A high priority score indicates less access to park facilities relative to other NSAs.




Figure 32

Proximity to Noxious Facilities

The percentage of NSA residents living within ¼ mile of a noxious facility. A high priority score indicates a higher percentage of NSA residents living near noxious facilities relative to other NSAs.





Figure 33


Drainage Score

A score that represents how well an NSA can handle storm water runoff based on existing infrastructure and drainage conditions. A high priority score indicates a lower drainage score relative to other NSAs.




Homeowner Housing Costs as a Percentage of Income

The median percentage of homeowner housing costs as a percentage of household income. A high priority score indicates higher homeowners housing costs as a percentage of household income relative to other NSAs.




Affordable Housing Access for Families Seeking Housing Assistance

The affordability of the median gross rent for families seeking housing assistance. A high priority score indicates that the median gross rent in an NSA is unaffordable for families seeking housing assistance.




New Residential Building Permits

The total number of new residential building permits (condos, duplexes and single family homes) approved in 2012. A high priority score indicates a lower number of new building permits relative to other NSAs.




Percent Residential Additions Investments

The percentage of additions made to residential units in 2012. A high priority score indicates a lower percentage of residential additions investments relative to other NSAs.




Percent Change in Income

Percent change in median household income value between 2011 and 2010. A high priority score indicates a less positive percent change in income relative to other NSAs.



Percent of Tax Delinquent Residential Parcels

The percentage of residential property owners who have failed to pay their property taxes. A high priority score indicates a higher percentage of tax delinquent residential parcels relative to other NSAs.



Appendix D Subdivision and Neighborhood Name Index

Subdivision and Neighborhood Name Index

Overview

The following pages provide a list of subdivisions and neighborhoods and the corresponding Neighborhood Statistical Area (NSA) ID number for the NSA in which they are located. Section D1, beginning on page D-3, lists the subdivisions and neighborhoods in alphabetical order. Section D2, beginning on page D-13, lists the subdivisions and neighborhoods in numerical order by NSA ID.

Some neighborhood and subdivision boundaries overlap multiple NSAs. In these instances, multiple NSA IDs will be listed beside the subdivision or neighborhood name.

Section D1- Neighborhood and Subdivision Names in Alphabetical Order

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Acorn Grove Apartments	209.04 4
Admiral Mobile Home Park	214.02 4
Ahoy Acres	215.01 4
Ahoy Acres South	215.01 1
Albemarle Acres West	211.01 2
Albemarle Colony	211.01 3
Albemarle Farms	211.02 1
Albemarle Farms North	211.02 1
Albemarle Pines	211.01 3
Albemarle River	208.04 3
Albemarle Terrace	211.01 1
Alden Square	208.07 2
Alexis Forest	210.12 3
Algren	215.01 2
Alta Vista Apartments	209.06 2
Amberly	214.04 1
Amelia Estates	215.02 4
Archbell Apartments	200.03 3
Ardmore	206.00 1, 206.00 2
Arlington Meadows	208.04 2
Asbury Downs Condominiums	208.04 1
Ash Hill Estates	210.05 1
Ashburn Point	200.01 1
Ashley Woods	208.06 3
Ashton Park	210.11 1
Avalon	204.00 1, 204.00 2
Avalon Arms Apartments	204.00 2
Avalon Birches Apartments	208.06 1
Baileys Creek	215.02 3
Barnes Mobile Home Park	214.03 3
Battlefield Community Center	209.06 1
Condo	
Battlefield Commons	209.06 1
Battlefield Corporate Center	209.06 1
Battlefield Woods Apartments	210.04 4
Battlewood Meadows	210.09 1
Bayberry Forest	208.06 2
Bayberry Place	208.06 2
Bayberry Woods	208.06 2

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Baywood Manor	214.02 3
Beacon Point at River Walk	209.04 2, 209.04 3
Beaver Meadows	211.02 2
Bell Manor Estates	215.02 4
Bells Hollow	210.06 1
Bells Mill	210.05 1
Bellwood Meadows	209.04 1
Belmont at Greenbrier	209.05 1
Belvin Heights	209.05 1
Berkshire Forest	210.04 1
Bishop Davis Estates	213.02 1
Blackwater Forest	211.02 1
Bowers Hill	213.01 1
Braddock Landing	216.01 2
Brandermill	210.12 3, 210.13 2
Brandon Woods	210.11 1
Breezewood Landing	210.05 1
Brentwood	214.03 1
Briarwood	210.11 2
Briarwood Meadows	210.04 2, 210.04 3
Brickhouse Farms	216.01 4
Bridgefield	211.01 1
Bridgewood	210.11 1, 210.11 2
Brittany Woods	216.01 3
Broad Bend at River Walk	209.04 3
Broadlawn Apartments	202.00 1
Broadmoor	214.02 1, 214.02
	3, 214.02 4
Broadmoor	214.03 2, 214.03 3
Bruce Station	216.01 5
Bryant Farms	213.02 2
Bunch Walnuts Estates	212.00 2, 212.00 3
Butts Station	208.04 2
Cahoon Plantation	210.06 1, 210.06 3
Cambridge Manor Apartments	200.02 2
Cambridge Square Senior	209.06 2
Apartments	214.04.1.24.4.04
Camelot	214.04 1, 214.04 2, 214.04 3
	2, 214.04 3

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Campostella Commons	201.00 2
Campostella Square	201.00 2, 201.00 3
Carey Farm	213.01 2
Caroon Farms	210.04 1
Carriage House Commons	210.13 1
Carriage House Estates	210.09 2, 210.13 1
Carriage Landing	209.06 3
Castlewood Terrace	210.04 2, 210.04 3
Catalina Heights	206.00 2
Cedar Cove	213.02 1
Cedar Creek	213.02 2
Cedar Crossing Condominiums	210.06 1
Cedar Grove Acres	214.04 4, 215.01
	1, 215.01 4
Cedar Lakes	210.10 3
Cedar Lakes Condominiums	210.10 3
Cedar Lakes Townhouses	210.10 3
Cedar Landing Estates	213.02 2
Cedar Mill Townhouses	210.05 2
Cedar Pines	210.10 3
Cedar Pointe Meadows	213.02 3
Cedarwood	210.05 1
Cedarwood Condominiums	210.05 1
Cedarwood South	210.05 1
Centerville Commons	208.04 3
Centerville Farms	211.02 2
Centerville Farms	211.02 2
Century Plaza Apartments	200.03 1
Chadswyck Terrace	215.02 2, 215.02 3
Chaparral Arms Apartments	204.00 2
Charlestowne Lakes South	208.04 3
Charlton Village	215.01 2
Chesapeake Colony	210.04 4, 210.10
	2, 210.12 1,
	211.01 1
Chesapeake Colony Estates	211.01 1
Chesapeake Crossing Senior Apartments	207.00 1
Chesapeake Manor Senior Apartments	209.05 1
Chesapeake Mobile Home Park	214.04 1

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Chesapeake Place	208.06 1
Chesapeake Retirement Housing Foundation Housing	214.03 3
Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments	214.03 3
Cheshire Forest	210.10 1, 210.11 1, 210.11 2
Cheshire Meadows	210.11 1, 210.11 2
Churchland	216.02 1, 216.02 2, 216.02 4
Clark Farm Estates	210.09 2
Clearfield	208.04 2
Clearview	213.01 1
Cleveland Place	209.04 1
Cleveland Place	209.04 1
Clover Meadows	216.02 3
Cloverdale	202.00 3
College Park	208.09 1
Colonial Point	215.01 4
Colony Manor	213.01 1
Colony Pointe	215.01 3
Country Club Meadows	210.05 1
Country Mill Run	212.00 2
Country Roads	210.04 1
Countryview	216.01 3
Coventry Meadows	210.09 2
Creekside at River Walk	209.04 4
Creekwood	213.02 3
Crescent Cove Condominiums	209.04 2
Crestwood	209.03 1
Crestwood Manor	209.03 1
Cricket Hollow Townhouses	216.01 1
Crossbridge Commons	205.00 1
Culpepper Landing	213.01 3
Curling Acres	212.00 1
Cypress Place	208.06 2
Dails Landing	210.04 2
Darby Run	210.09 1
Davey Farm	215.01 2
Davids Mill	215.01 2
Deep Creek Crossing	213.02 1

Deep Creek Crossing Apartments 214.03 3 Deep Creek Forest 213.02 1 Deep Creek Landing 214.02 3 Deep Creek Shores 214.02 2 Deer Crossing 213.02 2 Deerville 210.06 2 Dock Harbour 215.01 3 Dock Landing Meadows 215.01 3 Dock Landing Pointe 215.01 3 Dock Landing Pointe 215.01 3 Dockwood 215.01 3 Dominion Forest 209.04 2 Dominion Pines 209.04 2 Dominion Pines Apartments 209.04 2	
Deep Creek Landing 214.02 3 Deep Creek Shores 214.02 2 Deer Crossing 213.02 2 Deerville 210.06 2 Dock Harbour 215.01 3 Dock Landing 215.01 3 Dock Landing Meadows 215.01 3 Dock Landing Pointe 215.01 3 Dockwood 215.01 3 Dominion Forest 209.04 2 Dominion Lakes 209.04 2 Dominion Pines 209.04 2 Dominion Pines Apartments 209.04 2	
Deep Creek Shores 214.02 2 Deer Crossing 213.02 2 Deerville 210.06 2 Dock Harbour 215.01 3 Dock Landing 215.01 3 Dock Landing Meadows 215.01 3 Dock Landing Pointe 215.01 3 Dockwood 215.01 3 Dominion Forest 209.04 2 Dominion Lakes 209.04 2 Dominion Pines 209.04 2 Dominion Pines Apartments 209.04 2	
Deer Crossing 213.02 2 Deerville 210.06 2 Dock Harbour 215.01 3 Dock Landing 215.01 3 Dock Landing Meadows 215.01 3 Dock Landing Pointe 215.01 3 Dockwood 215.01 3 Dominion Forest 209.04 2 Dominion Lakes 209.04 2 Dominion Pines 209.04 2 Dominion Pines Apartments 209.04 2	
Deerville 210.06 2 Dock Harbour 215.01 3 Dock Landing 215.01 3 Dock Landing Meadows 215.01 3 Dock Landing Pointe 215.01 3 Dockwood 215.01 3 Dominion Forest 209.04 2 Dominion Lakes 209.04 2 Dominion Pines 209.04 2 Dominion Pines Apartments 209.04 2	
Dock Harbour215.01 3Dock Landing215.01 3Dock Landing Meadows215.01 3Dock Landing Pointe215.01 3Dockwood215.01 3Dominion Forest209.04 2Dominion Lakes209.04 2Dominion Pines209.04 2Dominion Pines Apartments209.04 2	
Dock Landing215.01 3Dock Landing Meadows215.01 3Dock Landing Pointe215.01 3Dockwood215.01 3Dominion Forest209.04 2Dominion Lakes209.04 2Dominion Pines209.04 2Dominion Pines Apartments209.04 2	
Dock Landing Meadows215.01 3Dock Landing Pointe215.01 3Dockwood215.01 3Dominion Forest209.04 2Dominion Lakes209.04 2Dominion Pines209.04 2Dominion Pines Apartments209.04 2	
Dock Landing Pointe215.01 3Dockwood215.01 3Dominion Forest209.04 2Dominion Lakes209.04 2Dominion Pines209.04 2Dominion Pines Apartments209.04 2	
Dockwood215.01 3Dominion Forest209.04 2Dominion Lakes209.04 2Dominion Pines209.04 2Dominion Pines Apartments209.04 2	
Dominion Forest 209.04 2 Dominion Lakes 209.04 2 Dominion Pines 209.04 2 Dominion Pines Apartments 209.04 2	
Dominion Lakes 209.04 2 Dominion Pines 209.04 2 Dominion Pines Apartments 209.04 2	
Dominion Pines 209.04 2 Dominion Pines Apartments 209.04 2	
Dominion Pines Apartments 209.04 2	
·	
5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Dominion Village at Chesapeake 214.01 1	
Dorcas Acres 209.05 1	
Dove Acres 210.10 1, 210.10	3
Downland Acres 212.00 1	
Driftwood Meadows 209.04 2	
Drum Creek Farms 215.02 1, 215.02	2 4
Drum Point 215.02 1	
Dunedin 216.02 1, 216.02	2
2, 216.02 3,	
216.02 4	
Dunedin Apartments 216.02 2, 216.02	2 4
Eagle Lake at Plantation North 208.04 1	
Echo Cove at River Walk 209.04 3	
Edgewood 205.00 1, 206.00)
2, 206.00 3 Edinburg 210.04 1	
Edinburgh 210.04 1	
-	. .
Edmonds Corner Mobile Home 207.00 1, 207.00 Park)
Edwards-Wilson 202.00 4	
Elizabeth Gardens 214.02 4	
Elizabeth River Terrace 200.01 1	
Elkhart Apartments 214.04 1	
Elmsley 203.00 1, 203.00) 2
Elmwood Landing 213.01 3	
Elpike Manor 208.04 2	
Emerald Greens 208.06 1, 208.06	5 3

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Emerald Lakes Estates	210.09 2, 210.13 1
English Oaks	208.04 2
Equestrian Estates	212.00 1
Essex Meadows	208.05 1, 208.05 2
Estates of Greenbrier	208.07 3
Etheridge Lakes	210.13 1, 210.13 2
Etheridge Manor	210.09 2, 210.12
	3, 210.12 4,
	210.13 1
Etheridge Meadows	210.12 3
Etheridge Pointe	210.12 2, 210.12 3
Etheridge Woods	210.12 4, 210.12
	3, 210.13 1,
Eva Gardens	210.13 2 209.03 1
Fairfield	207.00 2
Fairmont Heights	214.04 1
Fairview Manor	200.02 1, 200.02 2, 200.02 3
Fairways Villas Condominiums	208.07 4
Faith Village	202.00 4
Falls Creek	210.05 1
Farmington Acres	216.02 3
Farmview	216.01 5
Fentress Echos	210.13 2
Fentress Gardens	211.01 3
Ferebee Manor	206.00 2
Fernbridge	209.04 2
Fernwood Farms	209.04 2
Fernwood Shores	209.04 2
Firman Estates	214.02 2
Ford Park	200.02 1
Fordville	214.02 1
Forest Cove	214.02 1
Forest Hills	215.01 3
Forest Lakes	210.06 2
Foxgate Quarter	210.10 1, 210.10 3
Foxwood North	212.00 1
Frost Estates	210.11 1
Futrells Landing	214.02 2
Galberry	213.01 2
Gatewood Apartments	214.02 4

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Gatewood Commons	214.02 4
Condominiums	
Geneva Court	214.03 2
Geneva Forest	214.03 3
Geneva Mobile Home Park	214.04 1
Geneva Park	214.03 2, 214.03 3
Geneva Shores	214.03 2
George Washington Townhouse Apartments	214.04 1
George's Landing Condominiums	214.04 1
Georgetown Colony	208.08 2
Georgetown Commons Townhouses	208.08 2
Georgetown East	208.08 1, 208.09 3
Georgetown Manor	208.08 2
Georgetown Place	208.08 2
Georgetown Point	201.00 1, 208.08 1
Georgetown Square Townhouse	208.08 2
Georgian Manor	209.04 4
Gertie	212.00 2
Gilbert Bailey	215.01 3
Glasser Landing	210.12 2
Glen Abbey	210.06 2
Glen Eagle	213.02 2
Glen Isle	214.03 1
Glen Shannon	216.02 3
Glenleigh	209.06 3
Glenmoore	215.01 2
Glenwood	210.12 1
Glovers Court	210.04 4
Goldmill Apartments	200.03 1
Goodman Village	210.05 1
Goose Creek Estates	215.01 2
Governors Pointe Apartments	209.04 4
Gracie Park	200.02 2
Grassfield Reserve	213.02 2
Gray's Providence	208.08 2
Great Bridge	210.05 2, 210.10 1, 210.10 2
Great Bridge Acres	210.05 2
Great Bridge Crossing	210.11 2
Great Bridge Estates	210.04 1

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Great Bridge Gardens	210.12 2
Green Haven	211.02 2
Green Meadow Point	216.01 2
Greenbrier East Condo	208.09 1
Greenbrier Mall	208.06 1
Greenbrier Woods Apartments	208.05 1
Greenfield Acres	209.05 1
Greentree Apartments	208.05 3
Greentree Commons	208.04 2
Greenwood at Greenbrier	208.07 4
Greenwood Estates	209.06 1
Gregorys Mobile Home Park	209.05 1
Greystone	208.04 3
Grovedate	210.04 2, 210.04 3
Hall Heights	210.12 1
Hall Manor	210.10 2, 210.10 3
Halstead Landing	212.00 2
Hanbury Commons	210.04 2
Hanbury Commons	210.12 1, 210.04 2
Hanbury Farms	210.04 2
Hanbury West	210.04 2
Happy Acres	214.01 1
Harbor Landing	210.05 1
Harbor North Apartments	209.04 1
Harbor Watch Condominiums	209.04 4
Harbour North	209.04 1
Harrells Mobile Home Park	209.05 1
Hartwood North	214.04 4, 215.01 1
Harvard Apartments	205.00 1
Hastings Place	210.05 1
Heather Point Condominiums	208.06 2
Hennessey Crossing	213.02 3
Heritage Point	210.04 2, 210.04 3
Hickory Heights	210.09 1
Hickory Plantation	211.02 2
Hickory Professional	210.09 1
Condominium	
Hickory Ridge	210.09 1, 212.00 2
Hickory Station Estates	210.09 1
Hillcrest	210.04 1
Hillside Landing	214.01 1

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Hillwell Manor	210.12 2
Holly Cove	215.01 4
Holly Glen Condominiums	208.08 2
Holly Point Apartments	201.00 1, 208.08 1
Homecrest Mobile Home Park	207.00 2
Homemont	210.06 2
Homestead Acres	212.00 2
Hulen Estates	214.02 2
Hunningdon Lakes	208.07 2, 208.07 3
Hunningdon Woods	208.07 2
Hunters Cove	215.02 4
Hunters Glen	209.06 3
Hunters Ridge	210.04 1
Indian River Estates	200.03 1
Indian River Gardens	208.09 2
Indian River Homesites	208.09 2
Indian River Landing	200.03 2
Indian River Terrace	200.01 1
Inland Colony	210.05 1
Inlet Quay Condominiums	209.04 3
Interstate Park Condo	209.05 1
Ipswich Townvillas	208.09 1
Island Estates	210.05 1
Ivystone Apartments	208.07 1
Jacobsen Apartments	208.09 2
Jester Gardens	215.02 3
Johnstowne Square	210.10 2
Jolliff Landing	215.02 4
Jolliff Station	211.01 1
Jolliff Woods	215.01 2
Jolliff Woods	215.01 2
Jolliff Woods	215.01 2
Jordan Hall	210.11 2
Josephs Gardens	215.02 2
Jubilee Acres	212.00 1
Kay Willow Apartments	216.02 4
Keats Landing	209.03 1
Kemp Bridge	208.04 1
Kemp Crossing	208.07 3
Kemp Woods	208.04 1
Kendall Crossing	216.02 3

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Kennedy Apartments	202.00 2
Kensington Chase	210.12 2
Kentucky Forest	213.01 2
King James Colony	211.02 1
Kings Ford Condominiums	209.04 2
King's Gate	214.01 1
Kings Pointe	215.02 4
Kingsbridge Apartments	210.04 4
Kingstowne	214.04 2, 214.04 3
Kinston Waters	213.02 2
Knells Ridge Condominiums	209.06 2
Knells Ridge Estates	208.05 1
Knells Ridge Townhouses	209.06 2
Knox Farms	215.02 1
Lake Forest	214.04 4
Lake Village Apartments	214.03 3
Lakes of Etheridge	210.12 2
Lakes of Greenbrier Apartments	208.06 2
Lakes of Jolliff	215.01 2
Lakewood	208.07 1
Landmark Apartments	201.00 2
Lantrac	209.04 3
Las Gaviotas	210.06 1
Laurel Haven at River Walk	209.04 2
Les Chateaux	209.06 3
Lexington Place	210.04 1
Lilley Cove	216.01 2
Lincolnshire	208.04 2
Lindale	209.05 1
Lindbergh Manor	214.02 1
Little Zion	215.01 4
Lochaven Estates	210.05 1
Locks Landing	213.02 1
Logans Mill	208.06 1
Lone Oak Mobile Home Park	214.03 2
Long Point Townhouses	209.04 3, 209.04 4
Long Ridge Woods	211.02 2
Lori Place	215.01 3
Loxley Gardens	214.03 3
Madison Arms Apartments	202.00 3
Magnolia Chase	209.06 3

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Mains Creek Park	209.04 1
Manning Estates	215.01 2
Maplewood Apartments	215.01 1
Marina Point Apartments	214.03 2
Mariners Pointe	213.02 2
Mariners Quay Condominiums	209.04 4
Marsh Creek	213.02 4
Marsh Island at River Walk	209.04 3
Marsh Pointe Estates	214.02 1
Marsh View Farms	209.04 4
Martindale	213.01 2
Mcdonald Manor Apartments	200.03 1
Mcmillan Mobile Home Park	209.03 1
Meadow Creek Estates	214.02 2
Meadow Landing North	201.00 2
Townhouses	
Meadow Wood Estates	215.02 3
Meadowoods	210.10 2, 210.10 3
Melda Manor	208.04 3
Merrimac Landing	216.02 3
Meta Pointe	214.02 1
Miars Farm	216.01 1
Miars Farm Apartments	216.01 1
Miars Plantation	216.01 2
Middle Oaks	210.10 1
Midway Mobile Home Park	209.05 1
Mill Creek	213.01 3
Mill Creek Apartments	213.01 3
Mill Creek Harbor	213.02 2
Mill Pond Forest	208.04 1
Mill Quarter	208.06 3
Millers Run	213.01 2
Millers Run	213.01 2
Millville Court	213.02 1
Misty Point	214.04 1
Money Point	205.00 1
Moores Farm	210.06 1
Mount Pleasant Heights	211.01 3
Mullenville	209.04 1
Munden	202.00 4
Mystic Isle at River Walk	209.04 3

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Nassau	214.04 4
New Mill Landing	213.02 2
Newton Creek Estates	209.03 1
Norcova Estates	208.07 2, 208.07 3
Norfolk Highlands	208.09 1
Norfolk Highlands	200.03 2, 200.03
	3, 200.03 4,
	200.03 5, 208.09 2
North Cove	215.01 4
North Creek	214.04 3
North Landing Cove	208.04 3
North Landing Farms	211.02 1
North Rollingwood	210.10 1
Northwest Acres	211.02 3
Northwest Landing	212.00 2
Oak Bridge Forest	209.04 3
Oak Brooke	208.05 3
Oak Grove Apartments	209.04 4
Oak Grove Homes	208.04 3
Oak Grove Manor	208.05 3
Oak Grove Meadows	208.05 3, 209.06 2
Oak Lake Apartments	208.05 1
Oak Lake Estates Condominiums	208.05 1
Oak Manor	214.02 3
Oak Point	216.01 3
Oak Ridge	212.00 2
Oakbrooke Crossing Oakbrooke Meadows	208.04 2
Oakdale	208.05 3
	202.00 3
Oaklette Oakville	200.02 1 214.03 2
Old Farm	
Old Mill Landing	214.03 1
Old Will Landing Old Woodland Estates	214.01 1 215.01 2
Olde Mill Run	213.01 2
Orchard Grove Condominiums	
	208.04 1 214.03 3
Owens Village Park View	213.02 1, 213.02 2
Park view Parker Home Sites	213.02 1, 213.02 2
Parkwood	207.00 2
	207.00 2
Peaceful Village	201.00 2

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Peoples Estates	211.02 2
Peppercorn Condominiums	216.01 1
Peyton Estates	208.04 2
Pine Ridge	210.04 1
Pines of Warrick	210.10 1, 210.10 3
Pinetta	208.09 3
Pinewood	216.01 3, 216.01 5
Plantation Lakes	208.04 1
Plantation Mobile Home Park	213.01 1
Plantation Woods	208.04 1
Pleasant Grove	210.13 2
Pleasant Grove Townhouses	210.12 1
Pleasant Park Apartments	201.00 2
Pleasant Ridge	212.00 2
Pleasant View	213.02 1
Plum Point	215.02 2
Plummer Plantation	214.02 2, 214.02 3
Plymouth Park	200.02 2, 200.02
	3, 201.00 2,
Daint Elizabath	201.00 3
Point Elizabeth	216.01 5
Point Elizabeth Townhouses Point Harbor	216.01 5 215.02 1
	215.02 1
Poplar Hill	
Poplar Ridge	210.12 3, 210.13 2, 211.01 3
Porter Ridge	210.04 2
Portlock	206.00 4
Portlock Terrace	206.00 3, 206.00 4
Princeton Halls	209.05 1
Providence Grove	207.00 1, 207.00 2
Providence Mobile Home Park	208.09 1
Providence Pointe Condo	208.09 2
Providence Square	207.00 1, 207.00 2
Providence Terrace	207.00 1, 207.00 2
Pughsville	215.02 4, 216.02 3
Quailshire Estates	215.01 4
Queen City	200.03 1
Queens Gate Condominiums	209.04 3
Quiet Cove at River Walk	209.04 3
Quincy	203.00 1, 204.00
	1, 204.00 2,

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
	204.00 3
R & H Mobile Home Park	208.05 1
Radcliffe Meadows	216.01 3
Radcliffe Meadows	216.01 3
Raleigh Heights	205.00 1, 206.00 3
Raleigh Place	215.02 2
Ravenna	211.02 1
Ravenna East	211.02 1
Ravenwoods	210.04 1
Red Oak Colony	209.06 1
Regal Park	210.09 1
Reidville	205.00 1
Riddicks Quay	213.02 2
Rio Vista	213.02 2
Rio Vista	213.02 4
River Arch Village	209.04 3
River Birch Run South	208.06 2
River Landing at Goose Creek	215.01 3
River Pines	209.04 2
River Walk	209.04 4
Riverbend	215.01 3
Riverside Park Homes	200.01 1
Riverstone Estates	200.02 1
Riverwalk Estates	209.04 2
Condominiums	
Riverwood	212.00 2
Rollingwood Estates	210.10 1
Rose Garden Estates	209.04 1
Roseville	214.02 1
Royal Grove Townhouses	209.04 3
Ruths Glen	208.07 3
Saddle Ridge South	210.11 1
Saint Julians Court	214.04 3
Saints Delight	216.01 1, 216.02 4
Sandy Hill	210.10 1
Sandy Meadows	210.06 2
Sandy Pines	215.01 4
Savanna Heights	210.12 2
Sawyers Mill	213.02 3, 213.02 4
Scenic Acres	210.06 2
School House Crossing	211.02 1

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Scotfield	215.01 3
Seaboard Estates	209.05 1
Seabrooke Landing	210.05 1, 210.05 2
Seminole Park	200.01 1
Sentara Village at Chesapeake	209.06 2
Shamrock Gardens	216.02 3
Shamrock Gardens Apartments	214.04 1
Sharon Estates	210.12 3
Sherbrooke Apartments	215.01 1
Sheridan Heights	202.00 4
Sherman Oaks	213.01 2
Sherwood Forest	210.05 1
Shillelagh Estates	210.06 3
Shillelagh Farms	212.00 1
Ships Crossing	213.02 2
Ship's Landing	213.02 1
Shorewood	215.02 1
Silverwood	216.01 1, 216.01
	3, 216.01 4,
	216.02 4
Silverwood Oaks	216.02 4
Sir Raleigh Estates	210.04 1
Sommerton	208.04 1
South Dockwood	215.01 3
South Fairview	200.02 1, 200.02
	2, 200.02 3,
South Gilmerton	200.03 4 214.02 1
South Hill	205.00 1
Joden IIII	
South Munden South Norfolk	202.00 1, 202.00 4
South Norioik	200.02 3, 201.00 2, 201.00 3,
	202.00 3, 206.00 3
South Norfolk Heights	204.00 3
South Park	208.05 1
South Wood	210.10 1, 210.11 1
Southern Pines	210.10 1
Southern Terrace Arms	203.00 2
Apartments	
Southgate Plaza	206.00 1
Sparrow Road Townhouse	200.03 3
Apartments	

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Spinners Creek	214.04 1
St. Andrews Condominiums	208.07 4
St. Julians Depot	214.03 1
Station Square Condominiums	208.04 2
Stillwater Farms	208.05 3
Stone Hill Condominiums	210.12 1
Stonebridge Apartments	215.02 1
Stonebridge Landing	215.02 1, 216.01 2
Stonegate	210.06 3
Stoney Run Manor	208.04 2
Stoneys Mobile Home Park	209.03 1
Stratford Terrace	211.02 1
Strawberry Acres	214.01 1
Sturbridge Village	207.00 2
Sunnybrook Apartments	204.00 2
Sunray	213.01 1
Sunrise Hills	201.00 1, 201.00 2
Sutherlyn Estates	210.04 1
Tallwood Estates	208.04 2
Tanglewood	200.01 1
Tarawood	210.12 1
Taylor Acres	216.01 3
Taylor Commons	216.02 4
Taylor Pointe Apartments	216.02 3
Taylorwood Estates	215.02 4
The Carlton at Greenbrier	208.06 2
The Cedars Apartments	209.06 1
The Club Villas Condominiums	208.07 1, 208.07 4
The Crossings at Western Branch	216.01 1
The Garage Townes at Miars Farm	216.01 1
The Grove at the Arboretum	208.05 1
The Landings at Bald Cypress	213.02 2
Quay The Meadows at Bald Cypross	213.02 4
The Meadows at Bald Cypress Quay	215.02 4
The Morgan	209.06 1
The Quays at River Walk	209.04 2, 209.04 3
Timberlark Townhomes	216.02 4
Timberwood	212.00 2
Tluchak Subdivision	212.00 1
Towne Park at Goose Creek	215.01 4
TO WHICH AIR AL GOOSE CIEER	21J.U1 T

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Townehouses of Radcliffe	216.01 1
Travelers Apartments	204.00 2
Tunbridge Station	211.01 1
Turtle Rock	208.06 3
Tuttles Landing	216.01 5
Twelve Oaks	210.10 1
Twin Creek Apartments	205.00 1
Tyre Neck	216.01 5
Tyre Neck Square	216.01 5
Upton Farms	210.09 2
Valley Stream	208.09 3
Vance Level	208.04 2
Varsity Estates	206.00 3
Varsity Manor	206.00 3
Village Farms	210.04 1
Vintage Estates	210.06 3
Waddell Estates	210.06 2
Walnut Green	211.02 2
Wampler Place	215.01 2
Warrington Hall	208.04 2
Washington	209.04 3
Washington Arms	214.01 1
Washington Heights	210.06 2, 210.06 3
Washington Manor	214.02 4
Watch Island at River Walk	209.04 3
Waterford	210.11 1
Waterstone	215.02 3
Waterway Estates	211.02 1
Waverton Apartments	207.00 2
Waverton Place Apartments	207.00 2
Weavers Spring	215.01 3
Wedgewood Estates	208.07 2, 208.07
	3, 208.07 4
Well Spring	208.05 2
Wellington	216.02 3
West Chadswyck Terrace	215.02 3
West Colony Manor	213.01 1
West Lake Condominiums	210.10 3
West Landing Estates	212.00 1
West Munden	202.00 1, 202.00 4
West Road Estates	212.00 1

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Westbridge Apartments	210.10 3
Westchester Estates	208.04 2
Western Branch Commons	215.02 4
Westgate Park	208.09 2
Westonia	213.01 2
Westover	209.05 1
White Egret Cove	210.12 3
White Oak Crossing	208.06 2
Whites Landing	215.01 3
Whitson Acres	210.09 2
Whittamore Acres	211.02 1, 211.02 2
Wickford	209.06 3
Wild Horse Ridge	212.00 3
Wildwood	215.02 2
Wildwood Heights	210.12 1
Willow Lakes	215.01 2
Willow Oaks Apartments	208.05 2
Willow Point Condominiums	209.04 3
Willows Glen	214.04 3
Willowwood	214.01 1
Wilmund Court Apartments	207.00 2
Wilmund Park Apartments	207.00 2
Wilmund Place	207.00 2
Wilson Heights	210.10 1, 210.10
S .	2, 210.10 3
Wimbledon Chase	209.06 2
Condominiums	
Wimbledon Square	209.06 2
Condominiums Windlesham Plantation	210 12 2
Windrose Farms	210.12 2 213.02 1
Winds of Silverwood	
	216.01 1 214.02 2
Wingfield Pointe Winston Trace	
	209.06 3
Wittington Woodards Mill	210.10 1
Woodbriar	210.09 1, 210.09 2 209.06 1
Woodbridge Apartments	209.06 1
Woodgata Commans	208.07 3
Woodbayon Apartments	208.06 1
Woodhaven Apartments	216.02 1
Woodlake Forest	214.02 3

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Woodland Shores	215.01 3
Woodland Terrace	214.04 1
Woodland Trails	214.04 3
Woods Edge	209.04 1
Woods of Deep Creek	214.02 1

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Woods of Whitehurst	208.04 2
Woodshire Mobile Home Park	214.02 4
Wynngate Place	208.07 2, 208.07 3

Section D2- Neighborhood and Subdivision Names in Numerical Order of NSA IDs

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Ashburn Point	200.01 1
Elizabeth River Terrace	200.01 1
Indian River Terrace	200.01 1
Riverside Park Homes	200.01 1
Seminole Park	200.01 1
Tanglewood	200.01 1
Ford Park	200.02 1
Oaklette	200.02 1
Riverstone Estates	200.02 1
Fairview Manor	200.02 1
South Fairview	200.02 1
Cambridge Manor Apartments	200.02 2
Gracie Park	200.02 2
Fairview Manor	200.02 2
South Fairview	200.02 2
Plymouth Park	200.02 2
Fairview Manor	200.02 3
South Fairview	200.02 3
Plymouth Park	200.02 3
South Norfolk	200.02 3
Century Plaza Apartments	200.03 1
Goldmill Apartments	200.03 1
Indian River Estates	200.03 1
Mcdonald Manor Apartments	200.03 1
Queen City	200.03 1
Indian River Landing	200.03 2
Norfolk Highlands	200.03 2
Archbell Apartments	200.03 3
Sparrow Road Townhouse	200.03 3
Apartments	
Norfolk Highlands	200.03 3
South Fairview	200.03 4
Norfolk Highlands	200.03 4
Norfolk Highlands	200.03 5
Sunrise Hills	201.00 1
Georgetown Point	201.00 1
Holly Point Apartments	201.00 1
Campostella Commons	201.00 2
Landmark Apartments	201.00 2

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Meadow Landing North	201.00 2
Townhouses	
Peaceful Village	201.00 2
Pleasant Park Apartments	201.00 2
Plymouth Park	201.00 2
South Norfolk	201.00 2
Sunrise Hills	201.00 2
Campostella Square	201.00 2
Plymouth Park	201.00 3
South Norfolk	201.00 3
Campostella Square	201.00 3
Broadlawn Apartments	202.00 1
South Munden	202.00 1
West Munden	202.00 1
Kennedy Apartments	202.00 2
Cloverdale	202.00 3
Madison Arms Apartments	202.00 3
Oakdale	202.00 3
South Norfolk	202.00 3
Edwards-Wilson	202.00 4
Faith Village	202.00 4
Munden	202.00 4
Sheridan Heights	202.00 4
South Munden	202.00 4
West Munden	202.00 4
Elmsley	203.00 1
Quincy	203.00 1
Southern Terrace Arms Apartments	203.00 2
Elmsley	203.00 2
Quincy	204.00 1
Avalon	204.00 1
Avalon Arms Apartments	204.00 2
Chaparral Arms Apartments	204.00 2
Sunnybrook Apartments	204.00 2
Travelers Apartments	204.00 2
Quincy	204.00 2
Avalon	204.00 2
South Norfolk Heights	204.00 3
Quincy	204.00 3

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Crossbridge Commons	205.00 1
Harvard Apartments	205.00 1
Money Point	205.00 1
Reidville	205.00 1
South Hill	205.00 1
Twin Creek Apartments	205.00 1
Edgewood	205.00 1
Raleigh Heights	205.00 1
Southgate Plaza	206.00 1
Ardmore	206.00 1
Catalina Heights	206.00 2
Ferebee Manor	206.00 2
Edgewood	206.00 2
Ardmore	206.00 2
Varsity Estates	206.00 3
Varsity Manor	206.00 3
South Norfolk	206.00 3
Edgewood	206.00 3
Raleigh Heights	206.00 3
Portlock Terrace	206.00 3
Portlock	206.00 4
Portlock Terrace	206.00 4
Chesapeake Crossing Senior	207.00 1
Apartments	
Edmonds Corner Mobile Home Park	207.00 1
Providence Grove	207.00 1
Providence Square	207.00 1
Providence Terrace	207.00 1
Fairfield	207.00 2
Homecrest Mobile Home Park	207.00 2
Parkwood	207.00 2
Sturbridge Village	207.00 2
Waverton Apartments	207.00 2
Waverton Place Apartments	207.00 2
Wilmund Court Apartments	207.00 2
Wilmund Park Apartments	207.00 2
Wilmund Place	207.00 2
Chesapeake Crossing Shopping Center	207.00 2
Edmonds Corner Mobile Home Park	207.00 2

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Providence Grove	207.00 2
Providence Square	207.00 2
Providence Terrace	207.00 2
Asbury Downs Condominiums	208.04 1
Eagle Lake at Plantation North	208.04 1
Kemp Bridge	208.04 1
Kemp Woods	208.04 1
Mill Pond Forest	208.04 1
Orchard Grove Condominiums	208.04 1
Plantation Lakes	208.04 1
Plantation Woods	208.04 1
Sommerton	208.04 1
Arlington Meadows	208.04 2
Butts Station	208.04 2
Clearfield	208.04 2
Elpike Manor	208.04 2
English Oaks	208.04 2
Greentree Commons	208.04 2
Lincolnshire	208.04 2
Oakbrooke Crossing	208.04 2
Peyton Estates	208.04 2
Station Square Condominiums	208.04 2
Stoney Run Manor	208.04 2
Tallwood Estates	208.04 2
Vance Level	208.04 2
Warrington Hall	208.04 2
Westchester Estates	208.04 2
Woods of Whitehurst	208.04 2
Albemarle River	208.04 3
Centerville Commons	208.04 3
Charlestowne Lakes South	208.04 3
Greystone	208.04 3
Melda Manor	208.04 3
North Landing Cove	208.04 3
Oak Grove Homes	208.04 3
Greenbrier Woods Apartments	208.05 1
Knells Ridge Estates	208.05 1
Oak Lake Apartments	208.05 1
Oak Lake Estates Condominiums	208.05 1
R & H Mobile Home Park	208.05 1
South Park	208.05 1
	I

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
The Grove at the Arboretum	208.05 1
Essex Meadows	208.05 1
Well Spring	208.05 2
Willow Oaks Apartments	208.05 2
Essex Meadows	208.05 2
Greentree Apartments	208.05 3
Oak Brooke	208.05 3
Oak Grove Manor	208.05 3
Oakbrooke Meadows	208.05 3
Stillwater Farms	208.05 3
Oak Grove Meadows	208.05 3
Avalon Birches Apartments	208.06 1
Chesapeake Place	208.06 1
Greenbrier Mall	208.06 1
Logans Mill	208.06 1
Woodgate Commons	208.06 1
Emerald Greens	208.06 1
Bayberry Forest	208.06 2
Bayberry Place	208.06 2
Bayberry Woods	208.06 2
Cypress Place	208.06 2
Heather Point Condominiums	208.06 2
Lakes of Greenbrier Apartments	208.06 2
River Birch Run South	208.06 2
The Carlton at Greenbrier	208.06 2
White Oak Crossing	208.06 2
Ashley Woods	208.06 3
Mill Quarter	208.06 3
Turtle Rock	208.06 3
Emerald Greens	208.06 3
Ivystone Apartments	208.07 1
Lakewood	208.07 1
The Club Villas Condominiums	208.07 1
Alden Square	208.07 2
Hunningdon Woods	208.07 2
Hunningdon Lakes	208.07 2
Norcova Estates	208.07 2
Wynngate Place	208.07 2
Wedgewood Estates	208.07 2
Estates of Greenbrier	208.07 3
Kemp Crossing	208.07 3

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Ruths Glen	208.07 3
Woodbridge Apartments	208.07 3
Hunningdon Lakes	208.07 3
Norcova Estates	208.07 3
Wynngate Place	208.07 3
Wedgewood Estates	208.07 3
Fairways Villas Condominiums	208.07 4
Greenwood at Greenbrier	208.07 4
St. Andrews Condominiums	208.07 4
The Club Villas Condominiums	208.07 4
Wedgewood Estates	208.07 4
Georgetown Point	208.08 1
Holly Point Apartments	208.08 1
Georgetown East	208.08 1
Georgetown Colony	208.08 2
Georgetown Commons	208.08 2
Townhouses	
Georgetown Manor	208.08 2
Georgetown Place	208.08 2
Georgetown Square Townhouse	208.08 2
Gray's Providence	208.08 2
Holly Glen Condominiums	208.08 2
College Park	208.09 1
Greenbrier East Condo	208.09 1
Ipswich Townvillas	208.09 1
Norfolk Highlands	208.09 1
Providence Mobile Home Park	208.09 1
Indian River Gardens	208.09 2
Indian River Homesites	208.09 2
Jacobsen Apartments	208.09 2
Providence Pointe Condo	208.09 2
Westgate Park	208.09 2
Norfolk Highlands	208.09 2
Pinetta	208.09 3
Valley Stream	208.09 3
Georgetown East	208.09 3
Crestwood	209.03 1
Crestwood Manor	209.03 1
Eva Gardens	209.03 1
Keats Landing	209.03 1
Mcmillan Mobile Home Park	209.03 1

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Newton Creek Estates	209.03 1
Stoneys Mobile Home Park	209.03 1
Bellwood Meadows	209.04 1
Cleveland Place	209.04 1
Cleveland Place	209.04 1
Harbor North Apartments	209.04 1
Harbour North	209.04 1
Mains Creek Park	209.04 1
Mullenville	209.04 1
Rose Garden Estates	209.04 1
Woods Edge	209.04 1
Crescent Cove Condominiums	209.04 2
Dominion Forest	209.04 2
Dominion Lakes	209.04 2
Dominion Pines	209.04 2
Dominion Pines Apartments	209.04 2
Driftwood Meadows	209.04 2
Fernbridge	209.04 2
Fernwood Farms	209.04 2
Fernwood Shores	209.04 2
Kings Ford Condominiums	209.04 2
Laurel Haven at River Walk	209.04 2
River Pines	209.04 2
Riverwalk Estates	209.04 2
Condominiums	
Beacon Point at River Walk	209.04 2
The Quays at River Walk	209.04 2
Broad Bend at River Walk	209.04 3
Echo Cove at River Walk	209.04 3
Inlet Quay Condominiums	209.04 3
Lantrac	209.04 3
Marsh Island at River Walk	209.04 3
Mystic Isle at River Walk	209.04 3
Oak Bridge Forest	209.04 3
Queens Gate Condominiums	209.04 3
Quiet Cove at River Walk	209.04 3
River Arch Village	209.04 3
Royal Grove Townhouses	209.04 3
Washington	209.04 3
Watch Island at River Walk	209.04 3
Willow Point Condominiums	209.04 3

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Beacon Point at River Walk	209.04 3
The Quays at River Walk	209.04 3
Long Point Townhouses	209.04 3
Acorn Grove Apartments	209.04 4
Creekside at River Walk	209.04 4
Georgian Manor	209.04 4
Governors Pointe Apartments	209.04 4
Harbor Watch Condominiums	209.04 4
Mariners Quay Condominiums	209.04 4
Marsh View Farms	209.04 4
Oak Grove Apartments	209.04 4
River Walk	209.04 4
Long Point Townhouses	209.04 4
Belmont at Greenbrier	209.05 1
Belvin Heights	209.05 1
Chesapeake Manor Senior	209.05 1
Apartments	
Dorcas Acres	209.05 1
Greenfield Acres	209.05 1
Gregorys Mobile Home Park	209.05 1
Harrells Mobile Home Park	209.05 1
Interstate Park Condo	209.05 1
Lindale	209.05 1
Midway Mobile Home Park	209.05 1
Princeton Halls	209.05 1
Seaboard Estates	209.05 1
Westover	209.05 1
Battlefield Comm Center Condo	209.06 1
Battlefield Commons	209.06 1
Battlefield Corporate Center	209.06 1
Greenwood Estates	209.06 1
Red Oak Colony	209.06 1
The Cedars Apartments	209.06 1
The Morgan	209.06 1
Woodbriar	209.06 1
Woodbriar Apartments	209.06 1
Alta Vista Apartments	209.06 2
Cambridge Square Senior	209.06 2
Apartments	
Knells Ridge Condominiums	209.06 2
Knells Ridge Townhouses	209.06 2

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Sentara Village at Chesapeake	209.06 2
Wimbledon Chase	209.06 2
Condominiums	
Wimbledon Square	209.06 2
Condominiums	
Oak Grove Meadows	209.06 2
Chesapeake General Hospital	209.06 2
Carriage Landing	209.06 3
Glenleigh	209.06 3
Hunters Glen	209.06 3
Les Chateaux	209.06 3
Magnolia Chase	209.06 3
Wickford	209.06 3
Winston Trace	209.06 3
Berkshire Forest	210.04 1
Caroon Farms	210.04 1
Country Roads	210.04 1
Edinburg	210.04 1
Edinburgh	210.04 1
Great Bridge Estates	210.04 1
Hillcrest	210.04 1
Hunters Ridge	210.04 1
Lexington Place	210.04 1
Pine Ridge	210.04 1
Ravenwoods	210.04 1
Sir Raleigh Estates	210.04 1
Sutherlyn Estates	210.04 1
Village Farms	210.04 1
Dails Landing	210.04 2
Hanbury Commons	210.04 2
Hanbury Farms	210.04 2
Hanbury West	210.04 2
Porter Ridge	210.04 2
Briarwood Meadows	210.04 2
Castlewood Terrace	210.04 2
Grovedate	210.04 2
Heritage Point	210.04 2
Hanbury Commons	210.04 2
Briarwood Meadows	210.04 3
Castlewood Terrace	210.04 3
Grovedate	210.04 3

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Heritage Point	210.04 3
Battlefield Woods Apartments	210.04 4
Glovers Court	210.04 4
Kingsbridge Apartments	210.04 4
Hall Property	210.04 4
Chesapeake Colony	210.04 4
Ash Hill Estates	210.05 1
Bells Mill	210.05 1
Breezewood Landing	210.05 1
Cedarwood	210.05 1
Cedarwood Condominiums	210.05 1
Cedarwood South	210.05 1
Country Club Meadows	210.05 1
Falls Creek	210.05 1
Goodman Village	210.05 1
Harbor Landing	210.05 1
Hastings Place	210.05 1
Inland Colony	210.05 1
Island Estates	210.05 1
Lochaven Estates	210.05 1
Sherwood Forest	210.05 1
Seabrooke Landing	210.05 1
Cedar Mill Townhouses	210.05 2
Great Bridge Acres	210.05 2
Seabrooke Landing	210.05 2
Great Bridge	210.05 2
Bells Hollow	210.06 1
Cedar Crossing Condominiums	210.06 1
Las Gaviotas	210.06 1
Moores Farm	210.06 1
Cahoon Plantation	210.06 1
Forest Lakes	210.06 2
Glen Abbey	210.06 2
Homemont	210.06 2
Sandy Meadows	210.06 2
Scenic Acres	210.06 2
Waddell Estates	210.06 2
Deerville	210.06 2
Washington Heights	210.06 2
Shillelagh Estates	210.06 3
Stonegate	210.06 3

Niciobboubood Nicuo	NCA -
Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Vintage Estates	210.06 3
Cahoon Plantation	210.06 3
Deerville	210.06 3
Washington Heights	210.06 3
Battlewood Meadows	210.09 1
Darby Run	210.09 1
Hickory Heights	210.09 1
Hickory Professional	210.09 1
Condominium	240.00.4
Hickory Station Estates	210.09 1
Regal Park	210.09 1
Woodards Mill	210.09 1
Hickory Ridge	210.09 1
Clark Farm Estates	210.09 2
Coventry Meadows	210.09 2
Upton Farms	210.09 2
Whitson Acres	210.09 2
Woodards Mill	210.09 2
Etheridge Manor	210.09 2
Carriage House Estates	210.09 2
Emerald Lakes Estates	210.09 2
Middle Oaks	210.10 1
North Rollingwood	210.10 1
Rollingwood Estates	210.10 1
Sandy Hill	210.10 1
Southern Pines	210.10 1
Twelve Oaks	210.10 1
Wittington	210.10 1
Great Bridge	210.10 1
Wilson Heights	210.10 1
Dove Acres	210.10 1
Foxgate Quarter	210.10 1
Pines of Warrick	210.10 1
South Wood	210.10 1
Cheshire Forest	210.10 1
Johnstowne Square	210.10 2
Chesapeake Colony	210.10 2
Great Bridge	210.10 2
Wilson Heights	210.10 2
Hall Manor	210.10 2
Meadowoods	210.10 2

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Cedar Lakes	210.10 3
Cedar Lakes Condominiums	210.10 3
Cedar Lakes Townhouses	210.10 3
Cedar Pines	210.10 3
West Lake Condominiums	210.10 3
Westbridge Apartments	210.10 3
Wilson Heights	210.10 3
Dove Acres	210.10 3
Foxgate Quarter	210.10 3
Pines of Warrick	210.10 3
Hall Manor	210.10 3
Meadowoods	210.10 3
Ashton Park	210.11 1
Brandon Woods	210.11 1
Frost Estates	210.11 1
Saddle Ridge South	210.11 1
Waterford	210.11 1
South Wood	210.11 1
Cheshire Forest	210.11 1
Bridgewood	210.11 1
Cheshire Meadows	210.11 1
Briarwood	210.11 2
Great Bridge Crossing	210.11 2
Jordan Hall	210.11 2
Cheshire Forest	210.11 2
Bridgewood	210.11 2
Cheshire Meadows	210.11 2
Glenwood	210.12 1
Hall Heights	210.12 1
Pleasant Grove Townhouses	210.12 1
Stone Hill Condominiums	210.12 1
Tarawood	210.12 1
Wildwood Heights	210.12 1
Chesapeake Colony	210.12 1
Hanbury Commons	210.12 1
Glasser Landing	210.12 2
Great Bridge Gardens	210.12 2
Hillwell Manor	210.12 2
Kensington Chase	210.12 2
Lakes of Etheridge	210.12 2
Savanna Heights	210.12 2

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Windlesham Plantation	210.12 2
Etheridge Pointe	210.12 2
Alexis Forest	210.12 3
Etheridge Meadows	210.12 3
Sharon Estates	210.12 3
White Egret Cove	210.12 3
Etheridge Manor	210.12 3
Etheridge Pointe	210.12 3
Brandermill	210.12 3
Poplar Ridge	210.12 3
Etheridge Woods	210.12 3
Etheridge Manor	210.12 4
Etheridge Woods	210.12 4
Carriage House Commons	210.13 1
Etheridge Manor	210.13 1
Carriage House Estates	210.13 1
Emerald Lakes Estates	210.13 1
Etheridge Woods	210.13 1
Etheridge Lakes	210.13 1
Fentress Echos	210.13 2
Pleasant Grove	210.13 2
Brandermill	210.13 2
Poplar Ridge	210.13 2
Etheridge Woods	210.13 2
Etheridge Lakes	210.13 2
Albemarle Terrace	211.01 1
Bridgefield	211.01 1
Chesapeake Colony Estates	211.01 1
Jolliff Station	211.01 1
Tunbridge Station	211.01 1
Chesapeake Colony	211.01 1
Albemarle Acres West	211.01 2
Albemarle Colony	211.01 3
Albemarle Pines	211.01 3
Fentress Gardens	211.01 3
Mount Pleasant Heights	211.01 3
Poplar Ridge	211.01 3
Albemarle Farms	211.02 1
Albemarle Farms North	211.02 1
Blackwater Forest	211.02 1
King James Colony	211.02 1

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
North Landing Farms	211.02 1
Ravenna	211.02 1
Ravenna East	211.02 1
School House Crossing	211.02 1
Stratford Terrace	211.02 1
Waterway Estates	211.02 1
Whittamore Acres	211.02 1
Beaver Meadows	211.02 2
Centerville Farms	211.02 2
Centerville Farms	211.02 2
Green Haven	211.02 2
Hickory Plantation	211.02 2
Long Ridge Woods	211.02 2
Peoples Estates	211.02 2
Walnut Green	211.02 2
Whittamore Acres	211.02 2
Northwest Acres	211.02 3
Curling Acres	212.00 1
Downland Acres	212.00 1
Equestrian Estates	212.00 1
Foxwood North	212.00 1
Jubilee Acres	212.00 1
Shillelagh Farms	212.00 1
Tluchak Subdivision	212.00 1
West Landing Estates	212.00 1
West Road Estates	212.00 1
Country Mill Run	212.00 2
Gertie	212.00 2
Halstead Landing	212.00 2
Homestead Acres	212.00 2
Northwest Landing	212.00 2
Oak Ridge	212.00 2
Pleasant Ridge	212.00 2
Riverwood	212.00 2
Timberwood	212.00 2
Hickory Ridge	212.00 2
Bunch Walnuts Estates	212.00 2
Wild Horse Ridge	212.00 3
Bunch Walnuts Estates	212.00 3
Bowers Hill	213.01 1
Clearview	213.01 1

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Colony Manor	213.01 1
Plantation Mobile Home Park	213.01 1
Sunray	213.01 1
West Colony Manor	213.01 1
Carey Farm	213.01 2
Galberry	213.01 2
Kentucky Forest	213.01 2
Martindale	213.01 2
Millers Run	213.01 2
Millers Run	213.01 2
Olde Mill Run	213.01 2
Sherman Oaks	213.01 2
Westonia	213.01 2
Culpepper Landing	213.01 3
Elmwood Landing	213.01 3
Mill Creek	213.01 3
Mill Creek Apartments	213.01 3
Bishop Davis Estates	213.02 1
Cedar Cove	213.02 1
Deep Creek Crossing	213.02 1
Deep Creek Forest	213.02 1
Locks Landing	213.02 1
Millville Court	213.02 1
Pleasant View	213.02 1
Ship's Landing	213.02 1
Windrose Farms	213.02 1
Park View	213.02 1
Bryant Farms	213.02 2
Cedar Creek	213.02 2
Cedar Landing Estates	213.02 2
Deer Crossing	213.02 2
Glen Eagle	213.02 2
Grassfield Reserve	213.02 2
Kinston Waters	213.02 2
Mariners Pointe	213.02 2
Mill Creek Harbor	213.02 2
New Mill Landing	213.02 2
Riddicks Quay	213.02 2
Rio Vista	213.02 2
Ships Crossing	213.02 2
The Landings at Bald Cypress	213.02 2

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Quay	
Park View	213.02 2
Cedar Pointe Meadows	213.02 3
Creekwood	213.02 3
Hennessey Crossing	213.02 3
Sawyers Mill	213.02 3
Marsh Creek	213.02 4
Rio Vista	213.02 4
The Meadows at Bald Cypress	213.02 4
Quay	
Sawyers Mill	213.02 4
Dominion Village at Chesapeake	214.01 1
Happy Acres	214.01 1
Hillside Landing	214.01 1
King's Gate	214.01 1
Old Mill Landing	214.01 1
Parker Home Sites	214.01 1
Strawberry Acres	214.01 1
Washington Arms	214.01 1
Willowwood	214.01 1
Fordville	214.02 1
Forest Cove	214.02 1
Lindbergh Manor	214.02 1
Marsh Pointe Estates	214.02 1
Meta Pointe	214.02 1
Roseville	214.02 1
South Gilmerton	214.02 1
Woods of Deep Creek	214.02 1
Broadmoor	214.02 1
Deep Creek Shores	214.02 2
Firman Estates	214.02 2
Futrells Landing	214.02 2
Hulen Estates	214.02 2
Meadow Creek Estates	214.02 2
Wingfield Pointe	214.02 2
Plummer Plantation	214.02 2
Baywood Manor	214.02 3
Deep Creek Landing	214.02 3
Oak Manor	214.02 3
Woodlake Forest	214.02 3
Broadmoor	214.02 3

Plummer Plantation 214.02 3 Admiral Mobile Home Park 214.02 4 Elizabeth Gardens 214.02 4 Gatewood Apartments 214.02 4 Condominiums Washington Manor 214.02 4 Broadmoor 214.02 4 Brentwood 214.03 1 Glen Isle 214.03 1 Glen Isle 214.03 1 Geneva Court 214.03 2 Geneva Shores 214.03 2 Lone Oak Mobile Home Park 214.03 2 Broadmoor 214.03 2 Geneva Park 214.03 2 Barnes Mobile Home Park 214.03 2 Broundation Housing Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments 214.03 3 Geneva Forest 214.03 3 Chesapeake Mobile Home Park 214.03 3 Chesapeake Retirement Housing 214.03 3 Chesapeake Townhouse 214.04 1 Chesapeake Retirements 214	Neighborhood Name	NSAs		
Admiral Mobile Home Park Elizabeth Gardens Gatewood Apartments Condominiums Washington Manor Woodshire Mobile Home Park Elenation Elenat				
Elizabeth Gardens Gatewood Apartments Catewood Commons Condominiums Washington Manor Woodshire Mobile Home Park Broadmoor Brentwood Glen Isle Old Farm St. Julians Depot Geneva Court Geneva Shores Lone Oak Mobile Home Park Daville Broadmoor 214.03 2 Geneva Shores Lone Oak Mobile Home Park Daville Broadmoor 214.03 2 Geneva Park Chesapeake Retirement Housing Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments Deep Creek Park Davillage Davillag				
Gatewood Apartments Gatewood Commons Condominiums Washington Manor Woodshire Mobile Home Park Broadmoor Brentwood Cold Farm Co				
Gatewood Commons Condominiums Washington Manor Woodshire Mobile Home Park Broadmoor Brentwood Glen Isle Old Farm St. Julians Depot Geneva Court Geneva Shores Lone Oak Mobile Home Park 214.03 2 Broadmoor 214.03 2 Broadmoor Cakville Broadmoor 214.03 2 Broadmoor Cakville Broadmoor Cakville Broadmoor Cakville Broadmoor Cakville Broadmoor Cath.03 2 Geneva Park Chesapeake Retirement Housing Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments Cakvillage Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments Cath.03 3 Cowens Village Broadmoor Cath.03 3 Cowens Village Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Cath.03 3 Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments Cath.03 3 Comens Village Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Cath.03 3 Comens Village Cath.04 1 Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Cath.04 1 Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Cath.04 1 Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Cath.04 1 Cath.04				
Condominiums Washington Manor Woodshire Mobile Home Park Broadmoor 214.02 4 Brentwood 214.03 1 Glen Isle 214.03 1 Old Farm 214.03 1 St. Julians Depot 214.03 2 Geneva Court 214.03 2 Lone Oak Mobile Home Park 214.03 2 Marina Point Apartments 214.03 2 Broadmoor 214.03 2 Geneva Park 214.03 2 Barnes Mobile Home Park 214.03 3 Chesapeake Retirement Housing Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments 214.03 3 Cowens Village 214.03 3 Cowens Village 214.03 3 Broadmoor 214.03 3 Chesapeake Mobile Home Park 214.03 3 Geneva Forest 214.03 3 Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments 214.03 3 Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments 214.03 3 Chesapeake Townhouse 214.03 3 Chesapeake Forest 214.03 3 Cowens Village 214.04 3 Cowens Village 214.04 1 Chesapeake Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 Chesapeake Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 George Washington Townhouse Apartments George's Landing Condominiums 214.04 1 Misty Point 214.04 1				
Broadmoor 214.02 4 Brentwood 214.03 1 Glen Isle 214.03 1 Old Farm 214.03 1 St. Julians Depot 214.03 2 Geneva Court 214.03 2 Lone Oak Mobile Home Park 214.03 2 Marina Point Apartments 214.03 2 Broadmoor 214.03 2 Barnes Mobile Home Park 214.03 2 Barnes Mobile Home Park 214.03 2 Barnes Mobile Home Park 214.03 3 Chesapeake Retirement Housing Foundation Housing Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments 214.03 3 Geneva Forest 214.03 3 Lake Village Apartments 214.03 3 Loxley Gardens 214.03 3 Broadmoor 214.03 3 Chesapeake Townhouse 214.03 3 Geneva Forest 214.03 3 Loxley Gardens 214.03 3 Chesapeake Townhouse 214.03 3 Loxley Gardens 214.03 3 Chesapeake Townhouse 214.03 3 Loxley Gardens 214.03 3 Chesapeake Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 Elkhart Apartments 214.04 1 Elkhart Apartments 214.04 1 Fairmont Heights 214.04 1 George Washington Townhouse Apartments George's Landing Condominiums 214.04 1 Misty Point 214.04 1		214.02 4		
Broadmoor 214.02 4 Brentwood 214.03 1 Glen Isle 214.03 1 Old Farm 214.03 1 St. Julians Depot 214.03 2 Geneva Court 214.03 2 Geneva Shores 214.03 2 Lone Oak Mobile Home Park 214.03 2 Oakville 214.03 2 Broadmoor 214.03 2 Barnes Mobile Home Park 214.03 2 Barnes Mobile Home Park 214.03 3 Chesapeake Retirement Housing Chesapeake Retirement Housing Chesapeake Townhouse 214.03 3 Apartments 214.03 3 Geneva Forest 214.03 3 Lake Village Apartments 214.03 3 Loxley Gardens 214.03 3 Broadmoor 214.03 3 Chesapeake Retirement S 214.03 3 Geneva Forest 214.03 3 Geneva Forest 214.03 3 Lake Village Apartments 214.03 3 Chesapeake Retirements 214.03 3 Geneva Forest 214.03 3 Loxley Gardens 214.03 3 Chesapeake Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 Chesapeake Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 Elkhart Apartments 214.04 1 Fairmont Heights 214.04 1 George Washington Townhouse Apartments George's Landing Condominiums 214.04 1 Misty Point 214.04 1	Washington Manor	214.02 4		
Brentwood Glen Isle Clen I	Woodshire Mobile Home Park	214.02 4		
Glen Isle Old Farm 214.03 1 St. Julians Depot 214.03 2 Geneva Court 214.03 2 Lone Oak Mobile Home Park 214.03 2 Marina Point Apartments 214.03 2 Broadmoor 214.03 2 Barnes Mobile Home Park 214.03 2 Barnes Mobile Home Park 214.03 3 Chesapeake Retirement Housing Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments 214.03 3 Lake Village Apartments 214.03 3 Loxley Gardens Owens Village 214.03 3 Broadmoor 214.03 3 Geneva Park 214.03 3 Loxley Gardens 214.03 3 Chesapeake Mobile Home Park 214.03 3 Loxley Gardens 214.03 3 Loxley Gardens 214.03 3 Chesapeake Mobile Home Park 214.03 3 Loxley Gardens 214.03 3 Covens Village 214.03 3 Covens Village 214.03 3 Covens Village 214.04 1 Chesapeake Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 Fairmont Heights 214.04 1 George Washington Townhouse Apartments George's Landing Condominiums 214.04 1 Misty Point	Broadmoor	214.02 4		
Old Farm St. Julians Depot 214.03 1 Geneva Court 214.03 2 Geneva Shores 214.03 2 Lone Oak Mobile Home Park 214.03 2 Marina Point Apartments 214.03 2 Broadmoor 214.03 2 Geneva Park 214.03 2 Barnes Mobile Home Park 214.03 3 Chesapeake Retirement Housing Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments 214.03 3 Lake Village Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments Deven Sold Sardens Owens Village 214.03 3 Broadmoor 214.03 3 Broadmoor 214.03 3 Broadmoor 214.03 3 Geneva Park 214.03 3 Chesapeake Mobile Home Park 214.03 3 Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments 214.03 3 Covens Village 214.03 3 Covens Village 214.03 3 Covens Village 214.03 3 Covens Village 214.04 1 Chesapeake Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 Chesapeake Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 Geneva Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 Geneva Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 George Washington Townhouse Apartments George's Landing Condominiums 214.04 1 Misty Point	Brentwood	214.03 1		
St. Julians Depot Geneva Court Geneva Court Geneva Shores Lone Oak Mobile Home Park Lone Oak Mobile Home Park Marina Point Apartments Oakville Broadmoor Ceneva Park Barnes Mobile Home Park Chesapeake Retirement Housing Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments Lake Village Apartments Deven Sullage Broadmoor Chesapeake Retirement Housing Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments Lake Village Apartments Lake Village Apartments Loxley Gardens Owens Village Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Elkhart Apartments Loxley Gardens Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Elkhart Apartments Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Chesapeake Mobile Home P	Glen Isle	214.03 1		
Geneva Court Geneva Shores Lone Oak Mobile Home Park Marina Point Apartments Oakville Broadmoor Geneva Park Barnes Mobile Home Park Chesapeake Retirement Housing Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments Lake Village Apartments Deven Sullage Broadmoor Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Deven Forest Lake Village Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments Deven Creek Crossing Apartments Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments Deep Lake Village Apartments Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments Deven Creek Crossing Apartments Chesapeake Townhouse Chesapeake Village Chesapeake Village Apartments Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Ches	Old Farm	214.03 1		
Geneva Shores Lone Oak Mobile Home Park 214.03 2 Marina Point Apartments Oakville Broadmoor Geneva Park Barnes Mobile Home Park Chesapeake Retirement Housing Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments Lake Village Apartments Downs Village Broadmoor 214.03 3 Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments Lake Village Apartments Loxley Gardens Owens Village Broadmoor 214.03 3 Chesapeake Mobile Home Park 214.03 3 Loxley Gardens Owens Village Broadmoor 214.03 3 Geneva Park Amberly Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Elkhart Apartments 214.04 1 Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Elkhart Apartments Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Elkhart Apartments 214.04 1 George Washington Townhouse Apartments George's Landing Condominiums 214.04 1 Misty Point 214.04 1	St. Julians Depot	214.03 1		
Lone Oak Mobile Home Park Marina Point Apartments 214.03 2 Oakville 214.03 2 Broadmoor Geneva Park 214.03 3 Chesapeake Retirement Housing Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments Lake Village Apartments Loxley Gardens Owens Village Broadmoor Geneva Park 214.03 3 Chesapeake Townhouse 214.03 3 Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments 214.03 3 Lake Village Apartments 214.03 3 Loxley Gardens Owens Village 214.03 3 Broadmoor 214.03 3 Geneva Park 214.03 3 Amberly Chesapeake Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Elkhart Apartments 214.04 1 Fairmont Heights Ceneva Mobile Home Park George Washington Townhouse Apartments George's Landing Condominiums 214.04 1 Misty Point 214.04 1	Geneva Court	214.03 2		
Marina Point Apartments Oakville Dakville 214.03 2 Broadmoor Geneva Park Barnes Mobile Home Park Chesapeake Retirement Housing Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments Cardens Ca	Geneva Shores	214.03 2		
Oakville 214.03 2 Broadmoor 214.03 2 Geneva Park 214.03 3 Chesapeake Retirement Housing Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments 214.03 3 Geneva Forest 214.03 3 Lake Village Apartments 214.03 3 Loxley Gardens 214.03 3 Broadmoor 214.03 3 Geneva Park 214.04 1 Chesapeake Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 Elkhart Apartments 214.04 1 Geneva Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 Geneva Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 George Washington Townhouse Apartments George's Landing Condominiums 214.04 1 Misty Point 214.04 1	Lone Oak Mobile Home Park	214.03 2		
Broadmoor 214.03 2 Geneva Park 214.03 2 Barnes Mobile Home Park 214.03 3 Chesapeake Retirement Housing Foundation Housing Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments 214.03 3 Geneva Forest 214.03 3 Lake Village Apartments 214.03 3 Loxley Gardens 214.03 3 Owens Village 214.03 3 Broadmoor 214.03 3 Broadmoor 214.03 3 Geneva Park 214.03 3 Amberly 214.04 1 Chesapeake Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 Elkhart Apartments 214.04 1 Fairmont Heights 214.04 1 Geneva Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 George Washington Townhouse Apartments George's Landing Condominiums 214.04 1 Misty Point 214.04 1	Marina Point Apartments	214.03 2		
Geneva Park Barnes Mobile Home Park Chesapeake Retirement Housing Foundation Housing Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments Lake Village Apartments Loxley Gardens Owens Village Broadmoor Geneva Park Amberly Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Elkhart Apartments 214.04 1 Geneva Mobile Home Park Geneva Mobile Home Park Geneva Mobile Home Park Geneva Mobile Home Park George Washington Townhouse Apartments George's Landing Condominiums Misty Point 214.04 1 214.04 1 Misty Point 214.04 1 Misty Point 214.04 1 Misty Point	Oakville	214.03 2		
Barnes Mobile Home Park Chesapeake Retirement Housing Foundation Housing Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments Lake Village Apartments Loxley Gardens Owens Village Broadmoor Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Elkhart Apartments 214.03 3 Amberly Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Elkhart Apartments Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Chesapeake Mobile Home P	Broadmoor	214.03 2		
Chesapeake Retirement Housing Foundation Housing Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments Lake Village Apartments Loxley Gardens Cowens Village Broadmoor Ceneva Park Amberly Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Elkhart Apartments Elkhart Apartments Ceneva Mobile Home Park C	Geneva Park	214.03 2		
Foundation Housing Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments 214.03 3 Geneva Forest Lake Village Apartments Loxley Gardens Owens Village 214.03 3 Broadmoor 214.03 3 Broadmoor 214.03 3 Geneva Park 214.03 3 Amberly Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Elkhart Apartments 214.04 1 Fairmont Heights Geneva Mobile Home Park Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Elkhart Apartments Fairmont Heights Geneva Mobile Home Park George Washington Townhouse Apartments George's Landing Condominiums 214.04 1 Misty Point Misty Point 214.04 1	Barnes Mobile Home Park	214.03 3		
Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments Lake Village Apartments Loxley Gardens Loxley Gardens Cowens Village Broadmoor Caltary Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Elkhart Apartments Fairmont Heights Geneva Mobile Home Park Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Elkhart Apartments Caltary Ca	Chesapeake Retirement Housing	214.03 3		
Apartments Deep Creek Crossing Apartments 214.03 3 Geneva Forest 214.03 3 Lake Village Apartments 214.03 3 Loxley Gardens 214.03 3 Owens Village 214.03 3 Broadmoor 214.03 3 Geneva Park 214.03 3 Amberly 214.04 1 Chesapeake Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 Elkhart Apartments 214.04 1 Fairmont Heights 214.04 1 Geneva Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 George Washington Townhouse 214.04 1 Apartments George's Landing Condominiums 214.04 1 Misty Point 214.04 1	Foundation Housing			
Deep Creek Crossing Apartments 214.03 3 Geneva Forest 214.03 3 Lake Village Apartments 214.03 3 Loxley Gardens 214.03 3 Owens Village 214.03 3 Broadmoor 214.03 3 Geneva Park 214.03 3 Amberly 214.04 1 Chesapeake Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 Elkhart Apartments 214.04 1 Fairmont Heights 214.04 1 Geneva Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 Geneva Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 George Washington Townhouse Apartments George's Landing Condominiums 214.04 1 Misty Point 214.04 1		214.03 3		
Geneva Forest 214.03 3 Lake Village Apartments 214.03 3 Loxley Gardens 214.03 3 Owens Village 214.03 3 Broadmoor 214.03 3 Geneva Park 214.04 1 Chesapeake Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 Elkhart Apartments 214.04 1 Fairmont Heights 214.04 1 Geneva Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 Geneva Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 George Washington Townhouse Apartments George's Landing Condominiums 214.04 1 Misty Point 214.04 1	·			
Lake Village Apartments 214.03 3 Loxley Gardens 214.03 3 Owens Village 214.03 3 Broadmoor 214.03 3 Geneva Park 214.03 3 Amberly 214.04 1 Chesapeake Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 Elkhart Apartments 214.04 1 Fairmont Heights 214.04 1 Geneva Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 Geneva Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 George Washington Townhouse 214.04 1 Apartments George's Landing Condominiums 214.04 1 Misty Point 214.04 1				
Loxley Gardens 214.03 3 Owens Village 214.03 3 Broadmoor 214.03 3 Geneva Park 214.03 3 Amberly 214.04 1 Chesapeake Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 Elkhart Apartments 214.04 1 Fairmont Heights 214.04 1 Geneva Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 Geneva Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 George Washington Townhouse Apartments George's Landing Condominiums 214.04 1 Misty Point 214.04 1				
Owens Village 214.03 3 Broadmoor 214.03 3 Geneva Park 214.03 3 Amberly 214.04 1 Chesapeake Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 Elkhart Apartments 214.04 1 Fairmont Heights 214.04 1 Geneva Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 George Washington Townhouse 214.04 1 Apartments George's Landing Condominiums 214.04 1 Misty Point 214.04 1	- '			
Broadmoor 214.03 3 Geneva Park 214.03 3 Amberly 214.04 1 Chesapeake Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 Elkhart Apartments 214.04 1 Fairmont Heights 214.04 1 Geneva Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 George Washington Townhouse Apartments George's Landing Condominiums 214.04 1 Misty Point 214.04 1	•			
Geneva Park Amberly Chesapeake Mobile Home Park Elkhart Apartments Fairmont Heights Geneva Mobile Home Park Chesapeake Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 Fairmont Heights Chesapeake Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 George Washington Townhouse Apartments George's Landing Condominiums 214.04 1 Misty Point 214.04 1				
Amberly 214.04 1 Chesapeake Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 Elkhart Apartments 214.04 1 Fairmont Heights 214.04 1 Geneva Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 George Washington Townhouse Apartments George's Landing Condominiums 214.04 1 Misty Point 214.04 1				
Chesapeake Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 Elkhart Apartments 214.04 1 Fairmont Heights 214.04 1 Geneva Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 George Washington Townhouse 214.04 1 Apartments George's Landing Condominiums 214.04 1 Misty Point 214.04 1				
Elkhart Apartments 214.04 1 Fairmont Heights 214.04 1 Geneva Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 George Washington Townhouse Apartments George's Landing Condominiums 214.04 1 Misty Point 214.04 1	•			
Fairmont Heights 214.04 1 Geneva Mobile Home Park 214.04 1 George Washington Townhouse 214.04 1 Apartments George's Landing Condominiums 214.04 1 Misty Point 214.04 1	· ·			
Geneva Mobile Home Park George Washington Townhouse Apartments George's Landing Condominiums Misty Point 214.04 1 214.04 1	•			
George Washington Townhouse 214.04 1 Apartments George's Landing Condominiums 214.04 1 Misty Point 214.04 1				
Apartments George's Landing Condominiums 214.04 1 Misty Point 214.04 1				
George's Landing Condominiums 214.04 1 Misty Point 214.04 1		214.04 1		
-	•	214.04 1		
Shamrock Gardens Apartments 214.04 1	Misty Point	214.04 1		
	Shamrock Gardens Apartments	214.04 1		

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Spinners Creek	214.04 1
Woodland Terrace	214.04 1
Camelot	214.04 1
Camelot	214.04 2
Kingstowne	214.04 2
North Creek	214.04 3
Saint Julians Court	214.04 3
Willows Glen	214.04 3
Woodland Trails	214.04 3
Camelot	214.04 3
Kingstowne	214.04 3
Lake Forest	214.04 4
Nassau	214.04 4
Cavalier Industrial Park	214.04 4
Hartwood North	214.04 4
Cedar Grove Acres	214.04 4
Ahoy Acres South	215.01 1
Maplewood Apartments	215.01 1
Sherbrooke Apartments	215.01 1
Hartwood North	215.01 1
Cedar Grove Acres	215.01 1
Algren	215.01 2
Charlton Village	215.01 2
Davey Farm	215.01 2
Davids Mill	215.01 2
Glenmoore	215.01 2
Goose Creek Estates	215.01 2
Jolliff Woods	215.01 2
Jolliff Woods	215.01 2
Jolliff Woods	215.01 2
Lakes of Jolliff	215.01 2
Manning Estates	215.01 2
Old Woodland Estates	215.01 2
Wampler Place	215.01 2
Willow Lakes	215.01 2
Colony Pointe	215.01 3
Dock Harbour	215.01 3
Dock Landing	215.01 3
Dock Landing Meadows	215.01 3
Dockwood	215.01 3
Forest Hills	215.01 3

Gilbert Bailey Lori Place River Landing at Goose Creek River Landing 215.01 3 South Dockwood Revers Spring River Spring River Spring River Spring River Landing Rive	Noighborhood Namo	NSAs		
Lori Place 215.01 3	Neighborhood Name			
River Landing at Goose Creek 215.01 3 Riverbend 215.01 3 Scotfield 215.01 3 South Dockwood 215.01 3 Weavers Spring 215.01 3 Whites Landing 215.01 3 Woodland Shores 215.01 3 Dock Landing Pointe 215.01 3 Ahoy Acres 215.01 4 Colonial Point 215.01 4 Holly Cove 215.01 4 Little Zion 215.01 4 North Cove 215.01 4 Quailshire Estates 215.01 4 Sandy Pines 215.01 4 Towne Park at Goose Creek 215.01 4 Cedar Grove Acres 215.01 4 Dock Landing Pointe 215.01 4 Drum Point 215.02 1 Knox Farms 215.02 1 Point Harbor 215.02 1 Shorewood 215.02 1 Stonebridge Apartments 215.02 1 Drum Creek Farms 215.02 1 Stonebridge Landing 215.02 2 Plum Point 215.02 2 Raleigh Place 215.02 2 Wildwood 215.02 2 </td <td><u>'</u></td> <td colspan="3"></td>	<u>'</u>			
Riverbend 215.01 3 Scotfield 215.01 3 South Dockwood 215.01 3 Weavers Spring 215.01 3 Whites Landing 215.01 3 Woodland Shores 215.01 3 Dock Landing Pointe 215.01 3 Ahoy Acres 215.01 4 Colonial Point 215.01 4 Holly Cove 215.01 4 Little Zion 215.01 4 North Cove 215.01 4 Quailshire Estates 215.01 4 Sandy Pines 215.01 4 Towne Park at Goose Creek 215.01 4 Cedar Grove Acres 215.01 4 Dock Landing Pointe 215.01 4 Drum Point 215.02 1 Knox Farms 215.02 1 Point Harbor 215.02 1 Shorewood 215.02 1 Stonebridge Apartments 215.02 1 Drum Creek Farms 215.02 1 Stonebridge Landing 215.02 2 Plum Point 215.02 2 Raleigh Place 215.02 2 Wildwood 215.02 2 Raleigh Place 215.02 3				
Scotfield 215.01 3 South Dockwood 215.01 3 Weavers Spring 215.01 3 Whites Landing 215.01 3 Woodland Shores 215.01 3 Dock Landing Pointe 215.01 3 Ahoy Acres 215.01 4 Colonial Point 215.01 4 Holly Cove 215.01 4 Little Zion 215.01 4 North Cove 215.01 4 Quailshire Estates 215.01 4 Sandy Pines 215.01 4 Towne Park at Goose Creek 215.01 4 Cedar Grove Acres 215.01 4 Dock Landing Pointe 215.01 4 Drum Point 215.02 1 Knox Farms 215.02 1 Point Harbor 215.02 1 Stonebridge Apartments 215.02 1 Drum Creek Farms 215.02 1 Stonebridge Landing 215.02 1 Josephs Gardens 215.02 2 Plum Point 215.02 2 Raleigh Place 215.02 2 Wildwood 215.02 3 Jester Gardens				
South Dockwood 215.01 3 Weavers Spring 215.01 3 Whites Landing 215.01 3 Woodland Shores 215.01 3 Dock Landing Pointe 215.01 4 Ahoy Acres 215.01 4 Colonial Point 215.01 4 Holly Cove 215.01 4 Little Zion 215.01 4 North Cove 215.01 4 Quailshire Estates 215.01 4 Sandy Pines 215.01 4 Towne Park at Goose Creek 215.01 4 Cedar Grove Acres 215.01 4 Dock Landing Pointe 215.01 4 Drum Point 215.02 1 Knox Farms 215.02 1 Point Harbor 215.02 1 Shorewood 215.02 1 Stonebridge Apartments 215.02 1 Drum Creek Farms 215.02 1 Stonebridge Landing 215.02 1 Josephs Gardens 215.02 2 Plum Point 215.02 2 Raleigh Place 215.02 2 Wildwood 215.02 2 Chadswyck Terrace <td></td> <td></td>				
Weavers Spring 215.01 3 Whites Landing 215.01 3 Woodland Shores 215.01 3 Dock Landing Pointe 215.01 4 Colonial Point 215.01 4 Holly Cove 215.01 4 Little Zion 215.01 4 North Cove 215.01 4 Quailshire Estates 215.01 4 Sandy Pines 215.01 4 Towne Park at Goose Creek 215.01 4 Cedar Grove Acres 215.01 4 Dock Landing Pointe 215.01 4 Drum Point 215.02 1 Knox Farms 215.02 1 Point Harbor 215.02 1 Shorewood 215.02 1 Stonebridge Apartments 215.02 1 Drum Creek Farms 215.02 1 Stonebridge Landing 215.02 1 Josephs Gardens 215.02 2 Plum Point 215.02 2 Raleigh Place 215.02 2 Wildwood 215.02 2 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Jester Gardens 215.02 3 Meadow Wood Estates 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace				
Whites Landing 215.01 3 Woodland Shores 215.01 3 Dock Landing Pointe 215.01 3 Ahoy Acres 215.01 4 Colonial Point 215.01 4 Holly Cove 215.01 4 Little Zion 215.01 4 North Cove 215.01 4 Quailshire Estates 215.01 4 Sandy Pines 215.01 4 Towne Park at Goose Creek 215.01 4 Cedar Grove Acres 215.01 4 Dock Landing Pointe 215.01 4 Drum Point 215.02 1 Knox Farms 215.02 1 Point Harbor 215.02 1 Shorewood 215.02 1 Stonebridge Apartments 215.02 1 Drum Creek Farms 215.02 1 Stonebridge Landing 215.02 1 Josephs Gardens 215.02 2 Plum Point 215.02 2 Raleigh Place 215.02 2 Wildwood 215.02 2 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Jester Gardens 215.02 3 Meadow Wood Estates 215.02 3 Waterstone 215.02 3<				
Woodland Shores 215.01 3 Dock Landing Pointe 215.01 3 Ahoy Acres 215.01 4 Colonial Point 215.01 4 Holly Cove 215.01 4 Little Zion 215.01 4 North Cove 215.01 4 Quailshire Estates 215.01 4 Sandy Pines 215.01 4 Towne Park at Goose Creek 215.01 4 Cedar Grove Acres 215.01 4 Dock Landing Pointe 215.01 4 Drum Point 215.02 1 Knox Farms 215.02 1 Point Harbor 215.02 1 Shorewood 215.02 1 Stonebridge Apartments 215.02 1 Drum Creek Farms 215.02 1 Stonebridge Landing 215.02 1 Josephs Gardens 215.02 2 Plum Point 215.02 2 Raleigh Place 215.02 2 Wildwood 215.02 2 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Meadow Wood Estates 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Amelia Estates	· · ·			
Dock Landing Pointe 215.01 3 Ahoy Acres 215.01 4 Colonial Point 215.01 4 Holly Cove 215.01 4 Little Zion 215.01 4 North Cove 215.01 4 Quailshire Estates 215.01 4 Sandy Pines 215.01 4 Towne Park at Goose Creek 215.01 4 Cedar Grove Acres 215.01 4 Dock Landing Pointe 215.01 4 Drum Point 215.02 1 Knox Farms 215.02 1 Point Harbor 215.02 1 Shorewood 215.02 1 Stonebridge Apartments 215.02 1 Drum Creek Farms 215.02 1 Stonebridge Landing 215.02 1 Josephs Gardens 215.02 2 Plum Point 215.02 2 Raleigh Place 215.02 2 Wildwood 215.02 2 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Meadow Wood Estates 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Am				
Ahoy Acres 215.01 4 Colonial Point 215.01 4 Holly Cove 215.01 4 Little Zion 215.01 4 North Cove 215.01 4 Quailshire Estates 215.01 4 Sandy Pines 215.01 4 Towne Park at Goose Creek 215.01 4 Cedar Grove Acres 215.01 4 Dock Landing Pointe 215.01 4 Drum Point 215.02 1 Knox Farms 215.02 1 Point Harbor 215.02 1 Shorewood 215.02 1 Stonebridge Apartments 215.02 1 Drum Creek Farms 215.02 1 Stonebridge Landing 215.02 1 Josephs Gardens 215.02 2 Plum Point 215.02 2 Raleigh Place 215.02 2 Wildwood 215.02 2 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Meadow Wood Estates 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 4 Bell Manor Estates 215.02 4				
Colonial Point 215.01 4 Holly Cove 215.01 4 Little Zion 215.01 4 North Cove 215.01 4 Quailshire Estates 215.01 4 Sandy Pines 215.01 4 Towne Park at Goose Creek 215.01 4 Cedar Grove Acres 215.01 4 Dock Landing Pointe 215.01 4 Drum Point 215.02 1 Knox Farms 215.02 1 Point Harbor 215.02 1 Shorewood 215.02 1 Stonebridge Apartments 215.02 1 Drum Creek Farms 215.02 1 Stonebridge Landing 215.02 1 Josephs Gardens 215.02 2 Plum Point 215.02 2 Raleigh Place 215.02 2 Wildwood 215.02 2 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Meadow Wood Estates 215.02 3 Waterstone 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 4 Bell Manor Estates 215.02 4				
Holly Cove	· ·			
Little Zion 215.01 4 North Cove 215.01 4 Quailshire Estates 215.01 4 Sandy Pines 215.01 4 Towne Park at Goose Creek 215.01 4 Cedar Grove Acres 215.01 4 Dock Landing Pointe 215.01 4 Drum Point 215.02 1 Knox Farms 215.02 1 Point Harbor 215.02 1 Shorewood 215.02 1 Stonebridge Apartments 215.02 1 Drum Creek Farms 215.02 1 Stonebridge Landing 215.02 1 Josephs Gardens 215.02 2 Plum Point 215.02 2 Raleigh Place 215.02 2 Wildwood 215.02 2 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Jester Gardens 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 4				
North Cove 215.01 4 Quailshire Estates 215.01 4 Sandy Pines 215.01 4 Towne Park at Goose Creek 215.01 4 Cedar Grove Acres 215.01 4 Dock Landing Pointe 215.01 4 Drum Point 215.02 1 Knox Farms 215.02 1 Point Harbor 215.02 1 Shorewood 215.02 1 Stonebridge Apartments 215.02 1 Drum Creek Farms 215.02 1 Stonebridge Landing 215.02 1 Josephs Gardens 215.02 2 Plum Point 215.02 2 Raleigh Place 215.02 2 Wildwood 215.02 2 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Jester Gardens 215.02 3 Meadow Wood Estates 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Amelia Estates 215.02 4 Bell Manor Estates 215.02 4	·			
Quailshire Estates 215.01 4 Sandy Pines 215.01 4 Towne Park at Goose Creek 215.01 4 Cedar Grove Acres 215.01 4 Dock Landing Pointe 215.01 4 Drum Point 215.02 1 Knox Farms 215.02 1 Point Harbor 215.02 1 Shorewood 215.02 1 Stonebridge Apartments 215.02 1 Drum Creek Farms 215.02 1 Stonebridge Landing 215.02 1 Josephs Gardens 215.02 2 Plum Point 215.02 2 Raleigh Place 215.02 2 Wildwood 215.02 2 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Meadow Wood Estates 215.02 3 Waterstone 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Amelia Estates 215.02 4 Bell Manor Estates 215.02 4				
Sandy Pines 215.01 4 Towne Park at Goose Creek 215.01 4 Cedar Grove Acres 215.01 4 Dock Landing Pointe 215.01 4 Drum Point 215.02 1 Knox Farms 215.02 1 Point Harbor 215.02 1 Shorewood 215.02 1 Stonebridge Apartments 215.02 1 Drum Creek Farms 215.02 1 Stonebridge Landing 215.02 1 Josephs Gardens 215.02 2 Plum Point 215.02 2 Raleigh Place 215.02 2 Wildwood 215.02 2 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Meadow Wood Estates 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Amelia Estates 215.02 4 Bell Manor Estates 215.02 4				
Towne Park at Goose Creek Cedar Grove Acres Dock Landing Pointe Drum Point End Stonebridge Apartments Drum Creek Farms Stonebridge Landing Josephs Gardens Plum Point Raleigh Place Wildwood Chadswyck Terrace Baileys Creek Jester Gardens West Chadswyck Terrace Cedar Grove Acres 215.01 215.01 215.01 215.02	•			
Cedar Grove Acres Dock Landing Pointe Drum Point End Stone Farms Point Harbor Stone Farms Point Greek Farms Stone Farms Point Greek Farms Stone Farms Stone Farms Point Greek Farms Stone Farms Stone Farms Point Greek Farms Stone Farms Stone Farms Plum Creek Farms Plum Point End Stone Farms Plum Point Compared Farms	-			
Dock Landing Pointe Drum Point 215.02 1 Knox Farms 215.02 1 Point Harbor 215.02 1 Shorewood 215.02 1 Stonebridge Apartments 215.02 1 Drum Creek Farms 215.02 1 Stonebridge Landing 215.02 1 Josephs Gardens 215.02 2 Plum Point 215.02 2 Raleigh Place 215.02 2 Wildwood 215.02 2 Wildwood 215.02 2 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Jester Gardens 215.02 3 Waterstone 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Amelia Estates 215.02 4 Bell Manor Estates	Towne Park at Goose Creek			
Drum Point 215.02 1 Knox Farms 215.02 1 Point Harbor 215.02 1 Shorewood 215.02 1 Stonebridge Apartments 215.02 1 Drum Creek Farms 215.02 1 Stonebridge Landing 215.02 1 Josephs Gardens 215.02 2 Plum Point 215.02 2 Raleigh Place 215.02 2 Wildwood 215.02 2 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Jester Gardens 215.02 3 Meadow Wood Estates 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Amelia Estates 215.02 4 Bell Manor Estates 215.02 4				
Knox Farms215.02 1Point Harbor215.02 1Shorewood215.02 1Stonebridge Apartments215.02 1Drum Creek Farms215.02 1Stonebridge Landing215.02 1Josephs Gardens215.02 2Plum Point215.02 2Raleigh Place215.02 2Wildwood215.02 2Chadswyck Terrace215.02 3Jester Gardens215.02 3Meadow Wood Estates215.02 3Waterstone215.02 3West Chadswyck Terrace215.02 3Chadswyck Terrace215.02 3Chadswyck Terrace215.02 3Amelia Estates215.02 4Bell Manor Estates215.02 4	Dock Landing Pointe			
Point Harbor 215.02 1 Shorewood 215.02 1 Stonebridge Apartments 215.02 1 Drum Creek Farms 215.02 1 Stonebridge Landing 215.02 1 Josephs Gardens 215.02 2 Plum Point 215.02 2 Raleigh Place 215.02 2 Wildwood 215.02 2 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 2 Baileys Creek 215.02 3 Jester Gardens 215.02 3 Waterstone 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Waterstone 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Amelia Estates 215.02 4 Bell Manor Estates 215.02 4		215.02 1		
Shorewood 215.02 1 Stonebridge Apartments 215.02 1 Drum Creek Farms 215.02 1 Stonebridge Landing 215.02 1 Josephs Gardens 215.02 2 Plum Point 215.02 2 Raleigh Place 215.02 2 Wildwood 215.02 2 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 2 Baileys Creek 215.02 3 Jester Gardens 215.02 3 Meadow Wood Estates 215.02 3 Waterstone 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Amelia Estates 215.02 4 Bell Manor Estates 215.02 4	Knox Farms	215.02 1		
Stonebridge Apartments Drum Creek Farms 215.02 1 Stonebridge Landing Josephs Gardens Plum Point Raleigh Place Wildwood Chadswyck Terrace Baileys Creek Jester Gardens Waterstone West Chadswyck Terrace Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Amelia Estates 215.02 4 Bell Manor Estates	Point Harbor	215.02 1		
Drum Creek Farms 215.02 1 Stonebridge Landing 215.02 1 Josephs Gardens 215.02 2 Plum Point 215.02 2 Raleigh Place 215.02 2 Wildwood 215.02 2 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Jester Gardens 215.02 3 Meadow Wood Estates 215.02 3 Waterstone 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Amelia Estates 215.02 4 Bell Manor Estates 215.02 4	Shorewood			
Stonebridge Landing 215.02 1 Josephs Gardens 215.02 2 Plum Point 215.02 2 Raleigh Place 215.02 2 Wildwood 215.02 2 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 2 Baileys Creek 215.02 3 Jester Gardens 215.02 3 Meadow Wood Estates 215.02 3 Waterstone 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Amelia Estates 215.02 4 Bell Manor Estates 215.02 4	Stonebridge Apartments	215.02 1		
Josephs Gardens 215.02 2 Plum Point 215.02 2 Raleigh Place 215.02 2 Wildwood 215.02 2 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 2 Baileys Creek 215.02 3 Jester Gardens 215.02 3 Meadow Wood Estates 215.02 3 Waterstone 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Amelia Estates 215.02 4 Bell Manor Estates 215.02 4	Drum Creek Farms	215.02 1		
Plum Point 215.02 2 Raleigh Place 215.02 2 Wildwood 215.02 2 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 2 Baileys Creek 215.02 3 Jester Gardens 215.02 3 Meadow Wood Estates 215.02 3 Waterstone 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Amelia Estates 215.02 4 Bell Manor Estates 215.02 4	Stonebridge Landing	215.02 1		
Raleigh Place 215.02 2 Wildwood 215.02 2 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 2 Baileys Creek 215.02 3 Jester Gardens 215.02 3 Meadow Wood Estates 215.02 3 Waterstone 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Amelia Estates 215.02 4 Bell Manor Estates 215.02 4	Josephs Gardens	215.02 2		
Wildwood 215.02 2 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 2 Baileys Creek 215.02 3 Jester Gardens 215.02 3 Meadow Wood Estates 215.02 3 Waterstone 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Amelia Estates 215.02 4 Bell Manor Estates 215.02 4	Plum Point	215.02 2		
Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 2 Baileys Creek 215.02 3 Jester Gardens 215.02 3 Meadow Wood Estates 215.02 3 Waterstone 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Amelia Estates 215.02 4 Bell Manor Estates 215.02 4	Raleigh Place	215.02 2		
Baileys Creek 215.02 3 Jester Gardens 215.02 3 Meadow Wood Estates 215.02 3 Waterstone 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Amelia Estates 215.02 4 Bell Manor Estates 215.02 4	Wildwood	215.02 2		
Jester Gardens 215.02 3 Meadow Wood Estates 215.02 3 Waterstone 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Amelia Estates 215.02 4 Bell Manor Estates 215.02 4	Chadswyck Terrace	215.02 2		
Meadow Wood Estates215.02 3Waterstone215.02 3West Chadswyck Terrace215.02 3Chadswyck Terrace215.02 3Amelia Estates215.02 4Bell Manor Estates215.02 4	Baileys Creek	215.02 3		
Waterstone 215.02 3 West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Amelia Estates 215.02 4 Bell Manor Estates 215.02 4	Jester Gardens	215.02 3		
West Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Amelia Estates 215.02 4 Bell Manor Estates 215.02 4	Meadow Wood Estates	215.02 3		
Chadswyck Terrace 215.02 3 Amelia Estates 215.02 4 Bell Manor Estates 215.02 4	Waterstone	215.02 3		
Amelia Estates 215.02 4 Bell Manor Estates 215.02 4	West Chadswyck Terrace	215.02 3		
Bell Manor Estates 215.02 4	Chadswyck Terrace	215.02 3		
	Amelia Estates	215.02 4		
Hunters Cove 215.02 4	Bell Manor Estates	215.02 4		
	Hunters Cove	215.02 4		

Neighborhood Name	NSAs		
Jolliff Landing	215.02 4		
Kings Pointe	215.02 4		
Taylorwood Estates	215.02 4		
Western Branch Commons	215.02 4		
Chesapeake Square Mall	215.02 4		
Drum Creek Farms	215.02 4		
Pughsville	215.02 4		
Cricket Hollow Townhouses	216.01 1		
Miars Farm	216.01 1		
Miars Farm Apartments	216.01 1		
Peppercorn Condominiums	216.01 1		
The Crossings at Western Branch	216.01 1		
The Garage Townes at Miars	216.01 1		
Farm			
Townehouses of Radcliffe	216.01 1		
Winds of Silverwood	216.01 1		
Silverwood	216.01 1		
Saints Delight	216.01 1		
Braddock Landing	216.01 2		
Green Meadow Point	216.01 2		
Lilley Cove	216.01 2		
Miars Plantation	216.01 2		
Stonebridge Landing	216.01 2		
Brittany Woods	216.01 3		
Countryview	216.01 3		
Oak Point	216.01 3		
Radcliffe Meadows	216.01 3		
Radcliffe Meadows	216.01 3		
Taylor Acres	216.01 3		
Silverwood	216.01 3		
Pinewood	216.01 3		
Brickhouse Farms	216.01 4		
Silverwood	216.01 4		
Bruce Station	216.01 5		
Farmview	216.01 5		
Point Elizabeth	216.01 5		
Point Elizabeth Townhouses	216.01 5		
Tuttles Landing	216.01 5		
Tyre Neck	216.01 5		
Tyre Neck Square	216.01 5		
Pinewood	216.01 5		

Neighborhood Name	NSAs
Poplar Hill	216.02 1
Woodhaven Apartments	216.02 1
Dunedin	216.02 1
Churchland	216.02 1
Dunedin	216.02 2
Churchland	216.02 2
Dunedin Apartments	216.02 2
Clover Meadows	216.02 3
Farmington Acres	216.02 3
Glen Shannon	216.02 3
Kendall Crossing	216.02 3
Merrimac Landing	216.02 3
Shamrock Gardens	216.02 3
Taylor Pointe Apartments	216.02 3
Wellington	216.02 3
Pughsville	216.02 3
Dunedin	216.02 3
Kay Willow Apartments	216.02 4
Silverwood Oaks	216.02 4
Taylor Commons	216.02 4
Timberlark Townhomes	216.02 4
Silverwood	216.02 4
Saints Delight	216.02 4
Dunedin	216.02 4
Churchland	216.02 4
Dunedin Apartments	216.02 4

